Wellbeing in Educational Contexts – Second edition

DR SUSAN CARTER AND CECILY ANDERSEN

University of Southern Queensland
Toowoomba

Contents

Accessibility Information

We believe that education should be available to everyone, which means supporting the creation of free, open, and accessible educational resources. We are actively committed to increasing the accessibility and usability of the open texts and resources we produce.

ACCESSIBILITY FEATURES OF THE WEB VERSION OF THIS RESOURCE

The web version of this resource has been designed with accessibility in mind and incorporates the following features:

- Optimisation for people who use screen reading technology
    - Keyboard navigation for all content
    - Links, headings, and tables are formatted to work with screen readers
    - Images have alt tags
- Information is not conveyed by colour alone.
- Closed captions on videos

OTHER FILE FORMATS AVAILABLE

In addition to the web version, this book is available in several file formats, including PDF, EPUB (for ereaders), and various editable files. Choose from the selection of available file types from the 'Download this book' dropdown menu. This option appears below the book cover image on the open text's landing page.

THIRD-PARTY CONTENT

In some cases, our open text includes third-party content. In these cases, it is often not possible to ensure accessibility of this content.

ACCESSIBILITY ASSESSMENT

Below is a short accessibility assessment of key areas that have been assessed during the production process of this text. The checklist has been drawn from the BCcampus Open Education Accessibility Toolkit. While a checklist such as this is just one part of a holistic approach to accessibility, it is one way to begin our work on embedded good accessibility practices in the books we support.

It is our hope that by being transparent on our current books, we can begin the process of

making sure accessibility is top of mind for all authors, adopters, students and contributors of all kinds on all our open text projects. As such, we welcome any feedback from students, instructors or others who encounter the book and identify an issue that needs resolving.

ACCESSIBILITY CHECKLIST

Category	Item	Status
Organising Content	Content is organised under headings and subheadings	Yes
Organising Content	Headings and subheadings are used sequentially (e.g. Heading 1, Heading 2, etc.)	Yes
Images	Images that convey information include Alternative Text (alt-text) descriptions of the image's content or function	Yes
Images	Graphs, charts, and maps also include contextual or supporting details in the text surrounding the image	Yes
Images	Images, diagrams, or charts do not rely only on colour to convey important information	Yes
Images	Images that are purely decorative contain empty alternative text descriptions. (Descriptive text is unnecessary if the image doesn't convey contextual content information)	Yes
Tables	Tables include column headers, and row headers where appropriate	Yes
Tables	Tables include a title or caption	Yes
Tables	Tables do not have merged or split cells	Yes
Tables	Tables have adequate cell padding	Yes
Weblinks	The weblink is meaningful in context, and does not use generic text such as "click here" or "read more"	Yes
Weblinks	Externals weblinks open in a new tab. Internal weblink do not open in a new tab.	Yes
Weblinks	If a link will open or download a file (like a PDF or Excel file), a textual reference is included in the link information (e.g. '[PDF]').	Yes
Embedded Multimedia	A transcript has been made available for a multimedia resource that includes audio narration or instruction	N/A
Embedded Multimedia	Captions of all speech content and relevant non-speech content are included in the multimedia resource that includes audio synchronised with a video presentation	N/A
Embedded Multimedia	Audio descriptions of contextual visuals (graphs, charts, etc.) are included in the multimedia resource	N/A
Formulas	Formulas have been created using MathML	N/A
Formulas	Formulas are images with alternative text descriptions, if MathML is not an option	N/A
Font Size	Font size is 12 point or higher for body text	Yes
Font Size	Font size is 9 point for footnotes or endnotes	–
Font Size	Font size can be zoomed to 200%	Yes

ACCESSIBILITY IMPROVEMENTS

While we strive to ensure that this resource is as accessible and usable as possible, we might not always get it right. We are always looking for ways to make our resources more accessible. If you have problems accessing this resource, please contact open.content@usq.edu.au to let us know so we can fix the issue.

Copyright Note: This accessibility disclaimer is adapted from BCampus's Accessibility Toolkit, and licensed under a CC BY 4.0 licence.

EDIT

x

Foreword

DR SUSAN CARTER

Figure(i) Photograph of a hand by Sharon McCutcheon on Pexels.

WHY WELLBEING PROMOTION NOW?

Wellbeing promotion matters. More than ever before, the wellbeing of students, principals and teachers is under pressure around the world (Hascher & Waber, 2021). Around the world governments are expending large amount of money on various initiatives improve mental health and wellbeing. In 2019-2020 Australia invested $11 billion on mental health related services (Australian Institute of Health & Welfare, 2022). In $203.7 million over 2 years from 2022–23 on student wellbeing (Australian Government, 2022, p.96). Mental health cost the United Kingdom economy at least £118 billion a year (The London School of Economic & Political Science, 2023). In 2019 the New Zealand government allocated $1.9 billion to mental health over five years (The Borgen Project, 2021). As such across the globe, mental health and wellbeing promotion has now a become a priority and a major activity of governments.

School communities have a role to play in promoting wellbeing of all students and staff. Research suggests that good mental wellbeing (Deighton et al., 2018; DeSocio & Hootman, 2004; O'Connor et al., 2019) and physical health (Biddle et al., 2019; Donnelly et al., 2016) optimises student academic performance and enhances the ability to cope with the challenges and stressors of daily life (Kaya & Erdem, 2021). Research also shows that teachers who feel better can work and teach better (Bücker, et al, 2018). Research also indicates that wellbeing is central to an educator's work (Roffey, 2011) and plays a key role in improving leaning outcomes for students (Turner & Theilking, 2019). As such, educational contexts then play an important role in informing choices and deepening understanding of what constitutes good health and wellbeing choices for both students (Aldridge & McChesney, 2018) and adults within the context (Acton & Glasgow, 2015; McCallum, 2020; McCallum & Price, 2015). They also play a part in teaching (Payton et al. (2008), modelling (Sisask et al 2014), promoting and enacting wellbeing (Bonell et al., 2014) and in particular where the connection of learning about health and wellbeing is supported by alignment of action across a whole of school approach (Roffey, 2016).

WELLBEING AS AN ISSUE

Wellbeing has been identified as a serious issue for principals, teachers and students within educational contexts. Negative impacts to educator wellbeing are now being reported across the globe (Alves et al., 2020; Dabrowski, 2020; Burić et al., 2019). Alarmingly, studies of Australian teachers identified that around almost 75% of teachers reported that they suffered from stress at one time or another, with 20% of educators that reported experiencing stress indicating that the stress was severe or extremely severe which in turn significantly impacted on their wellbeing (NEiTA Foundation Survey, 2021).

In addition, studies by Brady (2020) and Brady and Wilson (2021) fund that educators (school leaders, teachers school and school support staff) are coping with increased job-related stress resulting from work intensification; increased role complexities (Howes & Goodman-Delahunty, 2015) as a consequence of catering for the needs of an increasingly diverse range of learners; greater consultation with parents; extra communication with colleagues; pressures from frequently changing technology innovations and reform agendas (Gordon, 2020), all within a climate of complexities resulting from COVID-19 Pandemic (Alves, et al., 2020; Dabrowski, 2020). Aa such a worrying picture then emerges for the wellbeing of educators.

Wellbeing has been identified as a serious issue for principals, teachers and students within educational contexts. The problem of principal health and wellbeing has also been recognised at both national and state levels in Australia for the at least a decade and has been acknowledged as an issue of concern by the state, private, and independent

school sectors. The first full scale independent study into the occupational health, safety and wellbeing of Australia's school principals paints a pretty grim picture about the current work conditions for Australia's school leadership (Riley, 2014). The survey of 2,049 principals found that along with threats and acts of violence, school principals are also more likely to be bullied, and are dealing with ever-increasing volumes of work and health problems due to stress (Riley, 2014). Riley (2014) also reported that, "work related stress was higher in education than across all other industries...with work-related mental ill-health...almost double the rate for all industry" (p. 177-8). This trend appears to be continuing, with another report suggesting that that in Queensland over $10 million has been paid in five years to stressed teachers and that teachers are making more mental stress claims than in any other industry (Acton & Glasgow, 2015). Reducing these impacts of work stress in the teaching profession has been the focus of much research in education. Although historically resilience to stress has been the main focus of studies, research in the area has recently shifted towards the school wide promotion of wellbeing (Powell & Graham, 2017).

WHY THIS TEXT

This text endeavours to focus on wellbeing promotion in educational contexts as schools and other educational contexts play a pivotal role in teaching students about nonviolence, promoting understanding of diversity, endowing people with a shared purpose and meaning and the skills and behaviours to create a more inclusive, healthy, and positive future (Niemi et al., 2018). Weare (2013) affirms the words of Maslow (1970), stating that there is significantly important to satisfy an individual's social emotional needs before concentrating on the academic needs. Australian Jurisdiction Departments of Education reiterate the importance of catering for an individual's needs, posing that students learn best in environments where their social, emotional and physical wellbeing is nurtured. So how do we do this?

  To generate real educational context community purpose there needs to be a shared understanding of purpose, a clear vision and a common language around established ways of working that positively contribute to building a safe, inclusive culture where wellbeing is fore fronted. At the start of each chapter we posed guiding questions for you to consider. In chapter one we outline a possible way of meaning making using the text; chapter two explores some theoretical conceptualisations of wellbeing (guiding question: what is wellbeing?); chapter three presents policy, frameworks and legislation that has informed the focus on wellbeing (guiding question: how is wellbeing enacted?); chapter four outlines possible impactors and enablers to wellbeing (guiding question: how is wellbeing enhanced?); chapter five explores embedding an education wide focus on wellbeing (guiding question: how is wellbeing enacted and embedded?) and the final chapter, chapter six, explores the ecological and contextual analysis of wellbeing in relation to a workplace wellbeing framework (guiding question: how can wellbeing be enacted and promoted in my context?).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

In the spirit of reconciliation, the authors wish to acknowledge the Giabal and Jarowair peoples of the Toowoomba area, the Jagera, Yuggera and Ugarapul peoples of Ipswich and Springfield, the Kambuwal peoples of Stanthorpe and the Gadigal peoples of the Eora nation, Sydney as the keepers of ancient knowledge where USQ campuses and hubs have been built and whose cultures and customs continue to nurture this land. As authors, we acknowledge the cultural diversity of all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and pay respect to Elders past, present and future. We celebrate the continuous living cultures of First Australians and acknowledge the important contributions Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people have and continue to make in Australian society. The authors wrote this textbook on the lands of the Giabal and Jarowair peoples of the Toowoomba area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Susan Carter is both an educational leader and an academic. She has been an educator in schools for over 25 years in a variety of roles: teacher; teaching principal; deputy principal; principal of a P-10 schools; and as a principal of a large rural school. She has studied, education and two Master of Education degrees: one in special education, and the other in education theology. Her PhD research was in the area of how school Principals maintain their Subjective Well-Being. Her current research interests include subjective well-being (SWB) in educational contexts; inclusion; social justice and school leadership.

Susan can be contacted by email: Susan.Carter@usq.edu.au

Cecily Andersen is an experienced educational leader. She has been an educator for over 40 years across a variety of roles: teaching, school leadership and district and regional educational leadership, within primary, secondary, inclusive education and Indigenous community settings across remote, rural and regional areas. Cecily Andersen's research

interests include social justice, wellbeing, leadership in complex contexts, and how school leaders use coaching and mentoring to build capacity in others.

Cecily can be contacted by email: Cecily.Andersen@usq.edu.au

CONCLUSION

Within the wellbeing literature, there is a shared view that educational contexts are best positioned to reach out to everyone and explicitly teach and promote wellbeing, potentially arresting trends of reported declining student and teacher wellbeing (Acton & Glasgow, 2015; Hogan et al., 2018), principal wellbeing (Riley, 2014), or of feeling of not belonging (Allen et al., 2018; Seligman et al., 2009). There is, however, a lack of consensus as to the application and delivery of wellbeing programs within educational systems and educational contexts (Powell & Graham, 2017) and it is in this space that we hope to make worthwhile contribution.

REFERENCES

Acton, R., & Glasgow, P. (2015). Teacher wellbeing in neoliberal contexts: A review of the literature. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 40 (8), 99-114. http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2015v40n8.6

Aldridge, J.M., & McChesney, K. (2018). The relationships between school climate and adolescent mental health and wellbeing: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Educational Research,* 88, 121-145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2018.01.012.

Allen, K., Kern, M. L., Vella-Brodrick, D., Hattie, J., & Waters, L. (2018). What schools need to know about fostering school belonging: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review, 3*0 (1), 1-34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-016-9389-8

Alves, R., Lopes, T., & Precioso, J. (2020). Teachers' well-being in times of Covid-19 pandemic: factors that explain professional well-being. I*nternational Journal of Educational Research and Innovation*, (15), 203-217. https://doi.org/10.46661/ijeri.5120

Australian Government. (2022). *Budget 2022-23. Budget measures. Budget paper No. 2.* https://budget.gov.au/2022-23-october/content/bp2/download/bp2_2022-23.pdf

Australian Institute of Health & Welfare. (2022). S*pecialised mental health services expenditure.* Australian Government. https://www.aihw.gov.au/mental-health/topic-areas/expenditure

Bonell, C., Humphrey, N., Fletcher, A., Moore, L., Anderson, R., & Campbell, R. (2014). Why schools should promote students' health and wellbeing. *British Medical Journal* 348, g3078. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g3078

Brady, J. (2020). *Workload, accountability and stress: A comparative study of teachers' working conditions in state and private schools in England* (Doctoral thesis). https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.55847

Brady, J., & Wilson, E. (2021). Teacher wellbeing in England: Teacher responses to school-

level initiatives. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 51 (1), 45-63. https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764X.2020.1775789

Biddle, S.J.H., Ciaccioni, S., Thomas, G., & Vergeer, I. (2019). Physical activity and mental health in children and adolescents: An updated review of reviews and an analysis of causality. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*, 42, 146-155. https://doi.org/0.1016/j.psychsport.2018.08.011

Bücker, S., Nuraydin, S., Simonsmeier, B. A., Schneider, M., & Luhmann, M. (2018). Subjective well-being and academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Research in Personality,* 74, 83-94. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2018.02.007

Burić, I., Slišković, A., & Penezić, Z. (2019). Understanding teacher well-being: a cross-lagged analysis of burnout, negative student-related emotions, psychopathological symptoms, and resilience. *Educational Psychology*, 39(9), 1136-1155. https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2019.1577952

Cloninger, C.R., Salloum, I.M., & Mezzich, J.E. (2012). The dynamic origins of positive health and wellbeing. *International Journal of Person-Cantered Medicine,* 2(2),179-187. https://doi.org/0.1016/j.psychsport.2018.08.01110.5750/ijpcm.v2i2.213

Dabrowski, A. (2020). Teacher wellbeing during a pandemic: Surviving or thriving? S*ocial Education Researc*h. https://doi.org/10.37256/ser.212021588

Deighton, J., Humphrey, N., Belsky, J., Boehnke, J., Vostanis, P., & Patalay, P. (2018). Longitudinal pathways between mental health difficulties and academic performance during middle childhood and early adolescence. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 36, 110-126. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjdp.12218

DeSocio, J., & Hootman, J. (2004). Children's mental health and school success. *Journal of School Nursing,* 20(4), 189-196. https://doi.org/10.1177/10598405040200040201

Donnelly, J.E., Hillman, C.H., Castelli, D., Etnier, J.L., Lee, S., Tomporowski, P., Lambourne, K., & Szabo-Reed, A.N. (2016). Physical activity, fitness, cognitive function, and academic achievement in children: A systematic review. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise;* 48(6),1197-1222. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000901

Gordon, A. L. (2020). Educate – mentor – nurture: Improving the transition from initial teacher education to qualified teacher status and beyond. *Journal of Education for Teaching,* 46(5), 664-675. https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2020.1807296

Hascher, T., & Waber, J. (2021). Teacher well-being: A systematic review of the research literature from the year 2000-2019. *Educational Research Review*,34, 100411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2021.

Hogan, A., Thompson, G., Sellar, S., & Lingard, B. (2018). Teachers' and school leaders' perceptions of commercialisation in Australian public schools. *The Australian Educational Researcher,* 45 (2), 141-160. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-017-0246-7

Howes, L., & Goodman-Delahunty. J. (2015). Teachers' career decisions: Perspectives on choosing teaching careers, and on staying or leaving. I*ssues in Educational Research,* 25 (1), 18-35.

Kaya, M., & Erdem, C. (2021). Students' well-being and academic achievement: A meta-

analysis study. *Child Indicators Research* 14, 1743-1767. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12187-021-09821-4

Luhmann, M., Krasko, J., & Terwiel, S. (2021). *Subjective well-being as a dynamic construct.* In J.F. Rauthmann (Ed.), *The handbook of personality dynamics and processes* (pp. 1231-1249). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813995-0.00048-0

Maslow, A. H. (1970). *Motivation and Personality*. Harper & Row.

McCallum, F., & Price, D. (Eds.) (2016). *Nurturing wellbeing development in education: From little things, big things grow.* Routledge.

McCallum, F., Price, D. Graham, A. & Morrison A. (2017). *Teacher wellbeing: A review of the literature.* https://www.aisnsw.edu.au/.../Teacher%20wellbeing%20A%20review%20of%20the%...

Niemi, H., Lavonen, J., Kallioniemi, A., & Toom, A. (2018). The role of teachers in the Finnish educational system. In The teacher's role in the changing globalizing world: Resources and challenges related to the professional work of teaching (pp. 47-61). Brill.

National Excellence in Teaching awards (NEiTA) & Australian College of Educators (ACE). (2021). *Teachers Report Card 2021: Teachers' perceptions of education and their profession*. NEiTA-ACE. https://futurity.me/neita-ace-teachers-report-card

Payton, J., Weisberg, R.P., Durlak, J.A., Dymnicki, A.B., Taylor,R.D., Schellinger, K.B., & Pachan, M. (2008). *The positive impact of social and emotional learning for kindergarten to eight grade students. Finding from three scientific reviews*. www.casel.org/sel/meta.php

Powell, M.A. & Graham, A. (2017). Wellbeing in schools: Examining the policy practice nexus. *Australian Educational Researcher,* 44 (2), 213-231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-016-0222-7

Riley, P. (2014). *Australian principal occupational health, safety and wellbeing survey: 2011–2014 data.* Australian Catholic University.

Roffey, S. (2011). *Changing behaviour in schools: Promoting positive relationships and wellbeing.* Sage.

Roffey, S. (2016). Building a case for whole school wellbeing in challenging contexts. *Education & Child Psychology*, 33(2), 30-42. http://handle.uws.edu.au:8081/1959.7/uws:35487

Seligman, M., Ernst, R., Gillham, J., Reivich, K. & Linkins, M. (2009). Positive education: Positive psychology and classroom interventions. *Oxford Review of Education*, 35 (3), 293-311. https://doi.org/10.1080/03054980902934563

Sisask, M., Värnik, P., Värnik, A., Apter, A., Balazs, J., Balint, M., Bobes, J., Brunner, R., Corcoran, P., Cosman, D., Feldman, D., Haring, C., Kahn, J.-P., Poštuvan, V., Tubiana, A., Sarchiapone, M., Wasserman, C., Carli, V., Hoven, C. W., & Wasserman, D. (2014). Teacher satisfaction with school and psychological well-being affects their readiness to help children with mental health problems. *Health Education Journal*, 73(4), 382-393. https://doi.org/10.1177/0017896913485742

The Borgen Project. (2021). *Mental health in New Zealand.* https://borgenproject.org/mental-health-in-new-zealand/

The London School of Economic & Political Science. (2023). *Mental health problems cost UK*

economy at least £118 billion a year – new research. https://www.lse.ac.uk/News/Latest-news-from-LSE

Turner, K., & Theilking, M. (2019). Teacher wellbeing: Its effects on teaching practice and student learning. *Issues in Educational Research*, 29(3), 938–960. https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/ielapa.641930197632835

Weare, K. (2013). *Promoting mental, emotional and social health: A whole school approach*. Routledge.

CHAPTER 1

Connecting and Activating Prior Knowledge

Key Concepts
•   Making meaning of the text. •   Connecting and activating pertinent prior knowledge on wellbeing within educational contexts. •   Connecting with your own sense of wellbeing.

GUIDING QUESTION

• What do you already know about wellbeing and what do you need to learn?

This photograph, shown on the left, which also appears on the front cover is representative of the opening of possibilities, the growing and co-creation of knowledge, and it is through these doors that we enter. The colours on the doors can be seen to represent the differences in people, the perceptions of wellbeing and the differing feelings of wellbeing. Some colours are bold and vivid, others less so but the varying colours are what creates the spectacular artwork. The imagery of the tree could be viewed as representative of the growing of wellbeing in more than one direction as the trees branch out. It is our hope as authors that the information contained in this book can be of use to help people in various educational contexts, support the growth of positive wellbeing.

Photograph of street art by Luis Alfonso Orellana on unsplash.

INTRODUCTION

Educational contexts (e.g., schools, special education units and early childhood centres) are places of social hope capital, a place and space where people can inspire positive thinking, engage in educational growth and the sustainment of wellbeing. Considerable research suggests that the promotion of wellbeing, is a core role of schools and teachers are in a prime position to recognise changes indicative of wellbeing concerns.

Given the importance that wellbeing practices may then have for adults and students, and that most students will spend considerable time within educational contexts; and the importance of promoting the wellbeing of adults within the context, it is important to identify and evaluate what effective strategies and ways of working are evidenced in educational contexts. There is increasing understanding that educational contexts have an important role to play in supporting the wellbeing of students and adults (Anderson & Graham, 2016; Pulimeno et al., 2020). Wellbeing strategies and ways of working that promote the wellbeing of students and adults in an educational context have the potential to influence a range of social, health and mental health outcomes (Roffey, 2012).

The quality of life or wellbeing of an individual or community is a function of the actual conditions of that life and what an individual or community makes of those conditions. What a person or community makes of those conditions is in turn a function of how those conditions are perceived, what is thought and felt about those conditions, what is done and, finally, what consequences follow from all these inputs. "People's perceptions, thoughts, feelings and actions, then, have an impact on their own and others' living conditions" (Michalos, 2007, p.4).

Prior to embarking on this journey of exploring wellbeing within educational contexts, this

Chapter will connect with your prior knowledge on wellbeing and explore your own sense of wellbeing.

CONNECTING WITH YOUR PRIOR KNOWLEDGE

Connecting and activating pertinent prior knowledge assembles bridges connecting knowledge already integrated into understanding of a topic, and new knowledge, thus enabling learning through the creation of mental hooks that assist to anchor new instructional concepts, processes and skills (Andersen, 2018). Mazano (2004) contends that linking to prior expertise or knowledge in any sphere, increases the quantity of requisite knowledge that is accessible for use when bearing in mind new information, queries, questions or challenges. Further to this Campbell and Campbell (2009) pose that this is the reason some individuals with great expertise are more likely to ponder multiple perspectives of matters, queries, questions or problems and reach additional reasoned answers than novices. Re-examining prior knowledge shapes firm foundations on which to develop new learning experiences, and supports self-worth, reducing feelings of ignorance or general lack of ability, as new stimulating options are created when linkages are made between past ideas and new information (Andersen, 2018). Activating and connecting pertinent prior knowledge is vital in setting the scene.

Activity

Let's connect with your own prior knowledge by considering the topic of this textbook – wellbeing, and specifically wellbeing within educational contexts. Complete the tasks below.

THINGS I UNDERSTAND	THINGS I THINK I UNDERSTAND	THINGS I WANT or NEED TO UNDERSTAND and LEARN HOW TO DO
What do you already understand about wellbeing in general?	What do you think you understand?	What do you want or need to find out? Why do you want or need to find this out?
What do you already understand about wellbeing in educational contexts?	What are you already able to do in fostering wellbeing with your current context?	Why is this important for you?
What do you currently understand about how to foster wellbeing in your current context?	What level of expertise/ experience do you have?	What do you want to learn?
	How can this be evidenced? (E.g., how do you know and what does this look like?)	Why is this important to you?

Table 1.1 Connecting with your prior wellbeing knowledge to what you want or need to learn. Adapted from Campbell, L. & Campbell, B. (2009). *Mindful learning: 101 Proven strategies for student and teacher success.* Corwin Press. p.14.

CONNECTING WITH YOURSELF

Being familiar to your inner signals and values and recognising how your feelings impact on you, contributes towards understanding your own wellbeing, as well as understanding the holistic complex situation that is wellbeing within a wider educational context. As such self-awareness is a key foundation block essential to understanding personal wellbeing and the wellbeing of individuals, teams and the school community. Self-awareness is required for creating trusting relationships and promoting wellbeing. If we don't know ourselves, it becomes increasing difficult to know, understand and effectively assist someone else.

According to Eurich (2017), self-awareness is "the ability to see ourselves clearly, understand who we are, how other see us, and how we fit into the world" (Eurich, 2017, p.4). Covey (2004) expands this further by explaining self-awareness as the ability to accurately understand and reflect upon one's own skills, knowledge, feelings, and behaviour, and then enact this insight to identify strengths and to try and mitigate any weaknesses. The notion of self-awareness posed by Goleman (2005), goes beyond just such passive actions, to advocacy for a strong basis in proactive action, where self-informed individuals exercise agency to craft intentional and informed decisions and choices monitoring and controlling their thoughts and subliminal biases. Eurich (2013), explains that this proactive active action involves two different forms of self-awareness:

1. Internal self-awareness – knowing and understanding yourself (Eurich, 2017).
2. External self-awareness – knowing how other people perceive you and perceiving yourself accurately from other's perspectives (Eurich, 2017).

An individual's ability to perceive, identify and manage emotions provides the basis for the types of social and emotional competencies needed for successful personal and professional conversations (Reiss, 2009). The identity of self, influences the perspectives of others and can have a powerful impact on one's efforts to collaboratively work with others and support, enhance, and promote their wellbeing. It is therefore important to ask yourself who you are and understand how you can and will engage with others in a caring professional and educative manner. It through knowing yourself and being aware of what is important to you (i.e., your values, and beliefs), that you can behave authentically when engaging with others in both personal and professional relationships.

Understanding the wellbeing of others in the first instance often commences with an understanding yourself.

Key Questions

- *How would you rate your own wellbeing? Is this accurate? What evidence do you use to validate this? Would others see you the same way?*

- *What are your core values? How are they aligned / or not aligned to your context's core values? How does this impact / or not impact on your wellbeing?*

- *What do you stand for? What principles guide you? How do they impact / or not impact on your wellbeing?*

Activity

Rochat's (2003) extensive study on the development of self-awareness offers one way of conceptualising levels of self-awareness, and how self-awareness develops over time as a result of life experiences (see Table 1.2). Where would you place your level of self-awareness? What evidence do you have to support the level you have identified? How accurate is your judgement? How could you validate your judgement?

Level	Rochat's Levels of Development	Description
0	Confusion	Having **no self- awareness** or understanding of a how individual actions connect to, or impact on the environment.
1	Differentiation	At this level there is **an awareness of a difference between what is perceived by self and what is reflected** in an environment, and gaining a sense of how self is situated relative to that environment.
2	Situation	A growing understanding that the results of self-produced **actions can be observed in**, and **can impact** on the impact on the environment.
3	Identification	**Basic self-awareness.** Consciousness and active gathering and processing information from the environment with a focus on the reality (impact) of own behaviour. Identification of own feelings, physical sensations, reactions, habits, behaviours and thoughts. Understanding of how self is managed and how one engages with other people.
4	Permanence	A **recognition that this is me and I am stuck with it (sometimes)**. The self is able to be identified beyond the moment and the here and now. At this level self manifests as enduring, while also at the same time being responsive to changes over time. This is the point where changes can be made; an appreciation is developed of reasons for past behaviour or self-protection systems; vulnerabilities that were had at that time are recognised and acknowledged; and negative and positive core beliefs identified.
5	Self-consciousness or meta self-awareness	A **realisation that this is the "me" that everyone else sees and that** "I" have come to terms with that. At this level individuals are fully aware of who they are, how they present and how they are perceived in the minds of others. Self-consciousness or meta self-awareness provides opportunities to make changes that make a real difference and as self-aware individuals are also open to further evaluation of how they are perceived.

Table 1.2 Rochat's 5 Levels of Self-awareness. Text adapted from Self-awareness. *Wikipedia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Self-awareness, available under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported Licence.

We acknowledge that a journey in self-discovery can at times be challenging, an

understanding of self creates deeper authenticity in professional relationships and wellbeing conversations by developing more complex internal mechanisms for knowing when and how to engage with other people. The understanding of self is not a "one off" epiphany or process. It is a life-long learning journey that involves hard work, takes considerable practice, may be emotionally painful at times when a person recognises and acknowledges their strengths and weaknesses, takes time to master but most importantly it is worthwhile work. You may find that you may need to utilise the learning activities above many times as you grow as a learner.

REFERENCES

Anderson, D.L., & Graham, A.P. (2016). Improving student wellbeing: Having a say at school. *School Effectiveness & School Improvement*, 27(3), 348-36. https://doi.org/10.1080/09243453.2015.1084336

Campbell, L. & Campbell, B. (2009). *Mindful learning:101 Proven strategies for student and teacher success.* Corwin Press.

Covey, S.R. (2004). *The 8th habit: From effectiveness to greatness*. Free Press.

Eurich, T. (2013). *Bankable leadership: Happy people, bottom-line results, and the power to deliver both.* Green Leaf Book Press.

Eurich, T. (2017). *Insight: The power of self-awareness in a self-deluded world*. Pan Books.

Goleman, D. (2005). *Emotional intelligence*. Random House.

Mazano, R. (2007) *The art and science of teaching: A comprehensive framework for effective instruction*. Association of Superiors and Curriculum Development.

Michalos, A.C (2007). *Education, happiness and wellbeing*. Paper presented at the International Conference on 'Is happiness measurable and what do those measures mean for public policy?', Rome, Italy.

Pulimeno, M., Piscitelli, P., Colazzo ,S., Colao, A., Miani, A. (2020). School as ideal setting to promote health and wellbeing among young people. *Health Promotion Perspectives,*10(4),316-324. https://doi.org/10.34172/hpp.2020.50

Reiss, K. (2009). *Leadership coaching for educators*. Hawker Brownlow Education.

Rochat, P. (2003). Five levels of self-awareness as they unfold early in life. *Consciousness & Cognition*, (12), 707-731.

Roffey, S. (2011). *Changing behaviour in schools: Promoting positive relationships and wellbeing.* Sage.

CHAPTER 2

Theoretical Conceptualisations of Wellbeing

Key Concepts
• There is a lack of consensus in the literature as to exactly what wellbeing is, as well as an array of wellbeing models. • The challenge is for educational contexts to clearly define wellbeing and select or develop a model of the concept before trying to implement wellbeing programs.

GUIDING QUESTION

• What is wellbeing?

Figure 2.1 Photograph by Daniel Minárik on unsplash.

INTRODUCTION

Wellbeing is now a concept at the core of many educational policy agendas and practices. Increasing attention is focussed on both student and staff mental and emotional wellbeing initiatives and polices, in order to equip individuals with the social and emotional skills, knowledge and the disposition required to operate and contribute productively within both an educational setting and the broader societal context.

This Chapter will explore the following questions: What does the concept of wellbeing mean? Does the term wellbeing have the same meaning for all individuals and groups within a school? Does the concept of wellbeing

hold constant across time and events despite the diversity of experiences, culture, beliefs and values evident within educational contexts? What foundational approaches and models inform wellbeing educational initiatives? And what is the role of education in the wellbeing of student and staff? In exploring the above questions, the theoretical concept of wellbeing will be explored by examining definitions of wellbeing, wellness and mental health; investigating theoretical conceptualisations of wellbeing; and by exploring subjective wellbeing as an approach to fostering wellbeing an examining the place of wellbeing in educational contexts.

WHAT IS WELLBEING?

The seeking of a definition for wellbeing is a complex pursuit, as increasingly it is utilised in conversations, on the community and global media, and within the literature, in many different ways, with wellbeing seemingly taking shape as a chameleon (Carter, 2016). Originally there appeared two specific schools of thought where wellbeing was seen either as hedonic or eudemonic.

From a hedonic view, focusing on happiness can be seen as the totality of pleasurable moments. Philosophers such as Hobbes viewed wellbeing as "a pursuit of human appetites", DeSade held that it was the "pursuit of sensations and pleasure" and Bentham claimed that "through maximising pleasure and self-interest that the good society is built" (cited in Husain, 2008). Other philosophers held a somewhat different view, deeming that people experience happiness in the expression of their virtues, engaged in what they believe is worth doing (Carter, 2016). This notion of eudemonia – being true to one's inner self can be equated with an eudemonic perspective of wellbeing. Building upon the eudemonic view of wellbeing is Maslow's (1970) concept of self- actualization and Deci and Ryan's (2000) self-determination theory. An individual's or community's quality of life is a direct function of the conditions that arise in life, and how an individual or community utilises the conditions that life presents. How an individual or community perceives the condition, thinks and feels about those conditions, what is done and, ultimately, what consequences follow from all these inputs in turn becomes a function of how the conditions are perceived. People's perceptions, their feelings, their thoughts, and their actions, then, have a direct impact on their own and others' living conditions (Michalos, 2007).

McCallum and Price (2016) argue that wellbeing has emerged as "something everyone seemingly aims for, and arguably has a right to" (McCallum & Price, 2016, p.2). While wellbeing is not a new concept, it has become an important concept within contemporary school community contexts. However, identifying an agreed definition of wellbeing, in addition to establishing a consensus on how quality wellbeing can be achieved and sustained, is far more problematic with the term wellbeing often poorly defined and under-theorised (Camfield et al., 2009). To compound the issue of definition inconsistency, wellbeing is often used interchangeably with other terms such as 'happiness', 'flourishing',

'enjoying a good life' and 'life satisfaction', all which have very different interpretations and underlying meanings.

Bradburn (1969) (as cited in Dodge et al., 2012) defined wellbeing as being present when an individual is high in psychological wellbeing, where an excess of positivity (positive affect) predominates over negative affect. In contrast, Shah and Marks (2004) argued that wellbeing is more than just positive affect (happiness, feeling satisfied), with feeling fulfilled and developing as a person an equally important aspect in defining wellbeing. Diener et al. (1999) extend the definition of wellbeing even further by defining wellbeing as subjective (thus the term subjective wellbeing, [SWB]) more specifically as consisting of three essential interrelated components: life satisfaction, pleasant affect, and unpleasant affect.

The characteristic intensity with which people perceive their affective states, has no bearing on overall subjective well-being (Larsen, Diener & Emmons, 1985). It seems that the predominant predictor of overall SWB is the rate of positive compared to negative states in a person's life, throughout time (Larsen et al., 1985). "Because subjective well-being refers to affective experiences and cognitive judgments, self-report measures of subjective wellbeing are indispensable" (Larsen & Eid, 2008, p. 4).

Together with his associates Ed Diener designed the Satisfaction with Life Scale (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985), which developed into the standard measure of life satisfaction in the wellbeing field. The implications concerning the measurement of SWB are that:

1. SWB can be assessed by self-report with significant consistency and authority (Larsen & Eid, 2008).

2. Each measurement method has drawbacks and benefits (Larsen & Eid, 2008).

3. Comprehensive assessment of SWB necessitates a multimethod assessment tool (Diener, 2009; Diener & Eid, 2006).

Diener (2006) suggested that people over emphasise their emotional intensity and underestimate and underrate the frequency of their positive affect when recollecting emotional moments. This research signifies that there is no single cause of SWB. It seems apparent then, that certain conditions appear to be essential for high SWB [e.g., mental health, positive social relationships] but are not singularly sufficient to cause happiness (Diener, 2006). Diener's work has detected a number of circumstances that seem to be required for, or correlated with happiness, however no one condition, or characteristic is adequate to ensure happiness in itself (Larsen & Eid, 2008).

It should be noted that there is evidence that diverse circumstances and outcomes make people happy. Diener and colleagues have shown that the links to happiness alter between young versus old people (Diener, 2000). So what makes a younger person happy may not make an older person happy. Likewise, Diener et al. (1995) reported that there are different connections to happiness in differing cultures. Diener (2000) has suggested that that there are likely universals, such as experiencing close positive social relationships that

are associated with happiness by almost everyone. Larsen and Eid (2008, p 8.) cleverly suggest a cooking analogy explaining that when cooking some ingredients are essential, many just enhance flavour or texture but no singular ingredient, produces the desired outcome, rather all ingredients need to come together in the right way for success to be achieved.

SWB appears to contribute to beneficial outcomes in life. Diener (2000), along with his colleagues has determined that happy people are more creative and sociable; have increased likelihood of longevity; display generally sturdier immune systems; earn more money; are good leaders; and display generally better citizenship in their workplace. Furthermore, numerous positive outcomes were linked to happiness, such as marital satisfaction, job satisfaction, and improved coping. Therefore, high SWB is particularly desirable at individual, at educational system levels, and at societal levels. It therefore makes sense to invest in promoting a culture in educational contexts where wellbeing is important. This text will aim to explore, how educational contexts can create a culture where SWB is valued, and high levels of SWB are desired as outcomes, planned for and hopefully achieved.

McCallum and Price (2016) propose an even more encompassing definition of wellbeing outlining it as diverse and fluid, respecting the beliefs and values of individual, family, and community, and experiences, culture, opportunities, and contexts across time and change.

They aver that it encompasses interwoven environmental, collective, and individual elements that interact across a lifespan (McCallum & Price, 2016). Despite a range of notions encompassed in wellbeing definitions, wellbeing can then be described in very broad terms as a holistic, balanced life experience where wellbeing needs to be considered in relation to how an individual feels and functions across several areas, including cognitive, emotional, social, physical, and spiritual wellbeing.

> **Key Questions**
>
> - *How does your context define wellbeing?*
> - *How and why do these definitions align or not align with you own definition of wellbeing?*

WHAT IS WELLNESS?

The term wellness is often used interchangeably with the term wellbeing (McCallum & Price, 2016). However, Roscoe (2009) argues that wellness is not the same as wellbeing, and instead contributes to it, as wellness is the sum of the positive steps taken to achieve wellbeing.

> **Key Question**

> *Do you agree with Roscoe's statement and why / why not ?*

The term wellness was first introduced by Dunn (1959) (as cited in Kirkland, 2014), who argued that health was much more than the absence of disease, and remains the cornerstone of today's concept of wellness. Dunn defined wellness in terms of the integration of the whole person – the body, mind and spirit, with wellness described as different spiritual, cognitive, emotional, environmental and physical aspects (refer to Figure 2.2), all of which combine to form wellness (Albrecht, 2014).

*Figure 2.2 Unknown author, (u.d.). Eight Dimensions of Wellness . Adapted from Dunn's Wheel of Wellness, Albrecht, N. (2014). Wellness: A conceptual framework for school-based mindfulness programs. The International Journal of Health, Wellness, and Society, 4(1), 21-36, p. 26.*

Roscoe (2009) identified the above core principles of wellness, depicted in Figure 2.2:

1. Wellness is dynamic, and changing and evident on many levels.

2. A range of factors work in combination to form wellness.

3. Wellness emerges from the integrative and dynamic whole rather than from the sum of its parts.

4. Environmental contexts impact wellness.

5. Life-span developmental changes affect wellness.

6. Awareness, education and growth are central to the paradigm of wellness.

Key Questions

- *How are definitions of wellness different to, or the same as definitions of wellbeing?*

- *Where and how does wellness fit into the conceptualisation of wellbeing?*

WHAT IS MENTAL HEALTH?

A similar lack of consensus is also evident when defining mental health. Bhugra, Till and Sartorius (2013) describe mental health as an integral and essential part of overall health which can be defined in at least three ways including: the absence of disease; a balance within oneself and balance between oneself and one's physical and social environment; and finally, a state of being that allows for the full performance of all its mental and physical functions (Bhurga et al., 2013). Watson et al., 2012) similarly define mental health as a state of being that also includes the biological, psychological or social factors which contribute to an individual's mental state and ability to function within the environment. The World Health Organisation [WHO] (2007) extends the definition of mental health further to include realising one's potential; the ability to cope with normal life stresses; and community contributions as core components of mental health. Other definitions also extend beyond this to include intellectual, emotional and spiritual development, positive self-perception, feelings of self-worth and physical health, and intrapersonal harmony as key aspects in defining metal mental (Bhurga et al., 2013).

Key Question

View Figure 2.3 and consider, how does mental health fit into the conceptualisation of wellbeing?

Figure 2.3 Photograph by Martin Adams on unsplash

THEORETICAL CONCEPTUALISATIONS OF WELLBEING

While many theoretical constructs of wellbeing exist, two conceptual approaches to wellbeing research now tend to dominate the field of research and discussion. Objective wellbeing theories tend to define wellbeing in terms of objective, external and universal notions of quality-of-life indicators such as social attributes {health, education, social networks and connections} and material resources [income, food and housing](Watson et al., 2012). Objective theories of wellbeing largely arise from Amartya Sen's work in welfare economics and tend to focus on agreed core human capabilities necessary for quality life such as body health and integrity; the ability to think and imagine; the ability to express emotions; the ability to exercise practical reasoning and autonomy in contributing to one's own education, work and political and social participation (Bourke & Geldens, 2007).

In contrast, subjective theories of wellbeing are focused on subjective overall life evaluations, and comprise two main components – affect {feelings, emotions and mood} and life satisfaction, which is identified as a distinct construct and defined relative to specific domains in life [such as school, work and family] (Diener & Ryan, 2009). Affect is dived further into positive and negative emotions, with subjective wellbeing experienced when a predominance of positive emotions occurs more than negative emotions (Diener et al., 1999). As people and perceptions are at the heart of the meaning of subjective wellbeing, Watson et al. (2012) argue that subjective wellbeing has direct utility in describing and

facilitating staff and student social and emotional wellbeing. The following contemporary models of wellbeing outline frameworks for exploring wellbeing.

TRIPARTITE MODEL OF SUBJECTIVE WELLBEING

Diener and Ryan's (2009) Tripartite Model of Subjective Wellbeing (refer to Figure 2.4) presents wellbeing as a general evaluation of an individual's quality of life in terms of three key components:

1. Life satisfaction, which is composed of: Imperfect assessment of the balance between positive and negative affect in one's life. An assessment of how well one's life measures up to aspirations and goals;

2. Positive affect (pleasurable feelings); and

3. Negative affect (painful feelings).

Figure 2.4, a Tripartite Model of Subjective Wellbeing is a representation of the relationship between SWB and cognitive, affective and cultural variables.


Figure 2.4 Tripartite Model of Subjective Wellbeing. Adapted from Galiha, I.C. & Pais-Riberiro, J.L. (2011). Cognitive, affective and contextual predictors of subjective wellbeing. International Journal of Wellbeing, 2(1), 34-53, p.38.

SELIGMAN'S PERMA WELLBEING MODEL

Seligman's (2011) PERMA model (refer to Figure 2.5) proposes that wellbeing has several measurable elements, each contributing to wellbeing. The PERMA model identifies five essential elements to wellbeing:

1. Positive emotions include a wide range of feelings, not just happiness and joy {P}.

2. Engagement refers to involvement in activities that draws and builds upon one's interests {E}.

3. Positive Relationships are all important in promoting positive emotions, whether they are work-related, school related, familial, romantic, or platonic {R}.

4. Meaning also known as purpose, and prompts the question of "why" {M}.

5. Achievement / accomplishment are the pursuit of success and mastery {A}.

MCCALLUM AND PRICE'S MODEL OF HOLISTIC WELLBEING

McCallum and Price (2016) outlined a model of holistic wellbeing where the student is central. They suggest that the model captures the interplay between learner wellbeing, educator wellbeing, and community wellbeing. Six key principles are identified together with six key strategies as the means of enactment in nurturing wellbeing in education.

1. Positive relationships – building and sustaining healthy relationships.

2. Positive strengths – developing and nurturing individual and group strengths.

3. Positive communication – establishing effective and safe communication strategies.

4. Positive behaviour – behaving in a way that welcomes a sense of belonging and connections to others and positive, peaceful and caring action.

5. Positive emotion – nurturing emotional health.

6. Positive leadership – scaffolding wellbeing through growing leaders with a democratic leadership style.

(McCallum & Price, 2016, p. 144).

SCHOOL COMMUNITY WELLBEING

Educational contexts are now key stakeholders in promoting student and staff wellbeing, regardless of the diversity of wellbeing definitions and approaches. McCallum and Price (2016) argue that given the link between wellbeing and academic achievement, educators,

policy and curriculum developers, it is no surprise that educational contexts are being increasingly challenged to centre wellbeing as both a foundation to, and integral part of learning. As a result, an increasing an emphasis is now being placed on producing successful and confident learners, resulting in a more holistic approach to education in order to support both academic achievement and wellbeing of students. McCallum and Price (2016) also suggest that wellbeing education is for the whole community and have proposed a Wellbeing education model which supports that notion by suggesting that wellbeing education is an essential provider to academic learning and achievement (McCallum & Price, 2016). We believe that wellbeing education goes beyond this and is essential to the creation of social hope and social capital.

Figure 2.5 Photograph of a person by Warren Wong on unsplash

Supportive educational environments must now promote the wellbeing of learners by assisting them to develop a positive sense of identity, agency, self-worth and connectedness within their community. Learners, educators, communities and educational institutions hold responsibility in this regard. Scoffham and Barnes (2011) noted that the challenge for today's educators is to provide a place as well as programs that are both secure and demanding, and based upon pedagogy that furthers the present and future wellbeing and happiness of the children and young people within positive social and environmental change contexts.

Key Questions

- *Has your definition of wellbeing changed or not changed and if so why and how?*

- *What factors influence your wellbeing definition?*

CONCLUSION

Despite the range of notions encompassed in wellbeing definitions explored throughout this chapter, we believe that wellbeing is experienced differently by different people. We embrace Diener's (2009) definition that wellbeing consists of three elements that involve the cognitive evaluation of overall satisfaction with life; positive affect; and lower levels of negative affect. Wellbeing can be viewed holistically, in terms of balanced life experience where, wellbeing needs to be considered in relation to how an individual feels and functions

across several areas, including cognitive, emotional, social, physical and spiritual wellbeing. As authors we hope that readers are challenged to deeply ponder how they define wellbeing.

As educational contexts are now key stakeholders in promoting children, young people and staff wellbeing, it is no surprise that educational communities are being increasingly challenged to centre wellbeing as both a foundation to, and integral part of an educational context's structures, processes and learning. The challenge for educational contexts then is to clearly define wellbeing; select or develop a model of wellbeing that promotes the wellbeing of students (children / young people) and staff; and develop a positive sense of identity, agency, self-worth and connectedness.

REFERENCES

Albrecht, N. (2014). Wellness. *The International Journal of Health, Wellness, and Society*, 4 (1), 21-36. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.28103.09120

Bourke, L. & Geldens, L. M, (2007). Subjective wellbeing and its meaning for young people in a rural Australian centre. *Social Indicators Research*, 82 (1), 165-187. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-006-9031-0

Bhugra, D., Dill, A. & Sartorius, N. (2013). What is mental health? *International Journal of Social Psychiatry,* 59 (1), 3-4. https://doi.org/10.1177/002076401246331.

Camfield, L., Streuli, N. & Woodhead, M. (2009). What's the use of 'well-being' in contexts of child poverty? Approaches to research, monitoring and children's participation. T*he International Journal of Children's Rights,* 17, 65-109. https://doi.org/10.1163/157181808X357330

Carter, S. (2016). Holding it together: an explanatory framework for maintaining subjective well-being (SWB) in principals. [Thesis (PhD/Research)].

Deci, E.L. & Ryan, R.M. (2000). 'The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behaviour. *Psychological Inquiry,* 11, 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Diener, E. (2000). SWB: The science of happiness, and a proposal for a national index. *American   Psychologist,* 55, 34-43. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.34.

Diener, E. (2009). Assessing well-being: The collected works of Ed Diener. S*ocial Indicators Research Series,* 39. Springer.

Diener, E., & Eid, M. (2006). The finale: Take-home messages from the editors. In M. Eid

& E. Diener (Eds.). H*andbook of multi-method measurement in psychology* (pp. 457-463). American Psychological Association.

Denier, E. & Ryan, K. (2009) Subjective wellbeing: A general overview. *South African Journal of Psychology,* 39(4), 391 – 406.

Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The satisfaction with life scale. J*ournal of Personality Assessment,* 49, 71–75. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 008124630903900402

Diener, E., Suh, M., Lucas, E., & Smith, H. (1999). Subjective well-being: Three decades of progress. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125 (2), 276–302. https://doi.org/10.1037/ 0033-2909.125.2.276.

Diener, E., Suh, E. M., Smith, H., & Shao, L. (1995). National differences in reported subjective well-being: Why do they occur? *Social Indicators Research Special Issue: Global Report on Student Well-Being*, 34, 7–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01078966

Dodge, R., Daly, A., Huyton, J., & Sanders, L. (2012). The challenge of defining wellbeing. *International Journal of Wellbeing,* 2 (3), 222-235. https://doi.org/0.5502/ijw.v2i3.4

Husain, A. (2008). *Horizons of Spiritual Psychology*. Global Vision Publishing House.

Kirkland, A. (2014). What is wellness now? J*ournal of Health Politics, Policy & Law*, 39 (5),957-970. https://doi.org/10.1215/03616878-2813647

Larsen, R. J., Diener, E., & Emmons, R. A. (1985). An evaluation of subjective well-being measures. *Social Indicators Research*, 17, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00354108

Larsen, R. J. & Eid, M. (2008). Ed Diener and the Science of SWB. In M. Eid &. R. J. Larsen (Eds.), *The science of SWB*. Guilford.

Maslow, A, H. (1970). *Motivation and personality* (2nd ed.). Harper & Row.

McCallum, F. & Price, D. (Eds.) (2016). N*urturing wellbeing development in education: From little things, big things grow.* Routledge.

Michalos, A.C (2007). E*ducation, happiness and wellbeing*. Paper presented at the International Conference on 'Is happiness measurable and what do those measures mean for public policy?' Rome, Italy.

Roscoe, L.J. (2009). Wellness: A review of theory and measurement for counsellors. *Journal of Counselling & Development.* 87 (2), 216-226. https://doi.org/10.1002/ j.1556-6678.2009.tb00570.x

Scoffham, S. & Barnes, J. (2011) Happiness matters: towards a pedagogy of happiness

and wellbeing. *Curriculum Journal*, 22 (4), 535-548. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585176.2011.627214.

Shah, H., & Marks, N. (2004). *A well-being manifesto for a flourishing society*. The New Economics Foundation.

Sigleman, M. (2011). Flourish: *A visionary new understanding of happiness and wellbeing*. New Free Press.

Watson, D., Emery, C., Bayliss, P., Boushel, M. & McInnes, K. (2012). C*hildren's social and emotional wellbeing in schools: A critical perspective*. The Policy Press.

World Health Organisation [WHO]. (2007). *The international classification of functioning, disability and health children and youth (ICF-CY).* World Health Organization.

CHAPTER 3

Policy, Frameworks and Legislation Informing a Focus on Wellbeing

Key Concept
Policy, frameworks and legislation are complex and open to multiple interpretations which make enactment problematic.

GUIDING QUESTIONS

- Do policy, frameworks and legislation provide guidance?
- How is wellbeing enacted?

Figure 3.1 Photograph of text by Leonardo Bugos on unsplash

INTRODUCTION

National and state policy reports have indicated that many Australian students, teachers and leaders are experiencing difficulty maintaining their wellbeing. As educational contexts (e.g., schools, special education units and early childhood centres) represent a major component of Australia's society and economy, it is no surprise then that national and international concern regarding the social and emotional wellbeing of children, young people and educators has now become a major focus in a wide range of international and Australian policy initiatives. As a consequence, interest has increased in the role educational contexts and educators play in promoting student wellbeing, and the interface that occurs between policy and practice when implementing wellbeing programs in schools. This Chapter explores Australian and international legislation, policy, and frameworks which inform a focus on wellbeing.

> ### Activity
>
> *Before we examine policy, framework and legislation that informs a focus on wellbeing, how would you define each of the preceding terms?*

Consider how the literature defines policy, framework and legislation, alongside the understandings you have of the concepts. Bacchi (2000) defined the term policy as a "discourse of ideas or plans that form the basis for making decisions to accomplish goals that are deemed worthwhile" (p.46). Cochran and Malone (2010) described policy in terms of the actions of government, and the intentions that determine those actions. Birkland (2016) espoused that the term policy referred to a plan of what to do, that has been agreed to officially, by either a group of people, an organisation or a government, in order to achieve a set of goals.

In contrast, the term framework has been conceptualised in a number of different ways. Coburn and Turner (2011) described a framework as an abstract, logical structure of meaning that guides action, and includes identification of key concepts, and the relationships between those concepts. On the other hand, Garrison (2011) considered a framework to be a set of beliefs, rules or ideas that outline what actions can be undertaken. White (2010) presented an alternate viewpoint, that a wellbeing framework is "a social process with material, relational, and subjective dimensions" (p.158), that can be assessed at individual and collective levels, with relationships at the centre. Compared to above, the term legislation is more simply defined as all Bill and Acts passed and subordinate legislation made by government.

> ### Key Questions

Consider how wellbeing is represented in your own context's policies and curriculum. How effectively is this applied?

LEGISLATION INFORMING A FOCUS ON WELLBEING

McCallum and Price (2016) argue that at local, national and international levels, all children and young people have the right to an education that supports their wellbeing and development. As a consequence, improving the wellbeing and developmental outcomes of Australia's children have become a key priority for Australian governments (Kyriacou, 2012). We will now explore legislation that impacts on the notion of wellbeing for children, young people and educational contexts (e.g., schools, special education units and early childhood centres).

AUSTRALIAN LEGISLATION

There are two key pieces of international legislation: Education and Child Protection Acts; and Australian Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Act 1986.

EDUCATION AND CHILD PROTECTION ACTS

The most significant pieces of guiding legislation for educational contexts across Australia are jurisdictional   Education (General Provisions) Acts, which set out the conditions and requirements for the provision of education, and Child Protection Acts which set out protection for children and young people. However, while wellbeing is not referred to specifically in these acts, there is an underlying principle that guides both legislation and any subsequent policy on education, children and young people that falls out from legislation. The principle that governments must operate in "best interests of the child" is evident across all jurisdictions (Powell & Graham, 2017). (Your jurisdiction's Education and Child Protection Acts can be located by searching for the relevant Act from your jurisdiction).

AUSTRALIAN HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ACT 1986

The Australian Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Act 1986, overseen by the Australian Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission [HREOC], plays a role in protecting and promoting the rights of children and young people within Australia. While the Act does not specifically promote wellbeing, it does refer to the right to an education and also provides policy and recourses to specifically to support the prevention of bullying, harassment and racism.

> **Activity**
>
> Consider how and why this legislation may or may not link to the definitions of wellbeing identified in Chapter 2.

INTERNATIONAL LEGISLATION

There are three key pieces of international legislation that have influenced the Australian landscape: the Convention on the Rights of the Child, United Kingdom Children's Act 2004; and No Child Left Behind Act 2001.

THE CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD

The International Year of the Child (1979) brought commitment by national and international governments and organisations to extend human rights to children. As a consequence, the United Nations United General Assembly [UNGA] (1989) Convention on the Rights of the Child [CRC] (1989) was developed. The CRC emphasized the civil and political rights of individual children as well as economic, social, and cultural rights; the right to be raised in peace; and the right to dignity, tolerance, freedom, equality and solidarity (UNGA, 1989). As Australia is a signatory to the CRC many of the principles within the Convention are embedded within legislation, policy and frameworks pertaining to children and young people.

UNITED KINGDOM CHILDREN'S ACT 2004

In the United Kingdom the Children's Act 2004 (United Kingdom Government, 2014) was specifically designed to care and support children, with many of the principles from the Convention on the Rights of the Child embedded within this legislation. Part 2, Section 10 refers specifically to wellbeing and identifies six guiding principles: allow children to be healthy; allow children to remain safe in their environments; help children to enjoy life; assist children in their quest to succeed; to make a positive contribution to the lives of children; and to achieve economic stability for children's futures (UKG, 2004). The Children and Families Act 2014 takes forward the United Kingdom Government's commitment to improve services for vulnerable children and support strong families. The 2014 Act reinforces wider reforms to ensure that all children and young people can succeed, no matter what their background.

NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND ACT 2001

In the United States of America [USA], the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) (1974) is federal legislation that states that every child has a right to be raised in a

nurturing, loving family, with basic needs such as food, primary health care, education and protection from abuse, neglect, and maltreatment. In addition, every state has laws that protect children from harm. The *No Child Left Behind Act* (2001) focused on the premise that setting high standards and establishing measurable goals can improve individual outcomes in education and the quality of lives of children and young people. While not specifying wellbeing development, the main goal of this Act is to close the achievement gap that separates disadvantaged children and young people and their peers. Waters (2017) argues that while closing the gap in educational attainment and opportunity may enhance wellbeing, much debate exists as to whether this Act contributes to or hinders the wellbeing of children and young people.

Activity

We suggest that you access both acts and consider the wording in each, and the implications of enactment.

- Compare and contrast the notion of wellbeing in the *UKG Children's Act 2004* and *Children and Families Act 2014.*

- Critique how wellbeing is defined or not defined within these documents.

- Critique the intentions of the above legislation.

POLICY INFORMING A FOCUS ON WELLBEING

Powell and Graham (2017) note that the increasing focus on the social and emotional wellbeing of children and young people in Australia has attracted considerable community and political interest, with educational contexts now taking a key role in supporting and promoting the wellbeing of students. Waters (2017) argues that such interest has created a rapidly changing landscape of education governance within Australia, where responsibility shifts between state and Commonwealth governments, which in turn contributes to a broad and diffuse policy environment. The rising interest wellbeing has been guided by a number of key policy initiatives and approaches that have been put forward over the past decade.

AUSTRALIAN POLICY

There are two key pieces of influential Australian policy: the Melbourne Declaration on Educational Goals for Young Australians, and the National Mental Health Policy.

Melbourne Declaration on Educational Goals for Young Australians

The Alice Springs (Mparntwe) Education Declaration (Ministerial Council on Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs [MCEETYA], 2019) sets out a vision for a world class education system to ensure that every student is encouraged and supported to be the very best they can be, no matter where they live or what kind of learning challenges they may face. The declaration endeavors to mitigate major world issues that impact on Australian schools including high levels of international mobility, ever-increasing globalization and technological change, in conjunction with increased environmental, social and economic pressures and the ongoing acceleration of advances in information communication technologies, which together are placing greater demands on, and as well as providing greater opportunities for young people.

National [Australian] Mental Health Policy

 The National Mental Health and Suicide Prevention Plan – Prevention Compassion Care (Commonwealth Australia, *2021)* outlines priorities to achieve the National Mental Health Policy. This plan also specifically outlines an agreed set of actions to address social and emotional wellbeing, mental illness and suicide as a priority, as well elevate the importance of addressing the needs of people who live with mental illness, and reducing the stigma and discrimination that accompanies mental illness.

For further detailed information, we suggest that you access both policies.

Activity
• Compare and contrast the notion of wellbeing in the Alice Springs Declaration on Educational Goals for Young Australians (2019) and National Mental Health and Suicide Prevention Plan.   • Critique how wellbeing is implicitly or explicitly defined in each policy, and how well definitions align with notions of wellbeing discussed in Chapter 2.   • Critique the intentions of the documents, and how well they align with notions of wellbeing discussed in Chapter 2.   • Document and consider how elements of each policy could be in tension with each other, or with practice and programs in educational contexts .

INTERNATIONAL POLICY

There are two main pieces of international policy that have been influential in Australian landscape: Every Child Matters Policy United Kingdom; and the World Health Organization Mental Health Action Plan for 2013-2020.

Every Child Matters Policy United Kingdom

Every Child Matters policy (Government of the United Kingdom, 2003) recognised 5 positive outcomes as being essential to children and young people's wellbeing including: being healthy, happy and safe; developing skills for adulthood in order to get the most out of life; to make a positive contribution in life; being involved with the community and society and not engaging offending or anti-social behaviour and lastly experiencing economic wellbeing and full life potential.

   It is worth noting that while there has been an increase in international wellbeing policy, there is still no universal definition or agreement as to what wellbeing is. Copestake's (2008) study of international wellbeing policy identified contrasting views of wellbeing evident across many international policies. In many cases policies were based on very different contrasting assumptions about what the definition of wellbeing was, and how it could be achieved. Similarly, Spratt (2016) argued that within Scottish wellbeing policy "different professional discourses of wellbeing have migrated into education policy" (p. 223), which have resulted in differing views of wellbeing being represented.

World Health Organization Mental Health Action Plan for 2013-2020

Student wellbeing has become a focus of international education policy for global organisations such as the World Health Organization [WHO]. The WHO identifies mental wellbeing as a fundamental component of good health and wellbeing. The WHO Mental Health Action Plan for 2013-2020 (WHO, 2013) was extended until 2030 by the 72nd World Health Assembly in May 2019. The plan is a comprehensive action plan that recognises the essential role of mental health in achieving health and wellbeing for all people.

Activity

- Examine the policies in your education system and compare and contrast the notion of wellbeing in each policy/ program / document.

- Critique how wellbeing is implicitly or explicitly defined in each policy, and how well definitions align with notions of wellbeing discussed in Chapter 2.

- Use the following template and consider elements of each policy that could be in tension with each other or with practice and programs in educational contexts.

Policy	Conflict with other policies	Conflict with school practice / programs

Table 3.1 Elements of policy in tension

FRAMEWORKS INFORMING A FOCUS ON WELLBEING

Clarke, Sixsmith and Barry (2014) note that long-term benefits, such as improvement in social and emotional learning, increased social emotional functioning and improved academic performance are achieved for children and young people when wellbeing programs are implemented effectively. The following frameworks promote wellbeing as an intended key action.

AUSTRALIAN FRAMEWORKS

Several Australian frameworks have promoted wellbeing as an intended outcome: National Framework for Protecting Australia's Children 2009-2024; National Safe Schools Framework; Australian Student Wellbeing Framework; National Framework for Values Education in Australian Schools 2005; the National Strategic Framework for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples' Mental Health and Social and Emotional Wellbeing.

National Framework for Protecting Australia's Children 2009-2024

The National Framework for Protecting Australia's Children 2021-2031 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2021) has a strong focus on protecting children and young people from abuse and neglect, with wellbeing highlighted as a key action.

The National Safe Schools Framework

The National Safe Schools Framework [NSSF] (Ministerial Council for Education, Early Childhood Development and Youth Affairs [MCEEDYA] (2011) provides Australian educational contexts with a set of guiding principles that assist school communities to develop positive and practical student safety and wellbeing policies (Australian Government Department of Education and Training, 2018). The NSSF is collaborative effort by the Commonwealth and State and Territory government and non-government educational context authorities and other key stakeholders. It places an emphasis on creating a safe and supportive educational context environment that promotes student wellbeing and effective learning, by addressing issues of bullying, violence, harassment, child abuse and neglect.

McCallum and Price (2016) also contended that the 2014 revision also provides Australian educational contexts with clear vision as well as a set of guiding principles that will enables educational contexts to develop contextually based positive and practical student safety and wellbeing policies, in addition to a number of practical tools and resources that will assist in the facilitation of positive school culture. The guiding profiles embedded within the NSSF forefront the valuing of diversity; the positive contribution of the whole educational community to the safety and wellbeing of themselves and others; the need to act independently, justly, cooperatively and responsibly in school, work, civic and family relationships; and the provision of appropriate strategies in order to create and maintain a safe and supportive learning environment (MCEEDYA, 2011).

Australian Student Wellbeing Framework

The Australian framework explores the role of educators, parents and students in promoting wellbeing and the online government site hosts a variety of resources to assist school communities. The vision outlined by the Ministers of Education Council [MEC], (2018) Australian Student Wellbeing Framework   is for school learning communities to "promote student wellbeing, safety and positive relationships" so that students have the opportunity to reach their potential (MEC, 2018 p.1). In promoting student wellbeing, the Australian government has put forward a framework that consist of five interconnected elements essential to the development, implementation and maintenance of positive learning environments and safety and wellbeing policies: leadership; inclusion; student voice; partnerships; and support provide the foundation for enhanced student wellbeing and learning outcomes:

1. **Leadership**: Principals and school leaders play are an active role in constructing positive learning environments that are inclusive of the whole educational community, and where all educational community members feel included connected, safe and respected. Leadership needs to be visible and obvious to all

members of the whole educational community.

2. **Inclusion**: All members of an educational context's community need to be included and connected to an educational context's culture as well as being active participants in building a welcoming culture that values, diversity and promotes positive, respectful relationships.

3. **Student Voice**: Students are key stakeholders within educational communities and as such are active participants in cultivating in their own learning and wellbeing, feeling connected and using their social and emotional skills to be respectful, resilient and safe.

4. **Partnerships**: Support for student learning, safety and wellbeing requires effective school, family and community collaboration and partnerships.

5. **Support**: Provision of wellbeing and support for positive behaviour for staff within an educational context, for students and for families by cultivating an understanding of wellbeing through the dissemination of information on wellbeing, cultivation a culture of wellbeing as well as support for positive behaviour and how this supports effective teaching and learning (Ministers of Education Council, 2018).

In considering the above frameworks, a major research study of wellbeing in Australian educational contexts conducted by Graham et al. (2014), identified that within Australian education systems, wellbeing is not clearly defined in policies, yet the term is frequently used in policy vocabularies. Graham et al. (2014) also established that there was little to no national nor state policy specifically targeting the wellbeing of children and young people, and that while many education websites signal an interest in wellbeing, very few provided specific detail other than identification of loosely related elements.

National Framework for Values Education in Australian Schools 2005

The National Framework for Values Education in Australian Schools (MCEETYA, 2005) is a framework and a set of principles for values education in twenty-first century Australian educational contexts. The framework recognises that there is a significant history of values education in Australian government and non-government educational contexts, which draw on a range of philosophies, beliefs and traditions. It also acknowledges that values education contributes to wellbeing development of children and young people. The framework identifies "guiding principles to support educational contexts in implementing values education; key elements and approaches to implementing values education; and a set of values for Australian schooling" (MCEETYA, 2005, p.1).

In responding to concerns around wellbeing, many educators have explored values-based frameworks. A case study by White and Waters (2015) identified that the use of a strengths-

based approach framework contributed to the development of greater virtue, self-efficacy, and wellbeing in both children and young people.

National Strategic Framework for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples' Mental Health and Social and Emotional Wellbeing

The National Strategic Framework for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples' Mental Health and Social and Emotional Wellbeing 2017-2023 (Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council (2017) provides a dedicated focus on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children, young people and adult's social and emotional wellbeing and mental health. This framework endeavors to identify a culturally appropriate framework that guides and supports Indigenous mental health and wellbeing policy and practice.

There is an emerging global recognition of the significance Indigenous peoples' wellbeing and the inadequacies of conventional socio-economic and demographic data that is used measure relative wellbeing. However, Prout (2012) argued that statistical data used to report on the wellbeing status of Indigenous populations is based on a preconceived set of assumptions grounded in the non-indigenous concepts of wellbeing, demography, and economic productivity and prosperity. Prout (2012) also argues that such assumptions directly impact on how Indigenous peoples are represented across broader society and to governments.

> **Key Question**
>
> *How does the Indigenous wellbeing framework differ from previously discussed wellbeing frameworks?*

Australian Jurisdictional Frameworks

Several Australian jurisdictions have been specifically developed wellbeing frameworks to promote and develop student and staff wellbeing in educational contexts and these include: Queensland Student Learning and Wellbeing Framework; New South Wales Wellbeing Framework for Schools; South Australian Wellbeing for Learning and Life Framework; and the Northern Territory Government Principal Wellbeing Framework.

Queensland Student Learning and Wellbeing Frameworks

The Queensland Department of Education (2018) Student Learning and Wellbeing Framework focusses on developing healthy, confident and resilient young people who can successfully navigate a more complex world. This framework combines a focus on

learning and wellbeing. Key actions identified by the framework include: the creation of safe, supportive and inclusive environments; the building of staff, students and the school community capability; the implementation of supportive and inclusive environments; and the development of strong systems for early intervention (QDE, 2018).

The Queensland Department of Education (2020) Principal Health and Wellbeing Action Plan 2021 – 2022 outlines a 15-point plan to further improve the health and wellbeing of school principals in the state. The strategy has four key pillars:

1. Optimize to lead.

2. Support Services.

3. A safe and respectful workplace.

4. Capability.

New South Wales Wellbeing Framework for Schools

The New South Wales Department of Education and Communities (2015) Wellbeing Framework for Schools drives wellbeing development in educational contexts, by encouraging teaching and learning environments to focus on enabling the development of healthy, happy, successful and productive individuals. Within this framework students are also expected to contribute to their own wellbeing, the wellbeing of their peers and the collective wellbeing of their communities (NSWDE, 2015).

South Australian Wellbeing for Learning and Life Framework

The Wellbeing for Learning and Life Framework (2022) develop by the South Australian Government Department of Education is a framework for building resilience and wellbeing in children and young people. The framework considers learning and wellbeing to be closely connected. As such the framework focuses promoting how children and young people are doing and how they feel; if they feel healthy and safe; and how they feel like they belong and have a positive sense of identity. This framework also recognises the significant impact of education and care settings and has links to the ACARA and the Early Years Learning Framework.

Victoria Wellbeing in the Classroom

The State Government of Victoria – Wellbeing in the Classroom: Supporting Student Mental Health and Wellbeing (2022), outlines strategies for supporting the wellbeing of teachers and students.

Northern Territory Government Principal Wellbeing Framework

The Northern Territory Government [NTG] (2017) Principal Wellbeing Framework specifically targets the wellbeing of school principals. This framework supports principal wellbeing by "empowering principals to build their own wellbeing capacity through increased knowledge, skills, resilience and resources" (NTG, p.3).

Activity

Use the following template to identify the strengths and weakness of each framework in addressing wellbeing within an educational context.

Framework	Strengths	Weaknesses
E.G. QDET Student Learning and Wellbeing Framework		

Table 3.2 Framework strengths and weaknesses

OTHER INFLUENCES

In Australia there have been several other influences on wellbeing and these include: Australian Professional Standards for Teachers, Australian Professional Standards for Principals; and the Australian Curriculum.

AUSTRALIAN PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS FOR TEACHERS

The Australian Professional Standards for Teachers (Australian Institute for Teaching and School Leadership [AITSL], 2022) outlines a public statement of what constitutes teacher quality. Professional Standard 4, Create and Maintain Supportive and Safe Learning

Environments provide a framework for fostering wellbeing and a mentally healthy educational community.

AUSTRALIAN PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS FOR PRINCIPALS

The Australian Professional Standards for Principals and the Leadership Profiles (AITSL, 2014) provides a public statement setting out what school principals are expected to know, understand and do in order to succeed in school leadership. The accompanying Leadership Profiles arise directly from the Standards and are presented as a set of leadership actions that effective principals implement in order to develop and support teaching that maximizes student learning.

AUSTRALIAN CURRICULUM

Powell and Graham (2017) note that governments across the globe are now using National Curriculum Frameworks as a means to implement student wellbeing. Waters (2017) also identified that Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development's (2015) Centre for Educational Research and Innovation's analysis of National Curriculum Frameworks across 37 OECD countries identified that student wellbeing was an explicit aim for 72% of countries, with many OECD countries are now aiming to systematically foster both academic outcomes and student wellbeing outcomes.

The Australian Curriculum Assessment and Reporting Authority [ACARA], 2022) sets out consistent national standards to improve learning outcomes for all young Australians. The General Capabilities of the Australian Curriculum specifically outline the need for students to develop social and emotional skills, and acknowledges the link between academic outcomes and mental health (ACARA, 2022). Developing personal and social competence and managing self, relationships, lives, work and learning more effectively; recognizing and regulating emotions and developing concern for, and understanding of others; establishing positive relationships; making responsible decisions; working effectively in teams; and handling challenging situations constructively are identified and key capabilities (ACARA, 2022). For further information we suggested accessing these frameworks in full.

Interestingly, the construct of wellbeing is not always viewed the same way in international educational judications. A study by Souter, O'Steen and Gilmore (2012) suggested that New Zealand educational system's view of wellbeing differs from how it is conceptualized within literature, with words and phrases describing wellbeing constructs more often associated with the Relating domain rather than the Feeling domain. Thorburn's (2017) examination of wellbeing in curriculum in Scotland identified a policy vision of a more progressive, integrated and holistic form of education; a commitment which contains an obligation for health and wellbeing to be a responsibility of all teachers, however, there

were often issues with enactment of the policy due to problems communicating policy expectations.

In contrast, O'Toole (2017) outlines wellbeing as being conceptualised in Ireland in terms of child and youth mental health, and how that this informs a focus on school-based prevention and intervention approaches. And finally, Fattore, Mason and Watson (2012) propose a different perspective on wellbeing by exploring the use of student voice as mechanism for developing wellbeing in New Zealand's curriculum frameworks.

Activity
• *Critique how the notion of wellbeing as it presented in this group of frameworks.*   • *Critique how this group of frameworks align or do not align with previous frameworks.*   • *Consider elements of the* APST, APSP and ACARA *frameworks that could be in tension with each other or with practice and programs in educational contexts.*

CONCLUSION

Chapter 3 has explored Australian and international legislation, policy, and frameworks which inform a focus on wellbeing. Investigation reveals that local, state, national and international jurisdictions all agree that children and young people have the right to an education that supports their wellbeing and development. Improving the wellbeing of Australian children and young people has also been a key priority for Australian governments. However, despite this there is no universal definition or agreement as to what wellbeing is and how it could be achieved, with many contrasting constructs of wellbeing evident across local, state and national Australian policies. The implementation of wellbeing policy, frameworks and legislation is then complex and open to multiple interpretations which make enactment problematic for educational contexts.

REFERENCES

Albrecht, N. (2014). Wellness. *The International Journal of Health, Wellness, and Society*, 4 (1), 21-36. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.28103.09120

Australian Curriculum Assessment and Reporting Authority. (2022). *General capabilities.* http://www.acara.edu.au/curriculum/general_capabilities.html

Australian Government Department of Education and Training. (2018). *National safe schools' framework*. https://www.education.gov.au/safe-schools-hub-0

Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council. (2017). *National strategic framework for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples' mental health and social and emotional wellbeing.* https://pmc.gov.au/sites/default/files/publications/mhsewb-framework_0.pdf

Australian Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission. (1986). *Australian human rights and equal opportunity act 1986.* https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2017C00143

Australian Institute for Teaching and School Leadership. (2011). *Australian professional standards for teachers.* https://www.aitsl.edu.au/docs/default-source/apst-resources/australian_professional_standard_for_teachers_final.pdf

Australian Institute for Teaching and School Leadership. (2014). *Australian professional standards for principals.* https://www.aitsl.edu.au/docs/default-source/default-document-library/australian-professional-standard-for-principals-and-the-leadership-profiles652c8891b1e86477b58fff00006709da.pdf?sfvrsn=11c4ec3c_2\

Bacchi, C. (2000) Policy as discourse: What does it mean? Where does it get us? *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, 21 (1), 45-57. https://doi.org/10.1080/01596300050005493.

Brikland, A. (2016). A*n introduction to policy process, theories, concepts and models of public policy making* (4th ed.). Routledge.

Clarke, A.M., Sixsmith, J. & Barry, M. M. (2014). Evaluating the implementation of an Emotional wellbeing program for primary school children using participatory approaches. H*ealth Education Journal,* 74(5), 578-593. https://doi.org/10.1177/001789691455313.

Coburn, C.E. & Turner, E.O. (2011). Research on data use: A framework and analysis. *Journal Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives*, 9 (4), 173-206. https://doi.org/10.1080/15366367.2011.626729

Cochran, C.L. & Malone, E.F. (2010). *Public policy: Perspectives and choices* (4th ed.). Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Copestake, J. (2008). Wellbeing in international development: What's new? J*ournal of International Development*, 20, 577-597. https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.1431

Commonwealth of Australia (2021). *The national framework for protecting Australia's children 2021-2031.* https://www.dss.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/12_2021/dess5016-national-framework-protecting-childrenaccessible.pdf

Council of Australian Governments. (2009). *Protecting children is everyone's business: The national framework for protecting Australia's children* 2009-2020. https://www.dss.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/child_protection_framework.pdf

Commonwealth of Australia. (2021*). Prevention, compassion, care: National mental health and suicide prevention plan.* https://www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/2021/05/the-australian-government-s-national-mental-health-and-suicide-prevention-plan-national-mental-health-and-suicide-prevention-plan.pdf

Fattore, T., Mason. J. & Watson, E. (2012). When children are asked about their well-being: Towards a framework for guiding policy. *Child Indicators Research*, 2 (1), 57–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12187-008-9025-3

Garrison, R. (2011). *E-learning in the 21st century: A framework for research and practice* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Graham, A., Fitzgerald, R., Powell, M.A., Thomas, N., Anderson, D.L., White, N.E. & Simmons, C.A. (2014). *Wellbeing in schools: research project: improving approaches to wellbeing in schools: What role does recognition play?* Centre for Children and Young People, Southern Cross University.

Kyriacou, C. (2012). Children's social and emotional wellbeing in schools: A critical perspective. B*ritish Journal of Educational Studies*, 60 (4), 439-441. https://doi.org/10.1080/00071005.2012.742274

McCallum, F. & Price, D. (Eds.) (2016). *Nurturing wellbeing development in education: From little things, big things grow.* Routledge.

Ministerial Council for Education, Early Childhood Development and Youth Affairs [MCEECDYA]. (2005). *National framework for values education in Australian schools.* http://www.curriculum.edu.au/verve/_resources/framework_pdf_version_for_the_web.pdf

Ministerial Council for Education, Early Childhood Development and Youth Affairs. (2011). *National safe schools framework*. https://docs.education.gov.au/system/files/doc/other/national_safe_schools_framework.pdf

Ministerial Council on Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs MCEETYA. (2019). *Alice Springs (Mparntwe) education declaration*. https://www.education.gov.au/alice-springs-mparntwe-education-declaration

Ministers of Education Council. (2018). *Australian student wellbeing framework*. https://www.studentwellbeinghub.edu.au/docs/default-source/aswf_booklet-pdf.pdf

New South Wales Department of Education and Communities. (2015). The wellbeing *framework for schools.* www.dec.nsw.gov.au.learning-wellbeing-framework.pdf

Northern Territory Government [NTG]. (2017). *Principal wellbeing framework*. http://teachintheterritory.nt.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/EDOC2017-66122-FINAL-DoE_PrincipalWellbeingFramework_web.pdf

Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2015). S*kills for social progress: The power of social and emotional skills.* OECD Publishing. http://www.oecd.org/education/skills-for-social-progress-9789264226159-en.htm

O'Toole, C. (2017). Towards dynamic and interdisciplinary frameworks for school-based mental health promotion. *Health Education*, 117 (5), 452-468. https://doi.org/10.1108/HE-11-2016-0058

Powell, M. A. & Graham, A. (2017). Wellbeing in schools: Examining the policy practice nexus. *Australian Educational Research,* 44, 213–231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-016-0222-7

Prout, S. (2012). Indigenous wellbeing frameworks in Australia and the quest for quantification. *Social Indicators Research,* 109 (2), 317–336. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-011-9905-7

Queensland Government Department of Education. (2018). *Student learning and wellbeing framework.* http://education.qld.gov.au/schools/healthy/docs/student-l

Queensland Government Department of Education (2020). *Principal health and wellbeing*

action plan 2021 – 2022. https://education.qld.gov.au/initiativesstrategies/health-safety-wellbeing/Documents/phws-2021-22-action-plan.pdf

Spratt, J. (2016). Childhood wellbeing: what role for education? *British Educational Research Journal*, 42 (2), 223–239. https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3211.

South Australian Government Department of Education and Child Development. (2022). *Wellbeing for learning and life: A framework for building resilience and wellbeing in children and young people.* https://www.education.sa.gov.au/sites/default/files/wellbeing-for-learning-and-life-framework.pdf

Soutter, A.K, O'Steen, B. & Gilmore, A. (2012) Wellbeing in the New Zealand curriculum. J*ournal of Curriculum Studies*, 44(1), 111-142. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2011.620175.

Thorburn, M. (2017) Evaluating efforts to enhance health and wellbeing in Scottish secondary schools. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 49(5), 722-741. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2016.1167246.

United Kingdom Government [UKG]. (2004). *Children's act 2004.* https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/31

United Kingdom Government. (2014). *Children and families act 2014.*

United Nations General Assembly. (1989). *Convention on the rights of the child*. https://www.unicef.org.au/Upload/UNICEF/Media/Our%20work/childfriendlycrc.pdf

United States of America Department of Education. (2001). *No child left behind act.* https://www2.ed.gov/nclb/overview/intro/guide/guide.pdf

State Government of Victoria. (2022). *Wellbeing in the classroom: Supporting student mental health and wellbeing.* https://www.vic.gov.au/wellbeing-classroom

Waters, L. (2017). Visible Wellbeing in schools: The powerful role of instructional leadership. *Australian Educational Leader,* 39 (1), 6-10. https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.774496527078277

White, S.C. (2010). Analysing wellbeing: a framework for development practice. *Development in Practice,* 20 (2), 158-172. https://doi.org/10.1080/09614520903564199.

White, M.A. & Waters, L.E. (2015). A case study of the good school: Examples of the use of Peterson's strengths-based approach with students. *The Journal of Positive Psychology,* 10 (1),69-76. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2014.920408.

World Health Organisation [WHO]. (2013). *Mental health action plan for 2013-2020*. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/89966/1/9789241506021_eng.pdf?ua=1

CHAPTER 4

Contemporary Perspectives on the Impactors and Enablers to Wellbeing

Key Concepts

- There are ways of working that impact and /or enable positive wellbeing.

- If ways of working are known to impact wellbeing then the impact or implications could be changed to achieve a more positive outcome.

- If ways of working are known to enable wellbeing then the impact or implications could be changed to achieve a more positive outcome.

GUIDING QUESTION

- How is wellbeing enhanced?

Figure 4.1 A photograph a bubble taken at daytime by Vitaliy Paykov on unsplash

INTRODUCTION

It is now widely accepted that wellbeing has moved to centre stage in recent years, with educational contexts now playing a vital role in prioritising the promotion of wellbeing of children and young people (MCEETYA, 2019). There is also growing international and national evidence that educational context-based wellbeing programs, when implemented effectively, produce long term benefits for children and young people, including improved social emotional functioning and academic performance (Clarke et al., 2014). Additionally, McCallum and Price, (2016) argue that educational contexts also play a vital role in fostering teacher wellbeing. In order to understand the construct of wellbeing more, this chapter will explore contemporary perspectives on factors that impact on, and enable wellbeing, which have been termed impactors and enablers to wellbeing (Carter, 2016).

PERSPECTIVES ON WELLBEING IMPACTORS AND ENABLERS

There are differing perspectives on impactors and enablers of wellbeing. One study conducted in Queensland Australia by Carter (2016), acknowledged that impactors of Subjective Well-Being [SWB] were broadly what a participant reported as impacting upon their SWB. More specifically a negative impactor [referred to simply as impactor] was defined as that which detracts from a person's SWB as a consequence of a negative evaluation. A positive impactor {referred to simply as an enabler} was defined as that which enhanced a person's SWB as a consequence of a positive evaluation. Enablers were linked to a way of working intended to support the person to make a positive evaluation of their competency and therefore feel satisfied with life or feel positive affect.

Carter (2016) identified several major negative impactors to school principal's SWB, such as a perceived lack of time to complete expected tasks; perceived lack of support; perceived lack of supervisor trust; self-doubting; inability to safe guard others; and questionable/ poor decision making. Time was referred to with breadth as being time to learn; time to experience; insufficient time to think; and a preoccupation of thinking about work when in non-work related contexts. This impactor may well apply to teachers and students who report experiencing high levels of stress when faced with tasks they feel unable to complete competently within specified timeframes due to what Mulford (2003) terms as the busyness of educational contexts. A noted enabler was a feeling of control to create and maintain life balance and that this sense of balance [determined differently by each individual] helped them to maintain their positive SWB (Carter, 2016).

McCallum and Price (2016) suggest that wellbeing is more influenced by factors that impact on, and / or enable an individual to respond effectively in times of crisis, trauma, or ill-health. Approaches subscribing to this view tend to focus on resilience as a key impactor, and resilience development as a key enabler of wellbeing. While this perspective certainly has merit, there has been a growing movement, particularly in regard to the notion of wellbeing within educational contexts, that views wellbeing being as "more than just the absence of illness, and includes life satisfaction, healthy behaviours and resilience" (Ryff, 1989, as cited in McCallum & Price, 2012, p.4).

McCallum and Price (2016) suggest that there needs to be a positive and proactive approach to promoting wellbeing in educational settings, as it promotes wellbeing as a central focus and recognises the influences of change and the complexity in the 21st century, rather than being reactive and deficit in thinking. McCallum and Price (2016) likewise argue that this perspective also promotes a much more 'holist' view of wellbeing within a whole educational context. Additionally, Scoffham and Barnes (2011) argue that this approach also acknowledges the influence and interrelatedness between context, environment, life events, genetics and personality impactors and enablers on wellbeing such as:

- **Context and physical environments**: e.g., contextual processes and demographics, location, community and specific events such as drought, floods and

cyclones;

- **Social and cultural environments:** e.g., culture, economics, politics and broader social issues such as poverty, community breakdown or violence;

- **Individual personal attributes:** e.g., genetics (heritage), psychological disposition and behavioural patterns (Litchfield et al., 2016).

Another perspective presented by Gillet-Swan and Sargeant (2015) is that the key components of wellbeing symbolise an intersection forming a triumvirate of the emotional, physical and cognitive self. As such, wellbeing ought to be seen as the state of an individual as affected by these elements, within which, an array of descriptors exists.

IDENTIFYING IMPACTORS AND ENABLERS

Understanding the dynamic interplay and interrelatedness between factors that negatively impact wellbeing and factors that help support positive wellbeing can provide an insight into how they influence wellbeing (Gillett-Swan & Sargeant, 2015). Three broad themes have emerged from the literature: genetic factors; life circumstances; and involvement in active pursuits.

Genetic factors

Genetic factors such as an individual's predisposition towards being happy or not, have the potential to either enable or impact on wellbeing. Although there are interactions between genetics, upbringing and environment, Diener and Oishi (2005) note that, genetic makeup acts as a strong precursor to wellbeing, where the temperament of the person has potential to act as a strong antecedent influence on wellbeing in either a positive or negative manner. Likewise, Burack et al. (2007) also argue that genetic factors account for "fifty percent of an individual's predisposition to happiness" (Burack et al., 2007, p.3).

Life circumstances

Life circumstances and the impacts that life has had on an individual either enable or impact on wellbeing. Campion and Nurse (2007) note that life circumstances, such socio economic status, income, material possessions, marital status and community environment have potential to significantly impact and / or enable wellbeing. In contrast Burack et al. (2007) argue while life circumstances do impact on wellbeing, they can change very rapidly [either for the better or the worst], and as such argue that they only account for "10 % of personal happiness variation even though society spends a disproportionate amount on them" (Campion & Nurse, 2007, p.27).

Involvement in active pursuits and special interests

Intentional involvement in active pursuits and special interests such as engaging in meaningful activities, participating in the workforce, socialising, physical activity and exercising and appreciating art, culture and life, can account for up to 40% of variation in happiness (Campion & Nurse, 2007), and as such have the greatest potential for influencing

and enabling wellbeing. As a consequence, an individual's chance of maintaining good wellbeing is increased by an active engagement in life. Conversely, non-participation has great potential to be a significant impactor on wellbeing.

Let's now examine impactors and enablers through two models that place wellbeing as the central focus, the Dynamic Model for Wellbeing (Campion & Nurse, 2007), and the Positive Social Ecology Model (McCallum & Price, 2012).

DYNAMIC MODEL FOR WELLBEING

Campion and Nurse's (2007) Dynamic Model for Wellbeing (refer to Figure 4.2) investigates the interaction between mental health and public health. The manner in which they outline wellbeing is similar to how other theorists have defined it with elements such as belonging, resilience, positive emotions meaning and fulfilment. This model has potential use and application in educational contexts as it illustrates the dynamic interplay between individual, physical and societal influences on wellbeing, through what are termed risk factors and protective factors. Campion and Nurse (2007) suggest:

- Reducing the impact factors on individual, and the individual in groups, whole context and within systems.

- Improving social and physical wellbeing.

- Creating supportive environments

- Improving protective factors such as employing therapists, accessing supports and empowering individuals.

This model places wellbeing at the centre of improving physical and social wellbeing, and recognises risk factors [impactors], and protective factors [enablers] of wellbeing. While this model has broader application in terms of policy development, it does have application to an educational context, as it identifies three main impactors and enablers affecting an individual's wellbeing: genetic factors; life circumstances; and involvement in active pursuits and special interests.

Activity
• *Consider what elements of the above model may assist you in considering risk factors/ impactors and protective factors/enablers to wellbeing.*   • *If you used this model or aspects of it, what are the risk factors/ impactors or protective factor/enablers to wellbeing in your context?*

POSITIVE SOCIAL ECOLOGY MODEL

McCallum and Price (2012)'s positive social ecology model draws on Bronfenbrenner's (2004) work, and describes wellbeing within the natural, information, social and cultural environments of a community. This model identifies the following impacting and enabling factors:

- **Intrapersonal factors**: Interpersonal factors encompass the demographics of a group or community; the inter-relationships between people residing in that community; and the biological and psychological factors of the people within that community.

- **Environmental factors**: Environmental factors comprise the real or perceived views or experiences on crime, safety, physical attractiveness, comfort, convenience and accessibility and how they may impact on the immediate environment.

- **Behavioural factors**: Behavioural factors include the range of activities, services or access to programs, applications or structures available to people living and working in a community that enable them to be positively engaged as well as being intellectually, emotionally and physically active.

- **Political factors**: Political factors incorporate the policies, practices, infrastructure and communication that impact on people living and working within the community.

> ### Activity
>
> - *Consider your own context for moment. Consider what elements of the above model may assist you in considering impactors and enablers to wellbeing.*
>
> - *If you used this model or aspects of it, what are impactors or enablers to wellbeing in your context?*

IMPACTORS TO WELLBEING

McCallum and Price (2016) note that a range of factors that impact wellbeing on a daily, weekly or monthly basis (some of which are within one's control and some which are not), with some adversely affecting wellbeing. Impactors may also occur suddenly or accumulate over lengthy periods of time before physical and/or mental indicators become evident. Significant impactors include the following:

1.Personal responses to individual, physical, social or environmental impactors

Stress, fear, anxiety in response to stimuli such as peer conflict, relational conflict, harassment bullying, pressure from systemic requirements and time constraints, accountabilities, expectations and absence of a voice in decision making processes, can contribute to fatigue, exhaustion, stress, burnout, illness, and mental health issues which in turn may lead to poor overall wellbeing (Acton & Glasgow, 2015).

2. Unsuccessful adaptions to individual, physical, social or environmental impactors

Low levels of resilience, optimism, self-esteem, and feelings of having no control over one's life, impact on an individual's ability to respond effectively in times of crisis, trauma, or ill-health, and as such have major impacts on wellbeing.

3.**Negative self-efficacy**

Negative self-efficacy, self-judgment and self-belief impact on an individual's view of their own self and their capabilities, which may lead to the development of negative self-view and poor wellbeing (Acton & Glasgow, 2015).

3.Negative or destructive relationships

Negative relationships between adults and adults, children and adults, and children and children arising from conflict, lack of emotional support, poor supportive environment, bullying, discrimination and harassment (Powell & Graham, 2017) impact greatly on wellbeing.

4.A lack of social-emotional competence/emotional intelligence

A lack of social and emotional competence or disposition impacts on wellbeing by cultivating negative or destructive relationships, which in turn contribute to a negative work, school or classroom climate, and subsequent loss of productivity (Abeles & Rubenstein, 2015).

ENABLERS TO WELLBEING

McCallum and Price (2016) identify three key enablers of a positive school ecology as hope, happiness and belonging that help enable wellbeing.

1. **Hope –** Being optimistic about the future, pursing aspirations and taking control of one's own wellbeing [being agentic] are key features in contemporary wellbeing education initiatives (Wrench et al., 2013). The construct of 'hope' is comprised of two dimensions:

    ◦ The mental willpower to move towards achieving one's goal [**agenc**y].

    ◦ The perceived ability to create **pathways** that enable the achievement of goals (McCallum & Price, 2016)

2. **Happiness –** A positive emotional state.

2. **Belonging –**Human beings have a fundamental need to belong and be accepted.

Activity
• *Consider your own context for moment.* • *Consider what elements of positive ecology exist in your setting.*

ENABLERS TO WELLBEING IN EDUCATIONAL CONTEXTS

Noble, McGrath, Wyatt, Carbines and Robb (2008) in a report to the Australian Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations [DEEWR] identified the following seven enablers to wellbeing in educational contexts. (Refer to Figure 4. 3).

1. **A supportive, caring and inclusive community**

   ◦ Individuals feel welcomed, valued, respected and free form discrimination and harassment (Cahill & Freeman, 2007).

   ◦ Connectedness and opportunities to develop deep personal connections between individuals and groups (Acton & Glasgow, 2015).

   ◦ A sense of belonging.

   ◦ Feeling safe.

   ◦ Treated fairly.

   ◦ Positive peer and adult relationships where positive relationships have an affirmative influence on wellbeing, which in turn contributes to satisfaction, productivity and achievement (McCallum et al., 2017).

2. **Pro-social values**

   ◦ The promotion of pro-social values including core values such were respect, trust, kindness, understanding, acceptance, honesty, compassion, acceptance of difference, fairness, responsibility care and inclusion (Noble et al., 2008).

   ◦ The presence of daily rituals that embed core values such as greetings, and visual images (McCallum & Price, 2016).

3. **Physical & emotional safety**

   ◦ The presence of anti-bullying, anti-harassment and anti-violence strategies, policies, procedures and programs (Noble et al., 2008).

4. **Social & emotional competencies**

    ◦ The presence of social and emotional coping skills, self-awareness, emotional regulation skills, empathy, goal achievement skills, relationship skills promote positive wellbeing (Noble et al., 2008). Social and emotional knowledge and dispositions are essential in order to operate and contribute productively (Mc McCallum & Price, 2016) in work, educational settings and the broader societal context.

    ◦ Effective emotional intelligence competencies enable wellbeing by facilitating the identification, processing, and regulation of emotion as well assisting in managing stress more effectively (McCallum et al., 2017).

    ◦ Resilience is essential to successfully adapt to and respond to complex or threatening life experiences and fast paced, challenging contemporary societal conditions. (McCallum et al. 2017).

    ◦ Positive self-efficacy is essential to producing positive productive performance. It also determines how an individual thinks, feels, and motivates themselves, thereby increasing potential for a positive state of wellbeing (Split et al., 2011).

5. **A strengths-based approach**

    ◦ Having a focus on identifying and developing individual intellectual and character strengths promotes a positive state of wellbeing (Noble et al., 2008).

6. **A sense of meaning and purpose**

    ◦ An intentional involvement in active pursuits and special interests such as "socialising and participating in {one or more} spirituality activities; community service; appreciating life, art/culture; and engaging in meaningful activities" (Campion & Nurse, 2007, p.25) are active enablers of wellbeing.

7. **Healthy lifestyle**

    ◦ Engaging in exercise, having good nutrition and avoiding avoidance of harmful substances promote a state of positive wellbeing (McCallum et al., 2017).

Figure 4.2 Andersen, C. (2019). Adapted Student Wellbeing Pathways Diagram from Noble, T., McGrath, H., Wyatt, T., Carbines, R. & Robb, L. (2008). Scoping study into approaches to student well-being: Literature review. Report to the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations. Sydney, NSW: Australian Catholic University Erebus International, p.10.

Key Question

If you used this model or aspects of it, what are the possible impactors or enablers to wellbeing in your context?

INCLUSION AND WELLBEING

The models above all link in some way to a feeling of being included, with most linking to inclusion in educational contexts. As our world changes with increases in migration, refugee numbers and social complexity, our educational contexts also change and reflect what is happening within society (Abawi et al., 2019). What does this mean then for our educational contexts who are trying to engage in teaching and learning as their core business, in addition to being inclusive of a changing population?

Educational contexts often have families from many different countries and varying socio-economic backgrounds, all with differing experiences, beliefs, values, thinking and opinions. As a consequence, promoting and sustaining wellbeing within such contexts can at times

be a very complex (yet essential) task. Educational communities need to be encouraged to embrace a shared philosophy of inclusion, and to participate in practices that are welcoming and supportive, encourage equity and view changes in student population and diversity as opportunities for learning (Carter & Abawi, 2018).

Carter and Abawi (2018, p. 2) suggest that "inclusion is defined as successfully meeting student learning needs regardless of culture, language, cognition, gender, gifts and talents, ability, or background." A feeling of being included and belonging is associated with positive wellbeing, and creating an environment for this to occur involves catering for the needs of individuals. While the literature reveals that the term 'special needs' has been linked to both disability and disadvantage, Carter and Abawi (2018) suggest the term now be applied more broadly to include "the individual requirements of a person, and the provision for these specific differences can be considered as catering for special needs" (p. 2) and these needs include supporting wellbeing.

CONCLUSION

There are multiple ways of working within wider society, an organisation, and an educational context that can impact on and /or enable positive wellbeing. If particular ways of working are known to impact wellbeing, then it is suggested that the impact or implications be mitigated in order to achieve a more positive outcome. Likewise, if ways of working are known to enable wellbeing. then the impact or implications could be changed in order to achieve a more positive outcome. The Dynamic Model of Wellbeing (Campion & Nurse, 2007), the Positive Social Ecology Model (McCallum & Price, 2016) and the Revised Student Wellbeing Pathways (Noble et al., 2008) are suggested as possible models that could be utilised to investigate and analyse enablers and impactors within organisations and educational contexts.

REFERENCES

Abawi, L., Andersen, C. & Rogers, C. (2019). *Celebrating diversity: Focusing on inclusion. In S. Carter (Ed), Opening Eyes onto Diversity and Inclusion.* University of Southern Queensland.

Abeles, V. & Rubenstein, G. (2015). R*escuing an overscheduled, over tested, underestimated generation.* Simon & Schuster.

Acton, R., & Glasgow, P. (2015). Teacher wellbeing in neoliberal contexts: A review of the literature. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*,40 (8),99-114. https://doi.org/10.14221/ ajte.2015v40n8.6

Bronfenbrenner, U. (2004). *Making human beings human: Bioecological perspectives on human development.* SAGE Publications.

Burack, J., Blidner, A., Flores, H. & Fitch, T. (2007). Constructions and deconstructions of

risk, resilience and wellbeing: a model for understanding the development of Aboriginal adolescents. *Australasian Psychiatry*, 15, S18-S23. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10398560701701148

Cahill, H & Freeman, E (2007) Creating school environments that promote social emotional wellbeing. In M. Keefe and S. Carrington (Eds.), *Schools and diversity* (2nd ed.). (pp. 90-107). Pearson Education Australia.

Campion, J. & Nurse, J. (2007). A dynamic model for wellbeing. *Australasian Psychiatry*, 15, S24-S28. https://doi.org/10.1080/1039856070170110

Carter, S. (2016). Holding it together: an explanatory framework for maintaining subjective well-being (SWB) in principals. [Thesis (PhD/Research)].

Carter, S. & Abawi, L. (2018). Leadership, inclusion, and quality education for all. *Australasian Journal of Special and Inclusive Education*. https://doi.org/10.5772/66552.

Clarke, A.M., Sixsmith, J. & Barry, M.M. (2014). Evaluating the implementation of an emotional wellbeing program for primary school children using participatory approaches. *Health Education Journal*, 74 (5), 578 – 593. https://doi.org/10.1177/001789691455313.

Diener, E., & Oishi, S. (2005). The non-obvious social psychology of happiness. *Psychological Inquiry*, 16 (4), 162–167. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1604_04

Gillett-Swan, J.K. & Sargeant, J. (2015). Wellbeing as a process of accrual: Beyond subjectivity and beyond the moment. *Social Indicators Research*, 121 (1), 135-148. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11205-014-0634-6.

Litchfield, P., Cooper, C., Hancock, C. & Watt, P. (2016). Work and wellbeing in the 21st Century. *International Journal of Public Health*, 13(11), 1065. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph13111065.

McCallum, F & Price, D (2012). Keeping teacher wellbeing on the agenda. *Professional Educator,* 11(2),4–7. https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/aeipt.192377

    McCallum, F. & Price, D. (Eds.) (2016). *Nurturing wellbeing development in education: From little things, big things grow*. Routledge.

McCallum, F., Price, D. Graham, A. & Morrison A. (2017). *Teacher wellbeing: A review of the literature.* https://www.aisnsw.edu.au/.../Teacher%20wellbeing%20A%20review%20of%20the%...

Ministerial Council on Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs (MCEETYA) (2019). *The Alice Springs (Mparntwe) Education Declaration.* https://www.education.gov.au/ download/4816/alice-springs-mparntwe-education-declaration/7180/alice-springs-mparntwe-education-declaration/pdf/en

Mulford, B. (2003). *School leaders: Changing roles and impact on teacher and school effectiveness.* Education and Training Policy Division, Organisation for Economic Co‑operation.

Noble, T., McGrath, H., Wyatt, T., Carbines, R. and Robb, L. (2008). *Scoping study into approaches to student well-being: Literature review. Report to the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations*. Australian Catholic University & Erebus International.

Powell, M. A. & Graham, A. (2017). Wellbeing in schools: Examining the policy practice nexus. *Australian Educational Research*, 44, 213–231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-016-0222-7.

Scoffham, S. & Barnes, J. (2011). Happiness matters: Towards pedagogy of happiness and Wellbeing. *Curriculum Journal*, 22 (4), 535-548. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585176.2011.627214

Split, J.L., Koomen, H.M.Y, & Thijs, J.Y. (2011). Teacher wellbeing: The importance of teacher student relationships. *Educational Psychology Review*, 23, 457- 477. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-011-9170-y.

Wrench, A., Hammond, C., McCallum, F. & Price, D. (2013) Inspire to aspire: Raising aspirational outcomes through a student well-being curricular focus. *International Journal of Inclusive Education,* 17 (9), pp. 932-947. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2012.718804

CHAPTER 5

Pragmatic Applications of Embedding an Education Wide Focus on Wellbeing

Key Concepts
• Educational contexts communities play a role in supporting wellbeing development in conjunction with academic development.   • Wellbeing requires a whole educational contexts approach where wellbeing is embedded in educational context policies, curriculum, structures and practices, and as a shared responsibility of all stakeholders.

GUIDING QUESTION

- How is wellbeing enacted and embedded?

Figure 5.1 Photograph by Jon Tyson on unsplash

INTRODUCTION

Given that almost all children attend school or an educational setting (e.g., early childhood centre) at some time during their lives, school and educational setting communities now have an unprecedented opportunity to play a role in supporting wellbeing development in conjunction with academic development. A whole-school or educational setting approach to student wellbeing promotion calls for student wellbeing approaches that are embedded in an education wide focus in policies, curriculum, structures and practices, and as a shared responsibility of all stakeholders (McCallum & Price, 2016). How do we best do this and take into account the diversity of our school or educational setting communities, while supporting and including people? In order to further a positive and proactive approach to promoting wellbeing in educational settings, this Chapter will explore pragmatic applications of embedding an education wide focus on wellbeing.

> **Key Question**
>
> *Before exploring approaches to wellbeing,* take *a moment to consider your own context. How is wellbeing represented within the context's policies, structures, practices, curriculum and pedagogy?*

APPROACHES TO WELLBEING

The wellbeing of children and young people remains a concern both nationally and internationally, with an increasing focus of wellbeing policy, programs, and teacher professional development (Anderson & Graham,2016). Supporting wellbeing is now central to the business of educational contexts. However, as Barry et al. (2017) note, the challenge for educational contexts and education system leaders lies in integrating evidence-based approaches that promote children and young people's social and emotional wellbeing and staff wellbeing, and that are sustainable and embedded into the everyday practice of educational contexts. Approaches to wellbeing can be categorised as: positive psychology approaches; health and physical approaches; social and emotional learning approaches; character development and values approaches; relational approaches; and an inclusive approach as shown in the Growing Inclusive Wellbeing model.

POSITIVE PSYCHOLOGY APPROACHES

There has been a rapid growth in positive psychology approaches within educational communities, resulting in a number of associated practices now making their way into educational classrooms and settings all over the world (Ciarrochi et al., 2016). Positive psychology approaches focus on promoting optimal functioning and wellbeing by utilising "psychological discourse and its offshoot school-based training programs, which stress happiness, self-improvement and wellbeing" (Reveley, 2016, p.538). Positive discourse approaches promote a conscious reflexive subjectivity; a focus on self and self-regulation; the use of creative 'psychological flexibility'; and 'mindfulness'; as a means to wellbeing (Revelely, 2016; Kashdan, 2010). Burckhardt et al. (2016) proport a viewpoint that such positive psychological approaches to wellbeing are more productive, in that emphasis is placed on prevention and early intervention, rather than reactive intervention in response to "maladaptive emotion regulation strategies that correlate with poor wellbeing" [e.g., depression, anxiety] (p.41).

Emerging from these approaches are a wide range of strategies that can be used within school communities to reduce distress, manage stress, improve mental health and wellbeing.

- Programs developing skills in assertiveness, decision making, coping, relaxation, confidence, organisation and persistence, in addition to cognitive reframing

(Waters, 2011).

- Mindfulness training with a focus on intention [understand personal purpose], attention [to focus and pay attention, to be non-judgmental, to focus on the present and be receptive to one's mind and body's reactions, feelings, sensations and thought and attitude (McCallum & Price, 2016).

- Explicit resilience training (McGrath & Noble, 2012).

- Individual wellbeing strategies such as:
  - Reflection strategies for insight into personal practice and / or behaviour.
  - Building supportive networks or learning communities.
  - Growth mindset approaches to solving problems.
  - Self-care practices to restore wellbeing when needed.
  - Celebrating achievements and success (McCallum et al., 2017).

According to Hayes and Ciarrochi (2015), effective implementation of educational context wide positive psychology approaches that promote wellbeing are underpinned require five key actions including:

1. The establishment of contexts that empower individuals to clarify their values and choose value-consistent behaviours.

2. Assisting individuals to utilise language to successfully and appropriately engage in varying contexts.

3. Supporting individuals to acquire resources and skills via exploration and apply these to varying contexts.

4. Assisting individuals to gain awareness of their inner and outer experiences and to appreciate their current context and choices.

5. Helping individuals to develop understand the 'self' and the perspectives of others.

However, it is worth noting that while research shows that positive psychology approaches (Ciarrochi et al., 2015; Garland et al., 2010) and school community positive education interventions have been shown to produce positive benefit (Waters, 2011), positive psychology has been criticized for being "decontextualized and coercive, and for putting an excessive emphasis on positive states, whilst failing to adequately consider negative experiences" (Ciarrochi et al., 2016, p.1).

HEALTH AND PHYSICAL APPROACHES

Good health in addition to regular participation in physical activity has been well recognised as having a positive impact on many aspects of children and young people's health (Janssen & LeBlanc, 2010). Furthermore, Liu et al. (2015) found that the educational contexts are some of the most effective settings in which to improve health and wellbeing outcomes and is consistent with the view that educational communities can create opportunities for stimulating and supporting all children and young people to be more physically active (Holt et al., 2018; Naylor & McKay, 2009).

The following approaches have been widely utilised to address the health and physical education dimensions of wellbeing, in addition to the basic needs of children and young people (Naylor & McKay, 2009).

- Breakfast Clubs.

- After educational context / school care programs.

- Programs that provide assistance with shelter, clothing and care.

- Strategies to improve child protection and safety.

- Programs focussing on physical fitness, active lifestyles, healthy eating and self-esteem development.

- Programs focusing on safe and responsible choices and avoidance of harmful situations and substances.

- Strategies and programs to protect against bullying and being safe online.

Carlsson et al. (2001) identified that wellbeing was promoted in educational contexts when three key actions were in place.

1. Curriculum, teaching and learning that encompassed a holistic view of health and the development of more generic life skills such as decision making, effective communication and negotiation skills (Carlsson et al., 2001).

2. Whole of educational context ethos, environment, structures, organisation, policies and planning that support and reinforce health messages that are taught in the formal curriculum (Carlsson et al., 2001).

3.Commitment and collaboration within an educational context's community to develop a shared vision and create strategies to address the physical and health needs of the whole educational context (Carlsson et al., 2001; McCallum & Price, 2016).

SOCIAL AND EMOTIONAL LEARNING APPROACHES

Greensburg et al. (2017) argue that evidence-based Social and Emotional Learning [SEL] programs, when implemented effectively have potential to promote measurable and long-

lasting improvements in the lives of children and young people. SEL approaches have a focus on contributing to wellbeing by developing responsibility, social skills and emotional management strategies which enhance children and young people's "confidence in themselves; increase their engagement in school, along with their test scores and grades; and reduce conduct problems while promoting desirable behaviours" (Greensburg et al., 2017, p.13).

SEL program promote wellbeing by teaching students specific SEL skills in order to create a classroom and educational context culture that enhances and enables SEL skills. (Refer to Figure 5.2).

Figure 5.2 Andersen, C, Adapted Conceptual Model for Advancing SEL in Schools from Greensburg, M.T., Domitrovich, C.E., Weissberg, R.R. & Durlack, J.A. (2017). Social and emotional learning as a public health approach to education. Future of Children, 27 (1),13-32, p.17. Used under a Creative Commons Attribution No Derivatives Unported 3.0 Licence.

There are five core elements of the model: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision making.

- **Competence in self-awareness** which involves understanding your own emotions, values, and personal goals [knowing own strengths and limitations, a sense of self- efficacy; optimism; a growth mindset; ability to recognise how own thoughts, feelings, and actions are connected] (Greensburg et al., 2017).

- **Competence in self-management** which encompasses regulation of own emotions and behaviours; the ability to delay gratification; manage stress; control impulses; and persevere through challenges.

- **Competence in social awareness** describes the ability to take the perspective of people with different backgrounds or from different cultures; empathize; act with compassion toward others; understand social behaviour norms (Greensburg et al., 2017).

- **Relationship skills** involves the establishment and maintenance of healthy and rewarding relationships and includes the ability to act in accordance with social norms [communicating clearly, listening actively, cooperating, resisting inappropriate social pressure, negotiating conflict constructively, and seeking help when needed] (Greensburg et al., 2017).

- **Responsible decision-making** is outlined as utilising the knowledge, skills, and attitudes to make constructive choices.

CHARACTER DEVELOPMENT AND VALUES APPROACHES

The last decade has seen a growth in interest in use of character development and values approaches within educational contexts as mechanisms for promoting wellbeing (Smith, 2013). Character development and values approaches foster important core, ethical and performance values such as caring, honesty, diligence, fairness, fortitude, responsibility, and respect for self and others as a means of affecting wellbeing (Quinlan et al., 2012). Both styles of approach support wellbeing through a focus on character strength training processes; by understanding and reflecting on values; and reflecting values in one's own attitudes and behaviour. However, Linkins et al. (2015) argue that this approach tends to be more prescriptive in nature than other previously discussed approaches, and views character and values as an" external construct that needs to be instilled within the individual rather than an innate potential to be nurtured" (p.64).

RELATIONAL APPROACHES

According to Correa-Velez et al. (2010), relational approaches provide opportunities for children and young people to feel connected; to feel that they belong; and to feel that they are cared for. The ability of children and young people to connect has been shown to be a key protective factor in lowering health risk behaviour while concurrently increasing positive wellbeing (McCallum & Price, 2016). Relational approaches to supporting wellbeing focus on supporting wellbeing through programs and initiatives that focus on:

- **Relationships** that are positive and productive engagements between children/ young people teachers and peers.
- **Belonging** where a sense of membership of the educational context is experienced.
- **Inclusion** where a sense of being included in the educational context's school culture, structure and processes.
- **Active participation** which describes the extent to which children and young people feel that they participate in, and exercise voice in relations to an educational context's activities ad affairs (Aldridge et al., 2016). Interestingly, Fattore et al. (2012) report that students who were provided with an opportunity to have their say and have their opinions taken seriously, demonstrated higher levels of wellbeing than student without an opportunity to have their say.

APPROACHING WELLBEING FOR ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER PEOPLES

As authors working at the University of Southern Queensland (USQ) we acknowledge the Giabal and Jarowair peoples of the Toowoomba area, the Jagera, Yuggera and Ugarapul peoples of Ipswich and Springfield, the Kambuwal peoples of Stanthorpe and the Gadigal peoples of the Eora nation, Sydney as the keepers of ancient knowledge where USQ campuses and hubs have been built and whose cultures and customs continue to nurture this land. USQ also pays respect to Elders – past, present and future. Further, we acknowledge the cultural diversity of all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and pay respect to Elders past, present and future. Finally, we celebrate the continuous living cultures of First Australians and acknowledge the important contributions Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples have and continue to make in Australian society.

Please take a moment to listen to why we need to acknowledge its traditional custodians.

Aboriginal *and Torres Strait Islander peoples* experience considerably more widespread social disadvantages and poor health (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018) than any other Indigenous population in the developed world, and alarmingly these outcomes are similar to Third World countries (Kingsley et al., 2013; Carrington et al., 2012). Kingsley et al. (2018), suggest that evidence indicates that such inequalities can be better understood by focusing on a range of factors including the impact of colonization, intergenerational trauma, cultural and social determinants of health, and by considering holistic ideas of wellbeing. Kingsley et al. (2018) also argue that current notions of indigenous wellbeing should be challenged. Therefore, educational contexts have a role to play in enacting change in addition to improving the wellbeing outcomes for Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students guided by the National Strategic Framework for Aboriginal and Torres

Strait Islander Peoples' Mental Health and Social and Emotional Wellbeing 2017-2023 (Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council, (2017).

Addressing wellbeing for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples requires understanding of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander perspectives, recognition of human rights, understanding of the strength of family and kinship groups, traditional lifestyles, language, and geographical places (Fossey et al., 2017).

As authors we recognise and support the points raised by Alderete (2004) that the notion of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people's wellbeing should not only be linked to a set of standards or measurable indicators that are easy to implement for government reporting purposes and requirements. Instead, wellbeing indicators should also include nuances that capture the numerous positive, protective enduring elements, connected with Aboriginal and Torres Strait peoples' ways of life (Prout, 2012). Biddle and Swee (2012), identified that there are many instances and examples in literature on Indigenous peoples that highlight the positive relationship between the sustainability of Indigenous land, culture and language and an Indigenous person's wellbeing.

There are things you can be mindful of to make your support more meaningful for Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander peoples who may be struggling with their wellbeing. Panelli and Tipa (2009) suggest providing a culturally safe environment embedded with positive social relationships, being respectful of culture with connection to country, kinship, traditional knowledge, and identity, and being supportive of physical, social, and spiritual needs helps foster wellbeing (Kingsley et al., 2013). We also encourage you to involve family, carers, or other community members in providing positive support.

Key Questions

Considering the points raised by Panelli and Tipa (2009) that providing a culturally safe environment embedded with positive social relationships, being respectful of culture with connection to Country, kinship, traditional knowledge, and identity, and being supportive of physical, social, and spiritual needs helps foster wellbeing.

- What is being done in your context to ensure that this occurs?

- How do you define family?

- How do you involve family, carers, or other Aboriginal and Torres Strait peoples to provide positive support?

AN INCLUSIVE APPROACH

An inclusive approach synthesises elements of positive psychology, health and physical; social and emotional learning, character development and values, and relational approaches into one approach as educational contexts are expected to addresses all of

these components we thought the approach to wellbeing needed to also be responsive to the contemporary educational context content. We present a model to depict the approach as shown below in Figure 5.3 Growing Inclusive Wellbeing.

Figure 5.3 Growing inclusive wellbeing

The model *'Growing Inclusive Wellbeing'* depicts five components, represented visually as circles in order to highlight the layers of knowledge, understanding and enactment through pathways that are embedded: the inner circle; an individual level; community level; structural level; and the educational context environmental culture.

The inner circle

The inner circle, *Deep Understanding of Wellbeing,* represents the development of a whole educational context community understanding of wellbeing, including the enablers and impactors that are present within the content. As authors we suggest that every individual experiences wellbeing differently and as such embrace the definition put forward by Diener, Oishi, and Lucas (2003) to be "people's emotional and cognitive evaluations of their lives, includes what lay people call happiness, peace, fulfilment, and life satisfaction" (p. 403). People's views and definitions of SWB (commonly referred to as wellbeing) are personal and dependent upon how each individual evaluates their life (Carter 2016).

The three levels of wellbeing support

The next three circles in the diagram, depict factors that have an influence on wellbeing across all populations and these can be categorised into three key sections: *Individual Level Wellbeing Supports; Community Level Wellbeing Supports;* and *Structural Level Wellbeing Supports*.

- **Individual** Level Wellbeing Supports **–** an ability to deal with thoughts and feelings; emotional resilience; ability to cope with stressful or adverse circumstances; a sense of self; and the development of social skills.
- **Community** Level Wellbeing Supports **–** a sense of belonging**,** social support and community participation.
- **Structural** Level Wellbeing Supports **–** social, economic and cultural factors that are supportive of wellbeing. For example: quality of housing, access to health and social services and education, political and justice systems.

The outer circle

The out circle encapsulates what is happening throughout an educational context in embedded practice, showing the School Environment and Culture of Commitment to wellbeing through 'Welcome Me, Know Me and Help Me to Learn'. An educational context's environment is reflective of all that happens within the context's community and it can be seen, heard and felt, often through nuanced experiences. Table 5.1 highlights key components of 'Welcome Me, Know Me and Help Me to Learn'.

Welcome Me	Know Me	Help Me To Learn
Open your classroom door	Build a relationship to develop an understanding of how others learn, think and experience their world	Help others to take appropriate risks that enhances their learning in a safe and supportive environment
Care for the individual	Be mindful that people work and learn in different ways and be patient with others	Help individuals to be mindful and develop an understanding of the self and of others
Invest time in each individual	Listen to others, allow people to have voice and input into decision making	Challenge people to engage deep thinking and analysis and be the best and appropriately resource the learning journey
Develop a relationship with individuals sharing who you are as a person (values and beliefs)	Take a personal interest in people and learn about what they like	Help people to set and achieve high expectations where they see purpose in their learning and their role
Demonstrate consistent welcoming behaviours	Encourage people to feel successful and positively reinforce good behavior and achievement	Support people in their development and learning and appropriately and thoughtfully cater for their special needs through inviting, stimulating and colourful experiences.
Create an inviting environment (such as a colourful classroom) where routine is predictable but creativity is still encouraged	Use questions to build and guide your thinking and invite people to question	Help people to recognise and develop their gifts and talent
Have a positive disposition, be joyful in your work	Understand and build on people's individual strengths	Help people to engage in the world around them through authentic experiences that are varied and engaging

Table 5.1 'Welcome Me, Know Me and Help Me to Learn'.

12 key pathways to embedding an education wide focus on wellbeing

Figure 5. 3 outlines 12 pathways to embedding an education wide focus on wellbeing. Building on, and adapting Noble et al.'s research (2008), we suggest that there are twelve key pathways that are essential in determining an educational context's contribution to embedding wellbeing within a context's community, in addition to identifying specific practices that educational contexts can put in place to enhance wellbeing: expert context leadership; strategic visioning; quality teaching and learning; a supportive, caring and inclusive educational context; a safe learning environment; social and emotional competencies; a sense of meaning and purpose, including engaging student voice; using, monitoring and evidencing strengths-based approaches; strategies encouraging a healthy lifestyle; programs to develop pro-social values; family and community partnership; and spirituality.

1. **Expert inclusive leadership**

The promotion of student, staff and community wellbeing, through effective inclusive leadership which:

- Empowers individuals and educational contexts to take responsibility for both their own wellbeing and that of others (Powell & Graham, 2017).

- Systematically monitors of student and staff wellbeing in order to:
  - Evaluate the impact of initiatives (Weare & Nind, 2011).
  - Plan for future activities (Weare & Nind, 2011).

- Promotes staff development, health and wellbeing by providing:
  - Access to professional development to increase personal knowledge of emotional wellbeing, and to equip staff to be able to identify mental health and wellbeing issues in their students (Price & McCallum, 2015; 2016).
  - Clear referral processes and pathways to a range of relevant in and out of context support strategies, structures and agencies for both staff and students (Weare & Nind, 2011).
  - Opportunities for assessing and supporting the emotional health and wellbeing needs of staff (McCallum & Price, 2010).

One way of enabling inclusive leadership is through the six principles of inclusion captured in the model 'A Conceptual Model of the cultural indicators of an inclusive school' (Abawi et al., 2018). (Refer to Figure 5.4 below).

Figure 5.4: A conceptual model of the cultural indicators of an inclusive school. Source: Abawi, L., Carter, S., Andrews, D., & Conway, J. (2018). Inclusive schoolwide pedagogical principles: cultural indicators in action. New Pedagogical Challenges in the 21st Century: Contributions of Research in Education, 33. doi:10.5772/intechopen.70358

2. Strategic visioning

Educational context communities that have a clear meaningful and strategic vision promote the commitment of all members to pursue their work with energy, self-discipline, collaboration and a keen sense of purpose (Fullan, 2010). Strategic visioning is a guiding process that is essentially concerned with forward thinking which draw upon the beliefs, goals and the environment within an educational context, and "if done correctly should be the backbone of a positive and inspiring system" (Bainbridge, 2007, p.1). The longer-term benefits are significant and very real, as strategic visioning can assist an educational context's community to "break free from convention and encourage thinking 'outside the box'" (Bainbridge, 2007, p.3).

By clearly defining an educational context's direction and purpose, a strategic vision alerts all with the context's community where efforts should be directed in addition to aligning resources and effort towards common goals. A strategic vision should be underpinned by a shared philosophy that every child has a right to learn, and every child is capable of learning and should be given the opportunity to actively participate in all facets of school life (Carter

& Abawi, 2018). It also provides a safe environment where new ideas can be encouraged, and new ways of working investigated in a safe and secure process.

Key Questions

- *How and why does strategic visioning contribute (or not contribute) to wellbeing within your context?*

- *Do all members of your context accept responsibility for developing and sustaining wellbeing?*

- *Are all members of your educational context community encouraged to actively participate in developing, implementing, and / or evaluating wellbeing in your context?*

---

3. Quality teaching and learning

Quality teaching and learning involves the provision of varied, engaging and inclusive high-quality pedagogy which:

- Focuses on the enhancement of student engagement with learning.
- Uses cooperative learning and other relational teaching strategies.
- Explicitly teaches skills and understandings related to personal safety, protective behaviours, values and social and emotional skills, and integrates this learning into the mainstream processes of educational context life (McCallum & Price, 2016).
- Provides early intervention and targeted student support for children and young people already showing signs of social, emotional and behavioural problems, or are at greater risk of experiencing poorer mental health (Powell & Graham, 2017).

Key Question

Do all members of your context accept responsibility for developing and sustaining supportive teaching and learning that supports wellbeing?

---

4. A supportive, caring and inclusive educational context community

Noble et al., (2008) suggest whole school community approaches must promote an ethos and conditions for a supportive, caring and inclusive community. We have built upon the conditions to include:

- feeling welcomed, valued, respected and free form discrimination and harassment (Cahill & Freeman, 2007);

- having a sense of connectedness and are provided with opportunities to develop deep personal connections with other individuals and groups (Acton & Glasgow, 2015);

- creating a sense of belonging;

- treating people fairly;

- feeling included;

- experiencing mutual respecting (Abawi et al., 2019).

- acknowledging and respecting diversity (Carter, S. 2019)

- demonstrating a positive view of self and having their identity respected (Noble et al., 2008).

- experiencing positive peer and adult relationships which have an affirmative influence on wellbeing, and which in turn contributes to satisfaction, productivity and achievement (McCallum, Price, Graham & Morrison, 2017).

- experiencing positive learning behaviours (Jamal et al., 2013).

- respecting culture with connection to Country, kinship, traditional knowledge, and identity (Panelli &Tipa, 2009).

5. A safe learning environment

An emotionally secure and safe environment with development and application of 'Safe Schools' policies and procedures which:

- promotes positive safe and responsible behaviour, respect, cooperation and inclusion (Abawi et al., 2019),

- "prevent[s] and manage putdowns, bullying, and violence and harassment threats.

- nurture and encourage student's sense of self-worth and self-efficacy." (Noble et al.,2008, p.12);

- uses effective and safe communication strategies (McCallum & Price, 2016);

- promotes productive and safe use of technologies {cyber safety} as an enabler which supports wellbeing, relationships and health, rather than focusing on negative impacts of social media and online platforms (Spears, 2016);

- creates a culturally safe environment embedded with positive social relationships (Panelli & Tipa, 2009);

- supports everyone to feel safe.

When people feel safe and have this basic need satisfied they are more able to concentrate on learning tasks.

Key Questions

- *Is being safe and supported acknowledged as being essential for student and staff wellbeing within your context? If so why, how and how often?*

- *How does trust, belonging and mutual respect contribute (or not contribute) to wellbeing in your context?*

- *How does (or does not) a positive sense of inclusiveness and/ or identity contribute (or not contribute) to wellbeing in your context?*

---

6. Social and emotional competencies

Social and emotional competencies enable individuals to learn how to solve problems, manage feelings, manage friendships, promote the ability to cope with difficulties, relate to others, resolve conflict, and feel positive about themselves and the world around them.

By increasing social and emotional competence an individual's capacity to cope and stay healthy is increased (McCallum & Price, 2016) in spite of the negative factors that happen through life as the social and emotional competencies act as buffers to wellbeing depletion (Carter, 2016). Noble et al. (2016) suggest that social and emotional competencies include being resilient, being able to cope with difficult and stressful situations and events, engaging in positive and optimistic thinking, having self-awareness, setting and achieving goals, developing successful relationships and making decisions. McCallum and Price (2016) add competencies such problem-solving, conflict management and resolution, the ability to work collaboratively, and the development of self-help skills that enable individuals to utilise their own efforts and resources to achieve wellbeing. Weare and Nind (2011) extend social and emotional competencies even further by including an understanding of, and managing feelings, and an understanding of, and management of relationships with parents / carers, peers and teachers.

7. A sense of meaning and purpose

Provision of as many opportunities as possible to participate in the educational context and the wider community in order to develop a sense of meaning or purpose including:

- Tasks that are worthwhile

- Service within the community.

- Civic responsibly and participation.

- Leadership within an educational context

- Contribution to the educational community.

- Providing peer support.

- Opportunities for student voice where student voice is valued and invited.

- Engaging in activities that focus on the exploration of spirituality (Noble et al., 2008).

Engaging student voice is important. Sometimes within schools, conversations about student wellbeing and mental health can often occur without discussions with students themselves about these issues (Heysen & Mason, 2014). Substantial research points to the benefits and value of involving children and young people in decision making, as well provision of their points of view (Bessell, 2011). Research by Simmons et al. (2015) revealed just how capable students were in "providing rich, nuanced accounts of their experience that could potentially inform school improvement" (p.129), with students often "identifying creative ways that pedagogy, the school environment and relationships could be improved, changed or maintained to assist their wellbeing" (p.130). The voice of students within schools should then be a central part of our conversations and plans as we "work out how to nurture happy, balanced kids by actively engaging with students…. about matters that concern them" (Heysen & Mason, 2014, p.15). Such findings highlight the importance of student voice as a democratic, participatory and inclusive approach in schools.

> **Key Questions**
>
> - *Is social and emotional learning explicitly taught in your context? If so what, why, how and how often?*
>
> - *How does (or does not) a sense of meaning and purpose contribute (or not contribute) to student and staff wellbeing in your context?*

8. Using, monitoring and evidencing strengths-based approaches

Using a strengths-based approach involves educators discovering, developing and harnessing their own talents, and maximising these in the work domain to remain current in their field, implement innovative curriculum in ways that meet the needs of all their learners and to utilise feedback to continue to improve their performance. Teachers can also inspire students to discover, harness and maximise their own talents. Noble et al.,

(2008) suggest the adoption of a strengths-based approach to organisation, curriculum and planning should:

- Cater for the diversity of student character strengths.
- Cater for and extend all student intellectual levels.
- Value, develop and use in a meaningful way, the individual and collective strengths of students, teachers and parents.

Consider how you do this and also how you evidence that it has occurred, including listening to and responding to feedback in order to improve your practise.

9. Strategies encouraging a healthy lifestyle

A healthy life style approach is one that explicitly teaches students the knowledge and skills needed for a healthy and self-respecting life-style, and the support to apply the skills and knowledge to their own lives. This includes a focus on:

- Good nutrition.
- Fitness and exercise.
- Avoidance of illegal drugs, alcohol and other self-harming actions and situations.

Consider ways that encouraging a healthy lifestyle can be explicitly evidenced in your practice.

10. Programs to develop pro-social values

Within schooling systems there are a number of programs that develop pro-social values. According to Nobel et al. (2008), pro-social values programs explicitly teach and model values such as honesty, respect, compassion, fairness, responsibility and acceptance of difference, in addition to providing practical opportunities to put values into practice within an educational context and the wider community. Consider what your community values and how reflective these values are of an inclusive and multicultural society as well as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander perspectives. How do you evidence that inclusive pro-social programs are occurring n your context?

> **Key Questions**
>
> - *Are strength-based approaches used within your context? If so, who are they used with, and how and why do they contribute (or not contribute) to wellbeing within your context?*

> • *Are strategies encouraging healthy lifestyle used within your context? If so, who are they used with, how and why do they contribute (or not contribute) to wellbeing within your context?*
>
> • *Are pro-social values explicitly taught in your context? If so what, why, how, and how often?*

11. Family and community partnerships

Well implemented interventions that support school and classroom strategies for developing:

- Positive teacher-student relationships (Anderson & Graham, 2016).
- Positive peer relationships (Cemalcilar, 2010).
- Positive school-family and school-community relationships (Cemalcilar, 2010).

Students are engaged through avenues that encourage:

- student voice (Anderson & Graham, 2016); and
- authentic involvement in learning, decision making and peer-led approaches (McCallum & Price, 2016).

Parents/carers are also engaged in genuine participation, particularly families that may feel blamed and/ or stigmatized (Weare & Nind, 2011). This engagement is nuanced as it depends on interests, skillsets and abilities. A challenge for schools is to ensure that they have inclusive ways of engaging and harnessing voices that may present differing views or needs, including those of students with disabilities, and Aboriginal and Torres Straight Islander peoples (our first peoples). Listening to a voice is the first step but importantly it is about valuing diverse perspectives and engaging in meeting the learning needs of all students, teachers and educational leaders in the context. Giving students a voice is important and suggests student-centred teaching practices that place emphasise on a student's interests in a manner where the student feels valued (Waters, 2017). One way of doing this could be the creation of a Student Council where students are elected by their peers, participate in informed decision making, and are empowered as advocates to have a valued voice in what impacts them. If this is what the school has chosen, we encourage you to look at the students selected and consider the diversity of the group. Is there a representative for students with disabilities? Is there a representative for Aboriginal and Torres Straight Islander students? We suggest that schools think creatively of ways to engage as many schools employ a parent liaison officer to help create and maintain parent

engagement (Carter & Creedon, 2019) but further, we encourage you to think reflectively about whether the stakeholder groups do all actually have a voice.

12. Spirituality

Spiritual wellbeing is considered by many to play an important part in the promotion of general wellbeing, health and quality of life. Eckersley (2007) defines spirituality as "a deeply intuitive, but not always consciously expressed sense of connectedness to the world in which we live" (p. 54), with wellbeing arising from the web of relationships and interests that arise from that connectedness. De Souza (2009) suggests that the term spirituality is inclusive of a myriad religions and encompasses the notion of connection to a higher being. Grieves (2006) argues that spirituality is a starting point for wellbeing, and is understood and experienced within a social, natural and material environment, which based upon the cultural understandings that people have developed to enable them to interact with their world.

  As consequence, Yust et al. (2006) argue that spirituality plays a role in the wellbeing of both students and staff, with spirituality being the factor in human life that nurtures and gives expression to the inner and outer lives of students and staff, which in turn promotes balance and wellbeing. A study by Dobmeier and Reiner (2012) identified the following mechanisms for developing spirituality within an educational context:

- Reading spiritual autobiographies (Curtis & Glass, 2002).
- Engaging in role plays which challenge thinking and discussion (Briggs & Dixon-Rayle, 2005).
- Using reflective journaling.
- Teaching the techniques of focusing, forgiveness, and meditation (Curtis & Glass, 2002).
- Being exposed to a rage of panel presentations/guest speakers who reflect on their own life journeys.
- Exploring spiritual readings (Briggs & Dixon Rayle, 2005).
- Engaging personal narratives.
- Engaging hermeneutics focuses on identifying and applying sound principles of biblical interpretation, as it is both a process of action and reflection (Carter, 2018).
- Self-exploration (Boyatzis, 2009).
- Talking with pastoral counselors about focused spirituality topics (Boyatzis, 2009).
- Respecting spiritual connection to Country (Panelli &Tipa, 2009) and Aboriginal spirituality (Grieves,2008).

- *Do family and community partnerships support wellbeing within your context? If so, who are they used with, and how and why do they contribute (or not contribute) to wellbeing within your context?*

- *Is spirituality addressed within your context? If so, how is addressed and how does it contribute (or not contribute) to wellbeing within your context?*

WELLBEING RESOURCES

As part of the focus on wellbeing we encourage educational contexts to regularly collect evidence of their practice. Figure 5.4 below features a snapshot of evidence of how students are supported and engaged in their learning and we know from research that this helps to contribute to a feeling of context connectedness and belonging which is strongly linked to positive wellbeing.

RESOURCED

MINDFUL

SUPPORTIVE

COLOURFUL

INVITING

SAFE

STIMULATING

PURPOSEFUL

Figure 5.5 Photograph of a snapshot of evidence growing inclusive wellbeing, (2018). Australia, USQ Photography.

Conditions for success

Educational contexts are faced with the challenge of creating a space to talk about, model and encourage healthy eating, appreciating the peaceful moments and the beauty of the world, and taking the time to exercise.

Figure 5.6 A photograph of a salad by Sara Dubler on unsplash

There is clear consensus in the literature regarding the importance of healthy eating. Nutrition is a component that now features in discussions regarding school lunches, tuckshop menus and access to appropriate foods along with education about nutrition. The challenge for educational contexts is for staff to model healthy eating and good nutritional practices, the educational contexts to understand what healthy eating is, and to embed a focus on good nutritional practices in the day to day educational context community language and ways of working.

Teach children and young people to just take a moment to appreciate the simple pleasures, the depth of colour in the sky, the sound of the birds, the movement of the wind, the clever design of the dandelion seeds floating on the wind, and the natural beauty of our planet. We suggest you explicitly ask children and young people to note the peaceful moments and the peaceful images, and to draw attention to the positive elements in their daily lives by connecting to the land we inhabit.


Figure 5.7 A photograph of a dandelion by Dawid Zawita on unsplash.

Figure 5.8 A photograph of a person playing soccer by Marcus Spiske from unsplash

Research suggests that exercising is good for people and contributes to feelings of positive wellbeing. What does this mean for educational contexts that are committed to fostering wellbeing? We suggest that educational contexts need to discuss what this looks like in practice for their context and community, and how the context's practices can cater for diversity of interest, culture, religion, and ability while maximizing available resources.

In order for an education wide focus on wellbeing to be successfully embedded, the following conditions are required in order to create effective implementation and sustainability of whole of educational context wellbeing initiatives:

- **Shared vision** and understanding of the concept of wellbeing and **shared ways of working** to implement the vision.

- **Commitment** from the whole educational context's community, not just the leader or leadership team (McCallum & Price, 2016).

- A clear and widely understood **shared language** and **consistent processes** for wellbeing across the whole educational context's community (Powell & Graham, 2017).

- A clearly identified student voice.

- Broad **collaboration** with the whole educational context's community (McCallum & Price, 2016).

- A shared **moral purpose** generated by the stakeholders and communicated widely within the educational context, created purpose, good communication and a sense of ownership of any wellbeing initiatives (Noble et al., 2008).

- Use of ongoing formal and informal teacher **professional development** including the involvement of acknowledged experts Noble et al., 2008). We also suggest that teacher aides and volunteers are included in professional development opportunities in order to create a school wide shared language and way of working with wellbeing.

- **Fore fronting and promotion of student wellbeing** as being a priority across the whole educational community (Noble et al., 2008).

- **Explicit teaching of values** such as respect, cooperation support and social and emotional learning skills that facilitate and encourage classroom participation, positive interactions with teachers/peers and good study habits (Noble et al., 2008).

- **Clear expectations** of behaviour which are modeled and positively reinforced with shared celebratory moments.

- Ongoing and **consistent support** from school leadership (Noble et al., 2008).

- Use of engaging and **inclusive pedagogical approaches** (Noble et al., 2008) and **innovative strategies** that cater for student needs and offer **opportunities for extension** for all learners.

- Explicit links made to the goals of the educational context and the system with students, teachers and the school community having a clear shared understanding that **everyone can succeed and will be supported to do so.**

- Processes in place to ensure and encourage a high participation of all students in wellbeing initiatives.

We strongly support the suggestion by Noble et al. (2008), stressing the importance of appointing a wellbeing coordinator or team to oversee the implementation of any wellbeing initiatives. We also suggest that it is important to analytically and critically review practice to know when initiates are effectively achieving the desired outcomes or when change is required so that informed decision-making can occur.

> **Key Question**
>
> Consider the different perspectives that you need to take into account:
>
> - What does high participation look like, feel like and sound like for people with disabilities, learning difficulties, English as second language or dialect, first peoples, gifted and talented students, indeed for all individuals? How do you evidence that this is occurring?

Figure 5.9 Photograph by Audi Nissen on unsplash

CONCLUSION

We, together with McCallum and Price (2016) argue that even though academic achievement continues to be a high priority within educational contexts, addressing wellbeing across the whole educational community as well as in the learning environment, curriculum, pedagogy, policies, procedures and partnerships domains, is of upmost importance.

By investing in whole of educational context wellbeing programs and initiatives in conjunction with academic development, Scoffham and Barnes (2011) likewise argue that there will be significant benefits not only for student wellbeing, but also to student achievement, teacher wellbeing and productivity. Wellbeing then requires a whole educational context approach, where wellbeing is embedded in a context's policies, curriculum, structures and practices, and as a shared responsibility of all stakeholders. This Chapter has endeavoured to grow thinking about wellbeing behaviour and ecology, as well as highlighting a way of evidencing and supporting wellbeing growth, emphasising the importance of aligning the values of an educational context, and ways of working, with the pathways that help enable wellbeing.

REFERENCES

Abawi, L., Andersen, C. & Rogers, C. (2019). Celebrating diversity: Focusing on inclusion. In S. Carter (Ed), *Opening Eyes onto Diversity and Inclusion*. University of Southern Queensland.

Abawi, L., Carter, S., Andrews, D., & Conway, J. (2018). Inclusive schoolwide pedagogical principles: cultural indicators in action. *New Pedagogical Challenges in the 21st Century: Contributions of Research in Education*, 33. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.70358

Alderete, E. (2004). The importance of statistics on Indigenous Peoples for policy formulation at national and international levels*. United Nations Workshop on data collection and disaggregation for Indigenous Peoples,* PFII/2004/WS.1/4, New York.

Aldridge, J.M., Fraser, B.J., Fozdar, F., Ala'I, K., Earnest, J. & Afari, E. (2016). Students' perceptions of school climate as determinants of wellbeing, resilience and identity. *Improving Schools*, 19 (1), 5-26. https://doi.org/10.1177/1365480215612616

Anderson, D.L. & Graham, A.P. (2016). Improving student wellbeing: Having a say at school. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement,* 27 (3), 348-366. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09243453.2015.1084336

Australian Bureau of Statistics {ABS}. (2018). *Population Characteristics, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians.* Canberra, ACT: Australian Government Publications Service. http://www.abs.gov.au/Aboriginal-and-Torres-Strait-Islander-Peoples

Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council [AHMAC]. (2017). *National strategic framework for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples' mental health and social and emotional wellbeing.* https://pmc.gov.au/sites/default/files/publications/mhsewb-framework_0.pdf

Bainbridge, S. (2007). C*reating a vision for your School: Moving from purpose to practice.* Sage.

Barry, M.M., Clarke, A.M. & Dowling, K. (2017). Promoting social and emotional wellbeing in schools. *Health Education,* 117 (5), 434-451. https://doi.org/10.1108/ HE-11-2016-0057

Bessell, S. (2009). Children's participation in decision-making in the Philippines: Understanding the attitudes of policymakers and service providers. *Childhood: A Global Journal of Child Research*, 16 (3), 299-316. https://doi.org/10.1177/0907568209335305

Biddle, N., & Swee, H. (2012). The relationship between wellbeing and Indigenous land, language and culture in Australia. *Australian Geographer*, 43(3), 215-232. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/00049182.2012.706201

Boyatzis, C.J. (2009).). Examining religious and spiritual development during childhood and adolescence. In M. De Souza, L.J. Francis & J. O'Higgins-Norman & D. Scott, I*nternational Handbook of Education for Spirituality, Care and Wellbeing,* (pp.51-67). Springer.

Briggs, M. K., & Dixon-Rayle, A. (2005). Incorporating spirituality into core counselling courses: Ideas for classroom application. *Counselling and Values*, 50, 63-75. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/j.2161-007X.2005.tb00041.x

Burckhardt, R. Manicavasagar, V., Batterham, P.J. & Hadzi-Pavlovic, D. (2016). A randomized controlled trial of strong minds: A school-based mental health program combining

acceptance and commitment therapy and positive psychology. *Journal of School Psychology,* 57, 41-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2016.05.008

Carlsson, D., Rowe, R. & Stewart, D. (2001). Health and wellbeing in the school community environment: Evidence for the effectiveness of a health promoting schools' approach. *Environmental Health* ,1 (3), 40-50. https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.219644872404827

Carrington, C., Shepherd, J., Jianghong, L., & Zubrick, S. (2012). Social gradients in the health of indigenous Australians. A*merican Journal of Public Health*, 102, 107–117. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2011.300354

Carter, S. (2016). Holding it together: an explanatory framework for maintaining subjective well-being (SWB) in principals. [Thesis (PhD/Research)].

Carter, S. (2018). The Journey of a Novice Principal: Her struggle to maintain subjective wellbeing and be a spiritual school leader. *International Journal of Education*.

Carter, S. & Creedon, M. (2019). Creating an inclusive school for refugees and students with English as a second language or dialect. In S. Carter (Ed), *Opening Eyes onto Diversity and Inclusion.* University of Southern Queensland.

Carter, S. & Abawi, L. (2018). Leadership, inclusion, and quality education for all. *Australasian Journal of Special and Inclusive Education*. https://doi.org/10.5772/66552

Cemalcilar, Z. (2010). Schools as socialization contexts: Understanding the impact of school climate factors on students' sense of school belonging. *Applied Psychology*, 59 (2), 243-272. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2009.00389.x

Ciarrochi, J., Atkins, P.W.B., Hayes, L.L., Sahdra, B.K. & Parker, P. (2016). Contextual positive psychology: Policy recommendations for implementing positive psychology into schools. *Frontiers in Psychology*,7, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01561

Ciarrochi, J., Parker, P., Kashdan, T., Heaven, P., & Barkus, E. (2015). Hope and emotional well-being. A six-year longitudinal study to distinguish antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Positive Psychology,* 10, 520-532. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2015.1015154

Correa-Velez, I., Gifford, S.M. & Barnett, A.G. (2010). Longing to belong: Social inclusion and wellbeing among youth with refugee backgrounds in the first three years in Melbourne. *Social Science & Medicine*, 71(8),1399-1408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.07.018

Curtis, R. C., & Glass, J. S. (2002). Spirituality and counselling class: A teaching model. *Counselling and Values,* 47, 10-13. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-007X.2002.tb00219.x

De Souza, M. (2009). Promoting wholeness and wellbeing in education: Exploring aspects of the spiritual dimension. In M. De Souza, L.J. Francis & J. O'Higgins-Norman & D. Scott, International *Handbook of Education for Spirituality, Care and Wellbeing*, (pp. 677-692). Springer.

Diener, E., Oishi, S., & Lucas, R. (2003). Personality, culture, and SWB: Emotional and cognitive evaluations of life. A*nnual Review Psychology*, 54, 403-425.

Dobmeier, R.A. & Reiner, S. M. (2012). Spirituality in the counsellor education curriculum:

A national survey of student perceptions. *Counselling and Values*, 57 (1), 47-65. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-007X.2012.00008.x

Eckersley, R.M. (2007). Culture, spirituality, religion and health: Looking at the big picture. Medical *Journal of Australia*, 186 (10), S54-S56. https://doi.org/10.5694/ j.1326-5377.2007.tb01042.x

Fattore, T., Mason. J. & Watson, E. (2012). When children are asked about their well-being: Towards a framework for guiding policy. *Child Indicators Research*, 2 (1), 57-77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12187-008-9025-3.

Fossey, W. (2017). *Welcome to country [presentation].* Australia: University of Southern Queensland. https://player.vimeo.com/video/236851535

Fossey, W., Holborn, P., Abawi, L., & Cooper, M. (2017). *Understanding Australian Aboriginal educational contexts.* Australia: University of Southern Queensland. https://open.usq.edu.au/course/view.php?id=289

Fullan, M. (2010). A*ll systems go: the change imperative for whole system reform*. Corwin Press.

Garland, E. L., Fredrickson, B. L., Kring, A. M., Johnson, D. P., Meyer, P. S. & Penn, D. L. (2010). Upward spirals of positive emotions counter downward spirals of negativity: Insights from the broaden and build theory and affective neuroscience on the treatment of emotion dysfunctions and deficits in psychopathology. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30, 849-864. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2010.03.002

Greensburg, M.T., Domitrovich, C.E., Weissberg, R.R. & Durlack, J.A. (2017). Social and emotional learning as a public health approach to education. *Future of Children*, 27 (1),13-32. https://doi.org/10.1353/foc.2017.0001

Grieves, V. (2006). *Indigenous wellbeing: A framework for governments' cultural heritage activities.* Sydney, NSW: New South Wales Department of Environment and Conservation. http://www. environment.nsw.gov.au/conservation/IndigenousWellbeingFramework.htm

Grieves, V. G. (2008). Aboriginal Spirituality: A baseline for Indigenous knowledge development in Australia. *The Canadian Journal of Native Studies*, 28(2), 363-398. http://www3.brandonu.ca/cjns/28.2/07Grieves.pdf

Hayes, L., & Ciarrochi, J. (2015). *The thriving adolescent: Using acceptance and commitment therapy and positive psychology to help young people manage emotions, achieve goals, and build positive relationships.* Context Press.

Heysen, C. & Mason, R. (2014). Bringing student voice to student wellbeing. *Connect,* 205 (Feb),15. ://research.acer.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1214&context=connect

Holt, A. D., Smedegaard, S., Pawlowski, S.C., Skovgaard, T. & Christiansen, L. B. (2018). Pupils' experiences of autonomy, competence and relatedness in move for wellbeing in schools: A physical activity intervention. *European Physical Education Review*, 20 (10), 1–19. https://doi.org//10.1177/1356336X18758353

Jamal, F., Fletcher, A., Harden, A., Wells H., Thomas, J. & Bonell, C. (2013). The school environment and student health: A systematic review and meta-ethnography of qualitative research. *BMC Public Health,* 13 (798), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2458-13-798

Janssen, I. & LeBlanc, A.G. (2010). Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity

and fitness in school-aged children and youth. *International Journal of Behavioural Nutrition and Physical Activity,* 7(1), 40-40. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-7-40

Kingsley, J., Townsend, M., Henderson-Wilson, C., & Bolam, B. (2013). Developing an exploratory framework linking Australian Aboriginal peoples' connection to country and concepts of wellbeing. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 10(2), 678-698. https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/10/2/678/htm

Kashdan, T.B. (2010). *Curious? Discover the missing ingredient to a fulfilling life.* Harper Collins Publishing.

Linkins, M., Niemiec, R.M., Gillham, J. & Mayerson, D. (2015). Through the lens of strength: A framework for educating the heart. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 10 (1), 64-68. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2014.888581

Liu, M.L., Wu L. & Ming, Q.S. (2015). How does physical activity intervention improve self-esteem and self-concept in children and adolescents? Evidence from a meta-analysis. *PLOS*, 1 (10). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134804

McCallum, F. & Price, D. (2010). Well teachers, well students. *Journal of Student Wellbeing*, 4 (1), 19-34. https://doi.org/10.21913/JSW.v4i1.599

McCallum, F. & Price, D. (Eds.) (2016). *Nurturing wellbeing development in education: From little things, big things grow.* Routledge.

McCallum, F., Price, D. Graham, A. & Morrison A. (2017). *Teacher wellbeing: A review of the literature.* https://www.aisnsw.edu.au/.../Teacher%20wellbeing%20A%20review%20of%20the%...

McGrath, H. & Noble, T. (2012). *Bounce back! A classroom resilience program: Teacher's handbook.* Pearson Longman.

Naylor, P.J. & McKay, H.A. (2009). Prevention in the first place: Schools a setting for action on physical inactivity. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 43 (1), 10-https://doi.org/13.10.1136/bjsm.2008.053447

Noble, T., McGrath, H., Wyatt, T., Carbines, R. & Robb, L. (2008). *Scoping study into approaches to student well-being: Literature review. Report to the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations*. Australian Catholic University & Erebus International.

Panelli, R.; Tipa, G. (2009). Beyond foodscapes: Considering geographies of indigenous well-being. *Health Place,* 15, 455–465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2008.08.005.

Powell, M. A. & Graham, A. (2017). Wellbeing in schools: Examining the policy practice nexus. *Australian Educational Research,* 44, 213-231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-016-0222-7.

Price, D. & McCallum, F. (2015). Ecological influences on teachers' well-being and 'fitness'. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education,* 43 (3), 195-209. https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2014.932329.

Prout, S. (2012). Indigenous wellbeing frameworks in Australia and the quest for quantification. *Social Indicators Research,* 109(2), 317-336. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-011-9905-7

Queensland Studies Authority {QSA}, (2010). A*boriginal and Torres Strait Islander studies.* https://www.qcaa.qld.edu.au/downloads/senior/snr_atsi_10_handbook.pdf

Quinlan, D., Swain, N., & Vella-Brodrick, D.V. (2012). Character strengths interventions:

Building on what we know for improved outcomes. *Journal of Happiness Studies,* 13 (6), 1145-1163. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-011-9311-5

Reveley, J. (2013). Enhancing the educational subject: Cognitive capitalism, positive psychology and wellbeing training in schools. *Policy Futures in Education*, 11 (5), 538-548. https://doi.org/10.2304/pfie.2013.11.5.538.

Scoffham, S. & Barnes, J. (2011). Happiness matters: Towards pedagogy of happiness and Wellbeing. *Curriculum Journal,* 22 (4), 535-548. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585176.2011.627214

Simmons, C., Graham, A. & Thomas, N. (2015). Imagining an ideal school for wellbeing: Locating student voice. *Journal of Educational Change*, 16 (2), 129-144.

Smith, B.H. (2013) School-based character education in the United States. *Childhood Education*, 89 (6), 350-355. https://doi.org/10.1080/00094056.2013.850921

Spears, B.A. (2016). Technology and wellbeing. In F. McCallum & D. Price (Eds.), *Nurturing wellbeing development in education: From little things, big things grow* (pp.2-20). Routledge.

University of Sydney, (2015). *Kinship Module. Australia.* Sydney, NSW: University of Sydney. http://sydney.edu.au/kinship-module/

Waters, L. (2011). A review of school-based positive psychology interventions. *The Australian Educational and Developmental Psychologist,* 28 (2), 75-90. https://doi.org/10.1375/aedp.28.2.75

Weare, K. & Nind, M. (2011). Mental health promotion and problem prevention in schools: Wht does the evidence say? *Health Promotion International*, 26 (S1), 129-169. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dar075.

Yust, K.M., Johnson, A.N., Sasso, S.E. & Roehlkepartain, E.C. (2006). Traditional wisdom: Creating space for religious reflection on child and adolescent spirituality. In K. M. Yust, A.N. Johnson, S.E. Sasso, & E.C. Roehlkepartain, *Nurturing child and adolescent spirituality: Perspectives from worlds religious traditions*, (pp.1-14). Rowman Littlefield Publishers, Inc.

CHAPTER 6

Ecological and Contextual Analysis of Wellbeing in Your Context

Key Concept
Ecological and contextual analysis of wellbeing using evidence based and contextual self-assessment.

GUIDING QUESTION

- How can wellbeing be enacted and promoted in my context?

Figure 6.1 Photograph of hand holding by Rawpixel on unsplash.

Forming connections and fitting in is associated with positive wellbeing. Consider then, how do educational contexts create a sense of connection and belonging.

INTRODUCTION

There is increasing understanding that educational contexts have an important role to play in supporting the social and emotional development of children and young people. Interventions undertaken in educational context settings have the potential to influence a range of social, health and mental health outcomes. Evidence suggests that good mental and physical health not only optimises a young person's academic performance but also enhances the ability to cope with the challenges and stressors of daily life, and thereby to become a productive member of society in the longer term.

We draw your attention to wellbeing considerations for all people but especially challenge you to think about how you meet the needs of people with disabilities, some of whom have a comorbidity of disabilities or special needs, may be profoundly disabled and could also be non-verbal. Consider also the stress factors that may be present in some families who are dealing with very complex and challenging issues. How best can we then understand the complex needs of an individual?

Although wellbeing can be seen principally as relating to the individual, a social conception of wellbeing transfers attention to the interplay of individuals, incorporating the social and cultural dimensions that they arbitrate as contributing to their satisfaction with life. This Chapter explores ecological and contextual analysis of wellbeing using evidence based and contextual self-assessment as a means of understanding the concept of wellbeing from an individual, classroom, educational context, and system perspective, and what is exactly occurring within your own educational community context. In this chapter we also suggest a way of developing a wellbeing framework based upon evidence-based practice and we include numerous practical templates for use or adaption. We acknowledge the limitations of some of the templates when applied in various settings such as early childhood, special education units, and we seek your input to co-create more meaningful resources. Please feel welcome to contact us, our details in the Foreword section of this book.

Activity
Before undertaking an ecological and contextual analysis of wellbeing within your own context, consider what both terms might mean for you, and how they might apply to your context.

Let's now examine how literature defines contextual and ecological analysis. Spencer (2007) defines ecological analysis as an investigation of the relationship between individuals and each other, and their relationship to their physical surroundings. Wu and David (2002) expanded this a little further by proposing that individuals' perceptions about settings and

their experiences within an environment matter, and that ecological analysis is a process of understanding how individuals' experiences contribute to 'making sense' of situations and experiences negotiated progressively over time and place. Thus, an ecological analysis of wellbeing provides an opportunity to investigate the wide variety of bidirectional, and individual-context interactions that in turn, contribute to the construct that is well being.

George et al. (2015) define context as the circumstances that impact on a setting or event, and contextual analysis as the process of understanding the broader range of relationships that influence the outcome of a subject being investigated within that setting or event. Thus, according to Smith et al. (2004), a contextual analysis is an analysis of a context within both its historical and cultural setting, the qualities that characterize it, and the characteristics of the ecology/environment that influence these.

An ecological and contextual analysis of wellbeing within a specific context /worksite offers an opportunity to investigate information about whether or not an approach / strategy / intervention 'fits' within the context in which it has been implemented, and provides a 'snapshot' of measurable community characteristics and evidence as to whether a wellbeing strategy has been implemented effectively, has been useful, and/ or has been accepted by a particular community.

FACTORS INFLUENCING WELLBEING

The World Health Organization [WHO] (2013) identifies supporting environments for well-being to be a key responsibility of educational contexts. WHO (2013) points to a range of research which has found that educational context connectedness, or the feeling of closeness to context staff and the context's environment decreases the likelihood of health risk behaviours during adolescence. Educational contexts with a climate of confidence and respect among principals, staff, pupils and parents reflect the lowest rates of general anxiety, school anxiety and emotional and psychosomatic balance among children and young people.

"A positive educational experience and a good level of academic achievement can contribute significantly to enhancing self-esteem and confidence, better employment, life opportunities and social support" (Department of Education and Skills Health Service Executive and Department of Health [DESHSEDH], 2013, p.8). Life skills education, strongly supported by Weare and Nind (2011) and the WHO (2013), are viewed as a preventive measure for a range of health and social problems, and include the development of skills such as: decision making/ problem solving; creative thinking/ critical thinking; communication/ interpersonal skills; self-awareness/ empathy; coping with emotions/ coping with stress. In contrast, poor engagement and achievement in an educational context setting is a risk factor for a range of social, health and mental health problems such as substance misuse, unwanted teenage pregnancy, crime and conduct problems.

A study by Kidger et al. (2010) identified that teachers also were a key factor influencing the emotional health and wellbeing of students. However, Kidger et al. (2010) also noted

that in instances where teachers' own emotional health needs were neglected, this left them with little ability, and in some cases an unwillingness, to cater for the wellbeing of students. The findings from the study conclusively endorsed whole-school approaches to wellbeing which also focused on teachers' training and support needs. This study highlights the importance of wellbeing programs that focus on the whole educational community context, fore fronting the health and wellbeing of all staff and all students.

Broadly speaking then, factors that have an influence on wellbeing across all populations can be grouped into three key areas: individual, community and structural.

Key Questions

Consider your own context for a moment.

- *What factors influence wellbeing within your own context? What factors can your school influence?*

- *What supports are currently in place within your context that support the positive development of wellbeing?*

BRONFENBRENNER'S ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS THEORY

Bronfenbrenner's (1979) Ecological Systems Theory summarised decades of theory and research about the fundamental progressions that guide life-span development, and stressed the importance of studying an individual within the context of the multiple environments in which they are positioned (Darling, 2007). Bronfenbrenner defined this as an ecological system which contributed to understanding of how a person grows and develops, which in turn develops a deeper understanding of individuals, their needs and their wellbeing. Ecological Systems Theory then has potential to be a useful framework for understanding how inherent qualities of an individual's environment interacts to influence how they develop and grow.

Within this theory, Bronfenbrenner (1979) devised classifications for various levels and degrees of intervening influence on a person's development, with these systems referred to as a "system of layers, with each layer located inside the other, similar to that of Russian nesting dolls" (Bronfenbrenner, 1979, p. 22). Bronfenbrenner's perspective reinforced the critical and pervasive role that the **Microsystem** [the immediate environment of the individual including everyone that they interact with on a regular basis]; the **Mesosystem** [the interaction between members/components of the microsystem]; the **Exosystem** [the broader environment that directly affects the immediate environment of the individual]; the **Macrosystem [**the overarching system that consists of culture, laws, economy, politics]; and the **Chronosystem [**how certain variable affect the individual over time, including life events

and changes in socioeconomic status] had on influencing an individual's behaviour. (Refer to Figure 6.2).


Figure 6.2: Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Theory of Development Model (Source: Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Theory of Development, used under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported Licence).

Since Bronfenbrenner's initial Ecological Systems Theory theory was proposed, Bowes and Hayes (1999) have added several other components to Bronfenbrenner's model. Firstly, individual characteristics were introduced, such as temperament and gender, followed by the addition of historical factors impacting on current behaviours attitudes and practices, with the acknowledgment that these vary over time.

Within this extended Ecological Systems Theory model:

- The **microsystem** is the smallest and most immediate and most intimate of environments [e.g., daily home], and includes those interactions which occur closest to the individual.

- The **mesosystem** includes the interaction of the different **microsystems** such as, linkages between home and school, between peer group and family.

- The **exosystem** pertains to the linkages that may exist between two or more

settings, one of which may not contain the child or young person but affects the child or young person indirectly. This could be other people and places which the child or young person may not directly interact with but may still have an effect on the child or young person [e.g., care giver'/ parents' workplaces].

- The **macrosystem**, understood to be more distant from the child or young person, includes influences such as cultural beliefs, values and practices from the wider community. Bronfenbrenner (1995) claimed that we experience "progressively more complex reciprocal interaction between an active evolved bio-psychological human organism and the persons, objects, and symbols in its immediate environment... this interaction must occur on a fairly regular basis.... Such enduring forms of interaction in the immediate environment are referred to as proximal processes" (Bronfenbrenner, 1995, p.621).

- The **chronosystem** contributes the useful dimension of time, which demonstrates the influence of both change and constancy in the child's / young person's environment. The chronosystem may therefore include a change in family structure, residence, parental employment status, and social and political changes such as housing market crashes. Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory is useful beyond the developing child / young person but has application to any individual's development.

Activity

Consider Bronfenbrenner's ecological model and reflect on how this model may be useful in helping teachers to better understand and support their students.

Other researchers have applied and adapted Bronfenbrenner's model to understandings about particular disabilities. A biomedical model has powerfully shaped and historically been a keyway of understanding and supporting mental health in children and young people (Deanon, 2013). More recently, the emergence of a body of early childhood and health literature has recognised the influence which biological, psychological and social factors can have on children's / young people's health, learning and development (Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing [ADHA], 2014).

However, while the Ecological Systems Theory Model offers a potential framework for use in an ecological and contextual analysis of wellbeing within an educational context and community, Armitage et al. (2012) argue that caution needs to be exercised in using only one framework or approach in the analysis of wellbeing. Instead, Armitage et al., (2012) propose "the development of hybrid approaches and innovative combinations of social and ecological theory in order to provide signposts and analytical tools to understand complexity and change" (p.12) with an educational context.

> **Activity**
>
> Consider your own context for a moment and the supports that you believe are in place for the positive development of wellbeing.
>
> • Construct an ecological model for yourself and your own wellbeing in your work context.
>
> • Construct an ecological model for someone whose wellbeing you are concerned about in your work context.

DEVELOPING AN EDUCATIONAL CONTEXT FRAMEWORK

It is suggested that educational contexts develop a framework to ensure that wellbeing is an explicit focus within the educational community. The question then becomes, what goes into the framework. Reviewing the information shared in Chapter 3, Garrison (2011) defined a framework as a set of beliefs, rules or thinking that outline what actions can be undertaken. White (2010) suggested that a wellbeing framework is "a social process with material, relational, and subjective dimensions" (p.158). We suggest that a wellbeing framework should align with the beliefs and values espoused by the educational community context; clearly outline the shared definition underpinned by a deep knowledge of the possible impactors and enablers to wellbeing; include pathways for enactment; and ways it be evaluated at individual, community and structural levels where educational context community input is sought with open communication and relationships as central components. We suggest that your framework begins with your educational context vision and beliefs. In the following section we suggest that you use a model, guiding questions and a checklist and surveys to evaluate your progress.

HOW IS WELLBEING EVIDENCED

At the start of the chapter we posed a guiding question for you to consider. The guiding question was how is wellbeing enhanced? How do you know what you know about wellbeing? What are you using to evidence your judgements? What follows are photographic examples, and resources for use in educational contexts that have been developed to assist you in investigating wellbeing within your own educational communities. The resources have been provided as a guide, a way of working to inform your wellbeing journey, and as a means of ensuring that your judgements are evidenced based. The examples may be adapted to suit different models and educational communities. We also suggest that you use a variety of artefacts to evidence practice. This gathering of evidence could be done at a classroom level by individual teachers; a year levels/ teaching teams; and a whole of educational context level.

SCHOOL EXAMPLES OF PRACTICE

Creating a sense of belonging where people are connected to the educational context , feel safe and also know that they have realistic learning opportunities, helps to nurture wellbeing. We have taken a variety of photographs to help model how elements of wellbeing promotion can be evidenced. There are many ways to see wellbeing within an educational context, and the following (Figures 6.3, 6.4, 6.5 and 6.6) illustrate a small snapshot of possible ideas.

Figure 6.3 Photograph of Values. (2018), Australia, USQ.

Values are clearly displayed in multiple places throughout the school contributing to a culture of care and respect for other (see Figure 6.3). These same values are on display in all classrooms beside the positive behaviour expectations, in every play space, in the office, the staffroom and the meeting rooms, are linked to verbally in every parade, and associated with positive reinforcement through STAR awards.

Educational contexts should be committed to providing safe, inviting and welcoming learning spaces so that students experience a feeling of belonging. These spaces should also be places of quality learning and teaching where the learning needs of each individual are acknowledged and catered for so that success as determined differently by each individual, can be experienced and celebrated (as shown in Figure 6.4).

Figure 6.4 Photograph of an inviting classroom with scaffolded learning where the focus was on quality learning and teaching. (2018), Australia, USQ.

Pictured in Figure 6.5 is an example of an inclusive learning classroom which is rich in visual scaffolding that supports the delivery of explicit instruction.

Figure 6.5 Photograph of materials to support explicit instruction. (2018), Australia, USQ.

Figure 6.6 illustrates an example of a common language that has been established from shared professional dialogue and ways of working where all students are welcome, teachers are challenged to create a sense of belonging for every student and the needs of individual students are a key focus through 'Welcome Me, Know Me and Help Me to Learn'.

Figure 6.6 Photograph of a meeting room whiteboard illustrating: 'Welcome Me, Know Me, Help Me to Learn'. (2018), Australia, USQ Photography.

This photograph also captures a way of working where the needs of the child are for fronted and the focus is on student engagement in learning. The photograph was taken in the meeting room and 'Welcome Me', 'Know Me', and 'Help Me Learn' regularly featured in pedagogical discussion, year levels meetings and staff meetings. Teachers and teacher aides are also engaged in professional development opportunities to further enhance their understanding was to be enacted.

TOOLS AND RESOURCES TO ASSIST YOU TO FORMULATE A WELLBEING FRAMEWORK

We suggest that your framework begins with your educational context vision and beliefs. We assume that this has already been developed and regularly reviewed with your context's annual plan. By linking it specifically to the wellbeing framework alignment can be scaffolded.

1. In the following section we suggest that you use a model and we have provided a template:

   ◦ **Growing Inclusive Wellbeing Model** [**Appendix 1**] (also shown as Figure

6.7)

2. We have then provided a list of guiding questions:

   ◦ **How is Wellbeing Promoted? Guiding Questions [Appendix 2]**

3. You may also use a checklist for gathering of possible impactors and enablers and potential school response actions.

   ◦ **Enablers and Impactors Checklist [Appendix 3]**

4. We have provided a checklist for data gathering information about whole of school wellbeing.

   ◦ **Promoting Wellbeing: Growing an Inclusive Community Checklist [Appendix 4]**

5. We have also included a variety of **Surveys on Wellbeing Promotion** to inform your thinking. Each survey is targeted at a different school community group.

   ◦ **Student Wellbeing Interview / Survey [Appendix 5]**
   ◦ **Student Survey – Growing Inclusive Wellbeing [Appendix 6]**
   ◦ **Parent Wellbeing Interview /Survey [Appendix 7]**
   ◦ **Teacher Survey – Growing Inclusive Wellbeing [Appendix 8]**
   ◦ **Teacher Wellbeing Interview Questions [Appendix 9]**
   ◦ **Principal Interview Questions – Growing Inclusive Wellbeing [Appendix 10]**
   ◦ **Principal Survey – Growing Inclusive Wellbeing [Appendix 11]**

While we have provided the surveys in a template form there is no reason that these surveys could be redeveloped and used in a multi-modal format (e.g., Survey Monkey) and made to suit your specific context. In providing a range of surveys it is hoped that you can select what best suits your context and /or to use different surveys at differing times of the year and cross validate the data findings {e.g., beginning or end of the year}.

START WITH THE VISION, VALUES AND A MODEL

The text in the following section has the various resources, labelled as Tables, hyperlinked as word documents so that you can use the resources and personalise them for your context.

We suggest you start with a model **Growing Inclusive Wellbeing** [**Appendix** 1]**.** (See Figure 6.7).

Figure 6.7

Figure 6.7 Growing Inclusive Wellbeing

GUIDING QUESTIONS FOR SCHOOLS

We recognise that educational contexts are unique contexts full of creative people and we

expect that each educational context will generate other questions to guide their designing, enacting and reflecting upon wellbeing. We know that educational contexts are also busy places and that judgments are made that are not always evidenced based and these judgments are not always correct. We encourage critical reflection and challenge you to try to uncover assumptions and practices that may or may not promote wellbeing. Further to this we hope that every educational contexts endeavours to engage all members in growing inclusive wellbeing. In the Hyperlinked activity below, we provide some guiding questions to help school communities on their journey with wellbeing. We also provide some challenge questions around a simple thinking frame of 'Welcome Me', 'Know Me', and 'Help Me Learn'.

This way of thinking – 'Welcome Me', 'Know Me', and 'Help Me Learn', when enacted can become part of an embedded inclusive culture that enables wellbeing for everyone. People can use this to support the special needs of each individual whether they are a student, a parent, a teacher or another staff member.

Scenario
Angela, a new single mother arrives at the school and enrols her year 6 child Jo. Upon enrolment Angela is introduced to the school community liaison officer who takes the time to try and get to know Angela and establish the beginnings of a positive relationship with Angela. This is the 'welcome me' in action.   Jo, the year 6 student is away from school for several days.

Activity
What assumption does the class teacher make? What action needs to occur?

As part of the '**Welcome Me**' a possible way of working that may help to establish educational context belonging is for the educational context community liaison officer, as part of their regular routine work, to call the family on day three at the school, at the end of week 2 and then at the end of term. Imagine if the parent liaison officer telephones the mother and it is revealed that the mother's car has broken down and she cannot afford to fix it and so has no way of getting Jo to school. This is the '**Know Me**' in action. With this information the parent liaison officer can then utilise networks and contextual understandings and linkage with others to ensure that Jo is transported to and from school.

Consider how this may benefit Jo in terms of learning, engage, school connectedness and wellbeing. If the community liaison officer makes Angela and Jo aware of the local bus and church community groups that can help ensure transport to and from shops and possible medical appointments while Angela is saving money to fix the car, this then becomes the '**Help Me Learn**'. Angela and Jo can then learn about how to link into community networks to ensure their needs are meet.

The expectation is then not one of learned helplessness but one of learning how to

engage with school community networks. Consider also if Angela had English as a second language and was a refugee. Consider how hard would it be for Jo to engage in quality teaching and learning and have positive wellbeing. 'Welcome Me, Know Me and Help Me to Learn' can be enacted for anyone through whole school community commitment and a shared knowledge language and way of working. In **How is Wellbeing Promoted? Guiding** Questions [**Appendix 2**], we model a way of questioning that links to 'Welcome Me, Know Me and Help Me to Learn'.

We suggest using the **Enablers and Impactors** Checklist [**Appendix 3**], which outlines a possible brainstorm list that schools can use to consider impactors and enablers. This checklist is useful to explore:

- Can impactors be mitigated? If so, how?

- Can enablers be further developed? If so, how?

We have not listed all of the impactors and enablers, rather we have brainstormed a base list that school communities can add to and contextualize. We suggest using this checklist firstly from the **perspective of the individual** child, or individual teacher, individual principal or other staff member. This could be completed by the individual themselves and then the how do you know conversation could involve a buddy, mentor, or trusted other person. We then suggest that this same sheet could be of use when you look from the **educational context's community perspective** (e.g., what supports are evident from the context's community for an individual with their academic performance; with their homework) and this should be done with input from all stakeholder groups, staff, students and parents/caregivers.

GROWING INCLUSIVE WELLBEING CHECKLIST

The following evidence-based practice checklist utilizes the 12 pathways to wellbeing (outlined in chapter 5). In this checklist evidence refers to information, processes, strategies, ways of working and data that are implemented or happening in the context. Evidence provides information in relation to whether a process, strategy or way of working, is feasible to implement; useful; likely to be accepted by a school community; and whether it is a potential vehicle for change. The term artefact refers to those items, things, policies and awards, that are captured in moment [e.g., a photograph] and these are physical forms of evidence. Evidence such as artefacts offer a 'snapshot' of wellbeing within an educational community context.

We suggest using the **Promoting Wellbeing: Growing an Inclusive Community Checklist** [**Appendix 4**] to identify how and how well wellbeing is promoted within your school.

SURVEYS ON WELLBEING

We suggest that you work with all stakeholder groups and alter the wording on the surveys captured in the **Surveys on Wellbeing Promotion.** Examples of stakeholder surveys are provided in Appendices 5,6,7,8,9,10 and 11. We suggest also using an individual survey for the educational leader / principal/ school staff which can be modified to best suit your context. We have also included examples that specifically target students and parents.

We encourage you to modify any or all of the resources, so they are age appropriate and context specific.

The surveys are designed to find out what works and what isn't working and ways for improvement. We strongly encourage educational contexts to build upon their strengths. The surveys can be used in conjunction with normal data gathering cycles and may be useful in informing evidenced-based student and staff engagement discussions. The educational community can then consider how to collate, share, analyse and respond to the data and we suggest that existing committee structures could be used so a focus on wellbeing becomes an embedded way of working.

SUMMARY

This chapter explored the ecological and contextual analysis of wellbeing using evidence based and contextual self-assessment as a means of understanding the concept of wellbeing from an individual, educational context, and system level, and challenges educational communities to review what is occurring within their context. Research clearly highlights the importance of a whole of context approach on wellbeing. As authors and educators, we hope that the material shared within this text has been useful in furthering your understanding of wellbeing and in offering suggestions for the development and implementation of a whole education context wellbeing program and focus.

REFERENCES

Armitage, D., Béné, C., Charles, A., Johnson, D., & Allison, E. (2012). The interplay of well-being and resilience in applying a social-ecological perspective. *Ecology and Society*, 17 (4). https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-04940-170415.

Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing {DHA}. (2014). U*nderstanding mental health in early childhood.* http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/DoHA-MedicareMOU

Bowes, J.M. & Hayes, A. (1999). *Children, families and communities: Contexts and consensus.* Oxford University Press. https://aifs.gov.au/publications/parenting-australian-families/2-parenting-and-socio-cultural-context

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development.* Harvard University Press.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1995). Developmental psychology through space and time. In P. Moen, G. Elder Jr & K. Luscher (Eds.), *Examining lives in context,* (pp. 619-647). American Psychological Association Press.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (2004). *Making human beings human: Bioecological perspectives on human development*. Sage Publications.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (2005). Ecological systems theory. In U. Bronfenbrenner (Ed.), *Making human beings human: Bioecological perspectives on human development,* (pp. 106-173). Sage Publications.

Darling, N. (2007). Ecological systems theory: The person in the center of the circles. *Research in Human Development,* 4 (3-4), 203-217. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427600701663023.

Deanon, B. (2013). The biomedical model of mental disorder: A critical analysis of its validity, utility, and effects on psychotherapy research. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 33 (7), 846-861. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cpr.2012.09.007.

Department of Education and Skills Health Service Executive and Department of Health [DESHSEDH]. (2013). *Well-Being in post-primary schools: Guidelines for mental health promotion and suicide prevention.* Department of Education and Skills, Health Service Executive, and Department of Health.

Garrison, R. (2011). *E-learning in the 21st century: A framework for research and practice* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

George, A., Scott, K., Surekha, G., Shinjini, M., Rajani, V. & Kabir, S. (2015). Anchoring contextual analysis in health policy and systems research: A narrative review of contextual factors influencing health committees in low- and middle-income countries. *Social Science & Medicine,* 133, 159-167. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(01)00499-9

Kidger, J., Gunnell, D., Biddle, L., Campbell, R., & Donovan, J. (2010). Part and parcel of teaching? Secondary school staff's views on supporting student emotional health and well-being. *British Educational Research Journal,* 36 (6), 919-935. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 01411920903249308

Layton, N.A., & Steel, E.J. (2015). An environment built to include rather than exclude me: Creating inclusive environments for human wellbeing. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* 12(9), 11146-11162. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph120911146

Smith, B. N., Montagno, R. V., & Kuzmenko, T. N. (2004). Transformational and servant leadership: Content and contextual comparisons. J*ournal of Leadership & Organizational Studies,* 10 (4), 80-91. https://doi.org/10.1177/107179190401000406.

Spencer, M.B. (2007). Phenomenology and ecological systems theory: Development of diverse groups. In W. Damon and R. M. Lerner (Eds.), *Handbook of Child Psychology*, (pp.696- 740). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470147658.

Weare, K. & Nind, M. (2011). Mental health promotion and problem prevention in schools: what does the evidence say? *Health Promotion International*, 26 (1), 29-69. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/heapro/dar075.

White, S.C. (2010). Analysing wellbeing: a framework for development practice. *Development in Practice,* 20 (2), 158-172. https://doi.org/10.1080/09614520903564199.

World Health Organisation [WHO]. (2013). *Mental health action plan for 2013-2020.* http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/89966/1/9789241506021_eng.pdf?ua=1

Wu, J. & David, J. L. (2002). A spatially explicit hierarchical approach to modelling complex ecological systems: theory and applications. E*cological Modelling,* 153 (1-2), 7-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(01)00499-9

CHAPTER 7

The Wellbeing of Librarians in the Higher Education Sector

Key Concepts
• No matter what the context – ways of working impact on wellbeing. • Changing thinking can change the impact on wellbeing. • Time for your family is and life balance is important.

GUIDING QUESTION

• What are the impactors and enablers of Higher Education Librarian wellbeing?

Figure 7.1 Photograph by Cotton Bro Studio on Pexels

The early chapters of this textbook focused on research arising from primary, secondary, and special education contexts, and from within the literature. Chapter 7 explores the wellbeing promotion in the Higher Education sector as seen through the lived experiences of librarians in that sector.

INTRODUCTION

The higher education environment has not escaped the impact from increased social, technological, political and economic influences. Global research (Carter et al., 2023; Casucci et al., 2020; Carter et al., 2023; Kinman, 2014; Murgu 2021) indicates that a growing number of librarians reporting negative impacts on their wellbeing. Issues such as such psychosocial occupational hazards resulting from negative physical and emotional reactions (Carter et.al, 2023; Ugwuanyi, 2022) are emerging. Changing political agendas (Cox, 2021); increased role complexities; job-related stress (Shupe et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2020); increased work intensification (Bodenheimer & Shuster, 2020; Gunapala, 2017), are having an impact on librarians. This is within a climate of significant disruption and change, and the emergence of substantial complexities resulting from the COVID-19 Pandemic (Fauci et al., 2020; Salvesen & Berg, 2021; Todorinova, 2021; Zacher & Rudolph, 2020). All of which work in combination to potentially impact on the wellbeing of Higher Education Librarians.

The role of librarians within the Higher Education sector, is to skillfully provide information

to connect library users with resources. In addition, Higher Education librarians also play a role in providing safe learning spaces and support programs (Cox & Brewster, 2020), which in turn, play a key role in supporting the wellbeing of higher education students (Bladek, 2021). While wellbeing is often fore fronted as a key focus of discussion and intervention in Higher Education Institutions, particularly in relation to students, research by Cox and Brewster (2020) and Grubic et al. (2020) noted that there is little focus on the wellbeing of librarians. As such, despite the role that these librarians play in supporting student wellbeing, the wellbeing of the librarians themselves, remains fairly absent in the literature.

Librarian Wellbeing

A review of research indicates that very little is known about how Australian Higher Education Librarians perceive their own wellbeing and how their wellbeing is promoted within their work context. Of the studies found, few prior to 2022, have investigated the wellbeing of Higher Education librarians or the wellbeing of librarians in general. One study by Rinio (2016) explored a link between social wellbeing and librarians. A study by Kodua-Ntim et al. (2021) examined stress levels and ways of coping with stress in university librarians in Ghana, while another study (Ugwuanyi, 2022) investigated the psychological wellbeing of librarians. In contrast, a significant number of studies have investigated how libraries are promoted as important safe student places (e.g., Cox & Brewster, 2020) or mental health hubs that provide student-centred support programs (e.g., Grubic et al., 2020). Other studies have investigated the impact that Higher Education librarians (e.g., Bladek, 2021) and school librarians (e.g., Harper, 2017; Merga, 2020) have in terms of supporting student wellbeing. Likewise, Harper (2017) also confirmed that Higher Education librarians have a positive impact on student academic achievement and assist in supporting students by way of creating enriching and safe environments (Bradley, 2021; Merga, 2020).

The literature acknowledges that Higher Education librarians are working in environments with increased complexity resulting from high levels of rapid changes, and ever-increasing expectations (Kolomitro et al., 2020), wellbeing of Higher Education librarians and librarians in general. It seems remiss that how Australian Higher Education Librarians perceive their own wellbeing and how their wellbeing is promoted within their work context is omitted from the literature when the role and the context of the library itself, is seen within the literature as important for people's wellbeing promotion. Furthermore, a review of the literature also suggests that while librarians play a key role in supporting the wellbeing of others, there are very few studies that position academic librarians as the focal point in research on wellbeing.

HIGHER EDUCATION LIBRARIAN WELLBEING

A study by Carter et al. (2023b) involving 97 Australian and International Higher Education librarian participants from 34 institutions in three regions (Australia, New Zealand and Asia), investigated Higher Education librarians' perspective of wellbeing. An Adapted Interactive Research Design together with a Contributive Research Method (Puig et al., 2018) and CAST

analysis (Carter, et al., 2023a) were utilised in this study. Contributive Research Method enables the social co-construction of knowledge where facilitators are also participants in the meaning making process. In this way knowledge is not constructed in a solitary manner rather it is surfaced, investigated and metacognated (Carter, et al., 2023a). Results of the study indicated that participants defined wellbeing with a strong alignment to Diener's (1984) conceptualization of subjective wellbeing and that there were impactors and enablers to their own wellbeing within their work context. The findings of the study will be explored in the following sections.

A SHARED UNDERSTANDING OF SUBJECTIVE WELLBEING

Understanding a concept that is to be acted on and implemented is important for creating a shared understanding. Carter et al. (2023b) observed when defining wellbeing, the participants focused their responses on their own cognitive and affective evaluation of overall satisfaction. This form of sense making about the concept of wellbeing aligned with Diener's (2009) three critical components of subjective wellbeing:

(1) overall life satisfaction;

(2) longer term levels of positive affect; and

(3) low level unpleasant affect. The participants voiced feelings associated with satisfaction or no satisfaction with work, relationships, and purpose. This perspective appeared to emerge from a subjective cognitive evaluation of their own life and experiences of positive or negative affect closely associated with workload expectations placed upon them by their relevant boss, colleagues, and students. Eid and Larsen (2008) suggested that when such a strong alignment with Diener's (1984) definition of subject wellbeing occurs. This often provides evidence that individuals have a deeper understanding of the characteristics associated with subjective wellbeing. As such, this suggests that the Higher Education librarians in the study may have a good working understanding of subjective wellbeing.

When verbalizing thoughts about coming to work every day without feeling like they do not want to be there (Carter et al., 2023), higher education participants in the study demonstrated a clear alignment with Diener's (2009) notion of a low-level unpleasant affect component of wellbeing. Higher Education Librarians in the study provided examples of low-level unpleasant affect, and in so doing provided insight into viewpoints about how wellbeing is enhanced by not experiencing frequent negative feelings or negative/low moods. This finding is in keeping with similar findings by Grinde's (2016), who identified that an activation of negative feelings, such as anxiety and depression, can be a major contributor to a lowering of wellbeing.

This understanding is further supported by Maddux (2018) who noted that the notion of subjective wellbeing is very much related to how a person thinks about, feels, and evaluates their life. Thus, Higher Education librarians in the study then perceive wellbeing in terms of a satisfaction and balance between positive and negative affect (Carter et al., 2023b) where

an individual is able to function cognitively and emotionally in a productive and fulfilling manner (Huppert, 2009). Participants in the study also outlined a number of impactors to wellbeing.

Figure 7.1 Photograph by Timaroshnichenko on Pexels

IMPACTORS ON HIGHER EDUCATION LIBRARIAN WELLBEING

According to Smith et al. (2020), work intensification and increased workload of librarians has potential to increase job-related stress and impact on individual wellbeing. When reflecting upon work practices, librarians in the Higher Education sector voiced similar concerns when they identified workload and work intensification as significant impactors on their own wellbeing. Participants expressed a view that they were often expected to undertake the work of several full-time librarians. This finding aligns with research by Pace et al. (2021) and Tham and Holland (2018) who have highlighted work intensification impacting the wellbeing of Higher Education academics. Lawrence et al. (2019) suggests that this is similar for schoolteachers. Additionally, Salvesen and Berg (2021) and Todorinova, (2021) identified that work intensification of general librarians, was an impactor for their wellbeing.

Research by Carter et al. (2023b) identified that Higher Education librarians felt that they have little influence or control over how their work was structured within their organization, how they undertook that work, or the way in which that work was structured within their organization. Participants strongly articulated that this was the case particularly when expressing concerns that the completion of work outside of business hours was often an expected way of working. According to Higher Education librarians in the study, delineation of work hours has now become blurred and that there is often a lack of acknowledgement of hours worked outside of normal business hours, which they felt has become common practice in their workplaces. It was also noted that this additional workload often occurred within the home context which higher education librarians identified as contributing

negatively to their overall wellbeing. Participants in the study shared that regularly covering for absent colleagues who were not replaced following COVID-19 lockdowns, also significantly impacted on their wellbeing.

Figure 7.3 Photograph by Timaroshnichenko on Pexels

Higher Education librarians in the study also voiced a number of political factors that impacted on their wellbeing. The majority of participants identified that wellbeing was a largely neglected consideration within their organisations, and this in conjunction with unreasonable work expectations around task intensification, had significantly impacted their wellbeing. In many instances, Higher Education librarians voiced that wellbeing promotion was deemed to be tokenistic within their organisations. Concerns were also expressed that quite a few organisations took no specific action nor had initiatives to support staff wellbeing and instead seemed to hope that things would return to normal following COVID-19 lockdowns. Dickson-Swift et al.'s (2014) study found that where organisations have little or no organisational wellbeing promotion programs in place, then it was more likely that employees will voice non -favorable employee ratings about their workplace wellbeing. In contrast, where Higher Education sector librarians perceived that policies and programs in their organisation supported wellbeing through a healthy lifestyle and health and fitness focus, there was a much more favorable view that wellbeing was promoted within the organisation.

Additionally, Higher Education librarians in the study also identified a number of personal factors that impacted on their wellbeing. In particular, personal factors such as the loss of social connection, conversation, and contact with work colleagues resulting from isolation during COVID-19 lockdowns, were identified as significant negative impactors on wellbeing. Furthermore, emotional strain arising from working in a pressured workplace, blurring of team roles, unclear directions, a lack of clarity around managements' vision and communication, taking work home to complete, and workload intensification above what was perceived as being appropriate, were also highlighted as major negative impactors on Higher Education Librarians wellbeing. Participants in the study also highlighted a number of elements that positively contributed to wellbeing. These enablers are discussed next.

ENABLERS OF HIGHER EDUCATION LIBRARIAN WELLBEING

Higher Education librarians in the study identified a number of key enablers to their own wellbeing. These included working in an inclusive, safe, caring, supportive, and connected environment which focused on building teamwork and a sense that everyone was in this together. Such environments were acknowledged as fostering positive, collaborative communication and relationships, whereby interconnected teams promoted working towards a common goal, thereby enabling individuals to feel as if they have a voice and some influence.

Staying connected with colleagues in staff teams where colleagues got to know more about other colleagues and their situations, was identified as being important, particularly post COVID-19 (Carter et al, 2023b). Making connections with colleagues was described as promoting positive working relationships which in turn was identified as a major factor that contributed to the wellbeing of individuals with the study. Higher Education sector librarians voiced that informal chats and catch ups about work contributed to a positive feeling of connection and belonging, as such, practices created safe spaces without the pressure of formal agendas. Similarly, research by Ugwuanyi (2022) noted that having check-in conversations created a feeling of connectedness that supported the psychological wellbeing of librarians, and that higher reported levels of psychological wellbeing were reported when librarians connected with colleagues and coworkers. Likewise, Hvalic-Touzery et al. (2020), also found that when employees have a sense of connectedness and belonging, there is a higher probability that employee wellbeing will increase as a consequence.

Carter et al. (2023b) reported opportunities to work using flexible/hybrid arrangements, where individuals could either work from home or onsite, were identified as a way of working that promoted wellbeing. Higher Education librarians outlined that it was also important that adequate resources were provided in order to enable flexible/hybrid work arrangements, both at home and for onsite work. In addition, the participants fore fronted the importance of teams also being equitable, flexible, and innovative. Interestingly, while work flexibility and hybrid arrangements were noted as a positive contributor to wellbeing, Higher Education librarians in the study also articulated that increased workload impacted on their own wellbeing where some individuals reported taking work home in order to try and keep on top of the additional work tasks, thereby impacting on both their personal life and their wellbeing in a negative manner. It is worth noting that the literature surfaces that workloads have increased substantially since the COVID-19 Pandemic (Rodríguez-López et al., 2022; Suka et al., 2021; Taylor & Frechette, 2022), and in such a way that there is less likelihood of completing all the expected tasks, it is not likely that positive wellbeing will

be promoted. Support in terms of work flexibility will not necessarily lessen the intensified workload (Okeke-Uzodike & Gamede, 2021).

Higher Education librarians within the study, identified effective leadership as a crucial enabler of their own wellbeing. Where leaders and supervisors took the time and made an effort to catch up using individual conversations, expressing an interest in current work challenges or checking-in to see if an individual was "alright", participants in the study voiced that this created a sense of being cared for both as a person and an employee. (Carter et al., 2023b). According to Bradley (2021), leaders and supervisors should create a culture and ethic of care, that of "caring relationships" (p. 5) and "relational dependencies'" (p. 6) as this is fundamental for workers in organisations.

CONCLUSION

Research across the globe indicates that librarians have experienced increased role complexities, work intensification, and job-related stress due to rapidly changing technological advances, pivoting political agendas, and impact from the Covid 19 Pandemic. However, while student wellbeing is a key focus of discussion and intervention in Higher Education Institutions, more research needs to occur to surface the voices of librarians into the wellbeing conversation so their wellbeing can be promoted, and they are then best placed to support student wellbeing. In other words, it is difficult to support the wellbeing of students if the person doing the supporting does not have their own wellbeing maintained.

When provided with an opportunity to voice their perceptions about wellbeing, Higher Education librarians explained it with consensus, aligned to a definition already in the literature (Diener's 1984, definition of subjective wellbeing) where wellbeing involves an overall cognitive appraisal of life satisfaction, feelings of positive effect and low-level negative affect. Workload, and political and personal factors reportedly negatively impact on the wellbeing of Higher Education librarians. In contrast a supportive, caring, connected and inclusive community; positive collaborative collegial relationships; flexible/hybrid work arrangements; and effective leadership and personalised communication were outlined as enablers of Higher Education librarians. It is hoped that Higher Education institutions change their ways of working to be more inclusive of the voices of librarians in the sector and together ensure that there is a focus on promoting the wellbeing of the helper (i.e., librarians).

Tips to Try

- Set timeline parameters for work and send these to the supervisor or keep a written copy. Work the hours without distraction and take note of what could not be completed within the assigned timeframe.

- Work the set hours and feedforward how many hours are actually required for the task. If a workforce keeps working well above their paid hours then employers may not realise this is happening.

- A negotiation of tasks and support for task completion may need to occur in alignment with Industrial agreements.

In other words, change the thinking from *"I will do whatever it takes to complete the work"* to *"I will work the hours I am paid to work and feedforward the need for appropriate support"*. Time for your family is and life balance is important. Sometimes there does need to be give and take in the work role but if more hours are regularly required than resourcing alongside role requirements might require review.

Action

1. Carefully track hours spent on tasks.

2. Feedforward hours required to complete tasks.

3. Work in alignment with Industrial agreements which are designed to safeguard working conditions for employees.

THE AUTHORS LEAVE YOU WITH THE FOLLOWING QUESTION TO CONSIDER

What proactive steps can librarians in the Higher Education take to promote their own wellbeing in the context of their work environment?

REFERENCES

Bladek, M. (2021). Student well-being matters: Academic library support for the whole student. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 47*(3), Article 102349. https://doi. org/ 10.1016/j.acalib.2021.102349

Bodenheimer, G., & Shuster, S. M. (2020). Emotional labour, teaching, and burnout: Investigating complex relationships. *Educational Research, 62*(1), 63-76. https://doi. org/ 10.1080/00131881.2019.1705868

Bradley, C. (2021). Academic librarians. Open access, and the ethics of care. *Journal of Librarianship and Scholarly Communication, 9*(1), eP2418. https://doi.org/10.31274/ jlsc.12914

Carter, S., Andersen, C., Stagg, A., & Gaunt, L. (2023a). An exploratory study: Using adapted interactive research design and contributive research method. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 49(1), 102620. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2022.102620

Carter, S., Andersen, C., Turner, M., & Gaunt, L. (2023b). "What about us?" Wellbeing of higher education librarians. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 49 (1), 102619. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2022.102619

Casucci, T., Locke, A. B., Henson, A., & Qeadan, F. (2020). A workplace well-being game intervention for health sciences librarians to address burnout. *Journal of the Medical Library Association, 108*(4), 60-617. https://doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2020.742

Cox, J. (2021). The higher education environment driving academic library strategy: A political, economic, social, and technological (PEST) analysis. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship,* 47(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2020.102219.

Cox, A., & Brewster, L. (2020). Library support for student mental health and well-being in the UK: Before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 46*(6), Article 102256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2020.102256

Dickson-Swift, V., Fox, C., Marshall, K., Welch, N., & Willis, J. (2014). What really improves employee health and wellbeing: Findings from regional Australian workplaces. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management, 7*(3), 138-155. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJWHM-10-2012-0026

Diener, E. (1984). Subjective well-being. *Psychological Bulletin, 95*(3), 542-575.

Diener, E. (2009). The science of well-being: The collected works of Ed Diener. *Social Indicators Research Series, 37*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2350-6

Eid, M., & Larsen, R. J. (Eds.). (2008). *The science of subjective well-being*. Guilford Press.

Fauci, A., Lane, H., & Redfield, R. (2020). Covid-19 – Navigating the unchartered. *New England Journal of Medicine, 382*(13), 1268-1269. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMe2002387

Grinde, B. (2016). Why negative feelings are important when assessing wellbeing. *Journal of Happiness Studies, 17*, 1741-1752. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-015-9667-z

Grubic, N., Badovinac, S., & Johri, A. M. (2020). Student mental health in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic: A call for further research and immediate solutions. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 66*(5), 517-518. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0020764020925108

Gunapala, M. (2017). *The complexities of change, leadership and technology in Australian university libraries.* [Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), RMIT University] Melbourne.

Harper, M. (2017). Helping students who hurt: Care based policies and practices for the school library. *School Libraries Worldwide, 23*(1), 41-54. https://doi.org/10.29173/ slw6921

Huppert, F. A. (2009). Psychological well-being: Evidence regarding its causes and consequences. *Applied Psychology. Health and Well-Being, 1*, 137-164. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1758-0854.2009.01008.x

Hvalic-Touzery, S., Babnik, K., Pivac, S., & Skela-Savic, B. (2020). Measuring individual and work-related correlates of employees' well-being with a short form of the psychological general well-being index: A pilot validation study in Slovenia. *Human Systems Management, 39*(2), 265-275. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2017.086

Kinman, G. (2014). Doing more with less? Work and wellbeing in academics. *Somatechnics*, *4*(2), 219-235. https://doi.org/10.3366/soma.2014.0129.

Kodua-Ntim, K., Akussah, H., & Adjei, E. (2021). Managing stress among library staff in public university libraries in Ghana. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 47*(4), Article 102362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2021.102362

Kolomitro, K., Kenny, N., & Sheffield, S. L. M. (2020). A call to action: Exploring and responding to educational developers' workplace burnout and well-being in higher Education. *International Journal for Academic Development, 25*(1), 5-18. https://doi.org/10.1080/1360144X.2019.1705303

Lawrence, D. F., Loi, N. M., & Gudex, B. W. (2019). Understanding the relationship between work intensification and burnout in secondary teachers. *Teachers and Teaching, 25*(2), 189-199. https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2018.1544551

Maddux, J. E. (2018). Subjective well-being and life satisfaction: An introduction to conceptions, theories, and measures. In J. E. Maddux (Ed.), *Subjective well-being and life satisfaction* (pp. 3-31). Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.

Merga, M. (2020). How can school libraries support student wellbeing? Evidence and implications for further research. *Journal of Library Administration, 60*(6), 660-673. https://doi.org/10.1080/01930826.2020.1773718

Murgu, C. (2021). A modern disease of adaptation…? Technostress and academic librarians working in digital scholarship at ARL institutions. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 47*(5), Article 102400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2021.102400

Okeke-Uzodike, O.E., & Gamede, V. (2021). The dilemma of unrelenting workload amidst Covid-19 pandemic: An agenda for university female academics. *Journal of Research in Higher Education*, 5(1), 12-46. https://doi.org/10.24193/JRHE.2021.1.1

Pace, F., D'Urso, G., Zappulla, C., & Pace, U. (2021). The relation between workload and personal well-being among university professors. *Current Psychology: A Journal for Diverse Perspectives on Diverse Psychological Issues, 40*(7), 3417-3424. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12144-019-00294-x

Puig, V., Gilmozzi, G., & Haussonne, Y. M. (2018). *Contributive research: Hypothesis. is implementation for academic research purpose*. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Web Studies (pp. 19-23). https://doi.org/10.1145/3240431.3240435

Rinio, T. S. (2016). Cultural relevance in tribal libraries. In C. Callison, L. Roy, & G. A. Le Cheminant (Eds.), *Indigenous notions of ownership and libraries, archives, and museums* (pp. 181-192). Walter de Gruyter. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110363234-015.

Rodríguez-López, A. M, Rubio-Valdehita, S., Díaz-Ramiro, E.M. (2022). Influence of the CoViD-19 pandemic on mental workload and burnout of fashion retailing workers in Spain. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* 18(3), 983. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18030983

Salvesen, L., & Berg, C. (2021). "Who says I am coping": The emotional effect of New Jersey academic librarians during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 47*(5), Article 102422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2021.102422

Shupe, E. I., Wambaugh, S. K., & Bramble, R. J. (2015). Role-related stress experienced by

academic librarians. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 41*(3), 264-269. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.acalib.2015.03.016

Smith, D. L., Bazalar, B., & Wheeler, M. (2020). Public librarian lob stressors and burnout predictors. *Journal of Library Administration, 60*(4), 412-442. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/ 01930826.2020.1733347

Suka, M., Yamauchi, T. & Yanagisawa, H. (2021). Changes in health status, workload, and lifestyle after starting the COVID-19 pandemic: a web-based survey of Japanese men and women*. Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*, 26, 37. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12199-021-00957-x

Taylor, D. G., & Frechette, M. (2022). The impact of workload, productivity, and social support on burnout among marketing faculty during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Marketing Education,* 44(2), 134-148. https://doi.org/10.1177/02734753221074284

Tham, T., & Holland, P. (2018). What do business school academics want? Reflections from the national survey on workplace climate and well-being: Australia and New Zealand. *Journal of Management & Organization, 24*(4), 492-499. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/jmo.2018.3

Todorinova, L. (2021). One year in: A survey of public services librarians on the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Library Administration, 61*(7), 776-792. https:// doi.org/ 10.1080/01930826.2021.1972728

Ugwuanyi, C. S. (2022). Sociodemographic determinants of librarians' psychological wellbeing: An ex-post facto research. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal), 1-14.*

Zacher, H., & Rudolph, C. W. (2020). Individual differences and changes in subjective wellbeing during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. *American Psychologist, 76*(1), 50-62. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000702

CHAPTER 8

Conclusion

Figure 7.1 Photograph of joyously creating with paint by Sharon McCutcheon on Pexel

   In this text 'Wellbeing in Educational Contexts' we have encouraged readers to to look at what is occurring in your educational context, remembering that there are many ways to view the same picture, (e.g., seeing the joyous colours and expressions or the cleanup required after the painting) depending upon which lens is used and what assumptions unpin thinking and behaviour. We have endeavoured to synthesize some current research that links to educational contexts and make a new contribution to the field by presenting a model "Growing Inclusive Wellbeing" and possible templates that can help guide educational

contexts to formulate their own wellbeing framework that is based upon evidence-based practice.

In chapter one we charted a possible way of meaning making through engaging with the text and prior knowledge. In chapter two we explored various theoretical conceptualisations of wellbeing using the guiding question: What is wellbeing? In chapter three we presented policy, frameworks and legislation that has informed the emphasis on wellbeing using the guiding question: How is wellbeing enacted? In chapter four we outlined possible impactors and enablers to wellbeing through the guiding question: How is wellbeing enhanced? In chapter five we explored ways of embedding an education wide focus on wellbeing using the guiding question: How is wellbeing enacted and embedded? In the final chapter we explored the ecological and contextual analysis of wellbeing in relation to a workplace wellbeing framework through the guiding question: How can wellbeing be enacted and promoted in my context? We also presented resources for use within educational contexts.

We sincerely hope that the material presented has been useful to readers in deepening their knowledge of wellbeing, and also in providing some guidance into how a wellbeing framework can be developed and embedded.

CHAPTER 9

Glossary of Terms

At Risk	The term *at-risk is* often used to describe leaners or groups of learners who are considered to have a higher probability of failing academically or dropping out of school. The term may be also be applied to learners who face circumstances that could jeopardize their ability to complete school, such as homelessness, incarceration, teenage pregnancy, serious health issues, domestic violence, transiency (as in the case of migrant-worker families), or other conditions, or it may refer to learning disabilities, low test scores, disciplinary problems, grade retentions, or other learning-related factors that could adversely affect the educational performance and attainment of some students. While educators often use the term *at-risk* to refer to general populations or categories of students, they may also apply the term to individual students who have raised concerns—based on specific behaviours observed over time—that indicate they are more likely to fail or drop out.
Ecology	*Ecology* refers to the set of relationships existing between individuals and the context in which they are operating, and the set of relationships existing between any complex system and its surroundings or environment. It also includes the interdependence of people or systems within that environment.
An ecological model	An *ecological model* typically depicts a child's development occurring within concentric circles of influence; the innermost circles represent the most immediate influences, and outer circles represent broader social influences. Even though children might not interact with their wider social environments directly, their wellbeing can be affected indirectly through the influence on more immediate environments such as the family. An ecological model therefore provides a basis for understanding how a child's social and emotional wellbeing is influenced through both proximal and distal environments.
Epidemiology	*Epidemiology* is the study of how often diseases occur in different groups of people and why. Epidemiological information is used to plan and evaluate strategies to prevent illness and as a guide to the development of programs and processes to support populations.
Eudaimonia wellbeing	*Eudaimonia wellbeing* refers to feelings that are present when one is moving toward self-realization in terms of the development of one's unique individual potential and the furthering one's purposes in life. Self-realization and personal expressiveness are core, defining features of eudaimonia.
Hedonic wellbeing	*Hedonic wellbeing involves* pleasure/enjoyment/satisfaction, and comfort/painlessness/ease. These variables are associated with contents representing certain mindsets, including a focus on the self, the present moment, and the tangible, and a focus on taking and consuming what one needs and wants. Hedonic wellbeing consists of high levels of positive affect, low levels of negative affect, and the cognitive appraisal that one is satisfied with the conditions of their life.
Mental health	*Mental health* is a state of being that also includes the biological, psychological or social factors which contribute to an individual's mental state and ability to function within the environment.
Mental health problem	A *mental health problem* interferes with how a person thinks, feels, and behaves, but to a lesser extent than a mental illness. Mental health problems are more common and include the mental ill health that can be experienced temporarily as a reaction to the stresses of life. Mental health problems are less severe than mental illnesses but may develop into a mental illness if they are not effectively dealt with.
Mental illness	A *mental illness* is a health problem that significantly affects how a person feels, thinks, behaves, and interacts with other people. It is diagnosed according to standardized criteria. The term *mental disorder* is also used to refer to these health problems.
Objective wellbeing theories	*Objective wellbeing theories* tend to define wellbeing in terms of objective, external and universal notions of quality-of-life indicators such as social attributes [health, education, social networks and connections] and material resources [income, food and housing].
Promotion of wellbeing	*Promotion of wellbeing* refers to programs, processes, strategies, ways that promote wellbeing.

Protective factor	A *protective factor* can be defined as a characteristic at the individual, family, or community (including peers and culture) level that is associated with a lower likelihood of problem outcomes or that reduces the negative impact of a risk factor on problem outcomes. Protective factors are conditions or attributes that can occur in individuals, families, communities, or the larger society that mitigate or eliminate risk in families and communities that lessen the likelihood of negative consequences from exposure to risk. They serve as safeguards that can assist individuals to find resources or supports, as well encouraging coping strategies that allow them to cope, even under difficult circumstances.
Resilience	*Resilience* can be defined as the attainment of positive adaptation in the face of significant adversity involves a developmental progression; new vulnerabilities and challenges and/or strengths and opportunities often emerge with changing circumstances over the life course. Resilience is not something an individual 'has' – it is determined by developmental processes that are not fixed or immutable. It is what might be called 'coping strategies', or self-management qualities such including personal responsibility and self-discipline, connection to the others (friends, family or community), a sense of community and or civic engagement, participation and service, and overall confidence and self-esteem.
Risk Factors	A *risk factor* can be defined as a characteristic at the individual family, community, or cultural level that precedes and is associated with a higher likelihood of problem outcomes. Risk factors are conditions, variables, circumstances or events that increase the probability a lower likelihood of positive outcomes and a higher likelihood of negative or socially undesirable outcomes in the future. When combined with limited protective factors, they increase the probability of individuals experiencing poor SEW or even child abuse or neglect.
Safe and supportive learning environment	A *safe supportive learning environment* is an environment which protects the emotional, psychological and physical well-being of students and staff.
School community	*A school community* is generally considered to include students, families, school staff, other professionals, other support staff and volunteers.
Sense of belonging & connectedness	A *sense of belonging* and *connectedness* arises from a perception of quality and satisfaction gained from meaningful social connections. A sense of belonging and connectedness can also relate to a sense of belonging to a place or even an event. Belonging or connectedness is then a complex and dynamic process unique to each person.
Social and emotional learning	*Social and emotional learning* is about developing the ability to care for others, make responsible decisions, establish positive relationships, and handle challenging situations.
Social and emotional wellbeing	Broadly, *social* and *emotional wellbeing* refers to the way a person thinks and feels about themselves and others. It includes being able to adapt and deal with daily challenges (resilience and coping skills) while leading a fulfilling life. Hence, there is an emphasis on the behavioural and emotional strengths of individuals, as well as how they respond to adversity.
Subjective wellbeing	*Subjective well-being* [SWB] can be defined as an individual's cognitive and affective evaluation. The cognitive element refers to what one thinks about their life satisfaction in terms of life as a whole including specific areas of life such as work or relationships. The affective element refers to emotions, moods and feelings. Affect is considered positive when the emotions, moods and feelings experienced are pleasant (e.g., joy, elation, affection etc.) and deemed negative when the emotions, moods and feelings experienced are unpleasant (e.g., guilt, anger, shame etc.).

Subjective theories of wellbeing	*Subjective theories of wellbeing* are focused on subjective overall life evaluations, and comprise two main components – affect [feelings, emotions and mood] and life satisfaction, which is identified as a distinct construct and defined relative to specific domains in life [such as school, work and family].
Student wellbeing	*Student wellbeing* is the outcome of how well programs, processes, policies enact and promote wellbeing within an educational context.
Values	Values are the principles and fundamental convictions which act as general guide to behaviour; the standards by which particular actions are judged as good or desirable and the ideals that are reflected through the priorities that we choose, and that we act on consistently and repeatedly.
Values education	*Values education* can be described as any explicit and/or implicit school-based activity which promotes student understanding and knowledge of values, and which develops the skills and dispositions of students so they can enact particular values as individuals and as members of the wider community.
Wellbeing	*Wellbeing* is not just the absence of disease or illness. It is a complex combination of a person's physical, mental, emotional, social and spiritual health.
Wellness	*Wellness* is not the same as wellbeing, and instead contributes to it, as wellness is the sum of the positive steps taken to achieve wellbeing.

CHAPTER 10

Appendix

TOOLS AND RESOURCES TO ASSIST YOU IN DEVELOPING A WELLBEING FRAMEWORK

The following tools and resources and templates have been provided to assist you in develop a framework to promote wellbeing within your school / educational context.

- **Growing Inclusive Wellbeing Model Appendix 1**

- **How is Wellbeing Promoted? Guiding Questions Appendix 2**

This survey can assist in gathering information about how wellbeing is promoted within your context.

- **Enablers and Impactors Checklist Appendix 3**

This checklist can assist in gathering information about enablers that assist in promoting wellbeing within your context. It can also assist in gathering information about impactors that prevent or 'get in the way' of wellbeing promotion in your context.

- **Promoting Wellbeing: Growing an Inclusive Community Checklist Appendix 4**

This checklist can assist in gathering information about how well wellbeing is promoted within you school community.

- **Surveys on Wellbeing Promotion**

Below are a variety of surveys to inform your thinking. Each survey is targeted at a different school community group. While we have provided the surveys in a template form there is no reason that these surveys could be redeveloped and used in a multi-modal format (e.g., Survey Monkey) and made to suit your specific context. In providing a range of surveys it is hoped that you can select what best suits your context and /or to use different surveys at differing times of the year and cross validate the data findings [e.g., beginning or end of the year].

- **Student Wellbeing Interview / Survey Appendix 5**

- **Student Survey – Growing Inclusive Wellbeing Appendix 6**

- **Parent Wellbeing Interview /Survey Appendix 7**

- **Teacher Survey – Growing Inclusive Wellbeing Appendix 8**

- **Teacher Wellbeing Interview Questions Appendix 9**

- **Principal Interview Questions – Growing Inclusive Wellbeing Appendix 10**

- **Principal Survey – Growing Inclusive Wellbeing Appendix 11**